IHDC PROJECT EVALUATION GRID (Draft: March 2001)

	1.	2.		3.		4.	5.
SUBJECT:					TA_H	E 10	
Stated Goal?							
Has Detroit/SE MI							
connection?							
Has special DALNET significance?							
Are there similar Competing projects eslewhere?					d		
Has intrinsic research value?							
No. of potential users?							
Location of potential users?							
Is a growing collection?			5	30			
If so are there plans for updating and maintainence?							
Digitize in phases?							
Has focus of Special interest?							
DEVELOPMENT							
Project is already underway?							
Collection is already organized?							
Has extant metadata?							
Requires special expertise/equipment?							
Requires equipment not now available to DALNET?							
Timing?							

FINANCING		T		
Current funding?				
Grant potential?	 			
Cooperative potential?				
LEGAL ISSUES	 †			
Rights (copyright, etc.) issues resolved?				
Software licensing issues?	-			-
Access restrictions?				
	Œ			

Use Charges?				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Sponsor = DALNET				
member?				
ENHANCEMENT/				
PRESERVATION				
ISSUES				
Digitization will				
increase access to				
important material?				
Will create new		7		
audience?				
Will extant				
technology work for				
viewing/preservation				
goals?				
Won't harm source				
materials?		10 7	W	
TECHNICAL	2.2		3-1	
FEASIBILITY Fits available				
infrastructure? Staffing adequate to				
support project?		1	,	
Potential prototype		_		
database?				11
Does plan require				
outsourcing?				
ls resulting resource				
portable?				
File size/format				
acceptable for ease				
of use?	.1			
Metadata plan				
compatible with				
DALNTt standards?		1		