## OCC ALUMNI SURVEY RESULTS

- At the beginning of October we contacted 200 former OCC students who were randomly selected from the alumni database. All those contacted live in Oakland county and are registered, likely voters.
- The average age of surveyed alumni is 44.5 years. Mean GPA is 3.03. On average these respondents have 26 cumulative credit hours. Thirty-five percent of the group were male, $65 \%$ female. Four percent were minority. Sixteen percent had received a degree from OCC.
- When asked how they would vote on the millage issue, $13 \%$ would definitely vote for it, $60 \%$ would probably vote for it, $8 \%$ would definitely vote against, while $9 \%$ would probably vote against it. The remaining $10 \%$ were "don't knows" who were split between leaning for and against the proposal.
- Major reasons why respondents would vote this way were "support for education" ( $28 \%$ ), "good experience at OCC/ support for OCC" (17\%), and "affordable education should be available to all" ( $10 \%$ ). Opposition to the millage was centered on "opposition to increased property tax" ( $14 \%$ ). In addition, $14 \%$ of respondents were "not sure/needed more information."
- When asked how likely they were to vote next March, $71 \%$ of "yes" voters and $68 \%$ of "no" voters indicated they were "very likely" to do so.
- Comparison of "yes" and "no" voters demonstrated no significant differences between the two groups in age, levels of GPA or cumulative credits. There was a significant correlation between those who rated their experience at OCC highly and those who would probably vote for the millage. Those who rated their experience at OCC highly were also supportive of community college education.
- When asked which social issue was of greatest concern to them personally, $29 \%$ of the sample chose "Crime and drugs", $20 \%$ chose "Health care", and $15 \%$ chose "Education". "Yes" voters followed this pattern while analysis of "No" voters indicated that although "Crime and drugs" was also the major issue for them (29\%), their next highest concern was "state taxes and government" ( $27 \%$ ).

| Post-it" ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fax Note 7671 | Date $10 / 19 / 94 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { pages } \\ & \text { pof }\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| To , ION HANSEN | From KAY PALMER |
| Co.IDept. SLUBUC SECTAR | ${ }^{\text {co. OCC }}$ |
| Phone \# (519) 484-4954 | Phone \# (810) $471-7746$ |
| Fax\# (5,7) $488.654^{\circ} 7$ | Fax\# (810) 471-7544 |

- Seven potential campaign themes were tested as part of the survey. The preferences of "yes" voters are indicated below:

| Themes | Percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rating |  |
|  | Very |
|  | Convincing |

The additional revenue will go towards providing job specific training for
OCC students.
The revenue will be used to supplement teachers' salaries in order to retain the current high level of faculty expertise.

The revenue will be used to offset tuition costs in order to keep them as
low as possible and in the range of low and moderate income students.
A healthy OCC keeps Oakland County businesses competitive. $38 \%$
Over the last five years, OCC has engaged in an aggressive cost cutting
effort but now needs the help of the community.
OCC helped me get where I am today. $\quad 38 \%$
My OCC experience was very important to me and the millage increase is a small price to pay to ensure that others get the same chance that I did.

## Alumni Code Book

Question 6:
Can you please tell me specific reasons why your experience was.......(response from question 5)?

1. High quality of education/good teaching
2. Flexible scheduling/able to gain education while in full-time work.
3. Successful transfer
4. Support systems available for divorced/older/foreign students
5. Good location
6. 
7. 
8. Experience too limited to comment/too long ago
9. Registration problems/scheduling problems
10. Did not improve job prospects
11. Poor teaching/quality of education

18 Classes not offered frequently enough
19 Problems with transfer of credits
20 Uncompleted education
21 Inadequate technology

Question 10:
Why would you vote this way on the millage issue?

1. Affordable education should be available to all
2. Good experience at OCC/support for OCC
3. Personal contact/family attending
4. Support for education in general
5. Necessary to retrain the workforce
6. Valuable resource for the community
7. Small increase
8. Not sure/need more information
9. Unhappy with OCC experience
10. Opposition to property tax
11. Tuition should fund
12. No personal interest
13. State should fund

99 No response

| CITY | Frequency | Cumulative Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUBURN HILLS | 949 | 949 |
| BERKLEY | 1219 | 2168 |
| BEVERLY HILLS | 603 | 2771 |
| BIRMINGHAM | 991 | 3762 |
| BLOOMFIELD | 914 | 4676 |
| BLOOMFIELD HILL | 497 | 5173 |
| CLARKSTON | 1993 | 7166 |
| CLAWSON | 885 | 8051 |
| COMMERCE TWP | 667 | 8718 |
| DAVISBURG | 387 | 9105 |
| DRYDEN | 4 | 9109 |
| FARMINGTON | 2483 | 11592 |
| FARMINGTON HILL | 2496 | 14088 |
| FENTON | 17 | 14105 |
| FERNDALE | 1143 | 15248 |
| HARTLAND | 1 | 15249 |
| HAZEL PARK | 768 | 16017 |
| HIGHLAND | 617 | 16634 |
| HOLLY | 388 | 17022 |
| HUNTINGTON WOOD | 301 | 17323 |
| KEEGO HARBOR | 130 | 17453 |
| LAKE ORION | 1064 | 18517 |
| LAKEVILLE | 4 | 18521 |
| LEONARD | 149 | 18670 |
| MADISON HEIGHTS | 1336 | 20006 |
| MILFORD | 864 | 20870 |
| NEW HUDSON | 76 | 20946 |
| NORTHVILLE | 278 | 21224 |
| NOVI | 1303 | 22527 |
| OAK PARK | 1586 | 24113 |
| OAKLAND | 158 | 24271 |
| ORION | 272 | 24543 |
| ORTONVILLE | 432 | 24975 |
| OXFORD | 720 | 25695 |
| PLEASANT RIDGE | 95 | 25790 |
| PONTIAC | 3422 | 29212 |
| ROCHESTER | 3196 | 32408 |
| ROCHESTER HILLS | 1753 | 34161 |
| ROYAL OAK | 5732 | 39893 |
| SOUTH LYON | 94 | 39987 |
| SOUTHFIELD | 3577 | 43564 |
| TROY | 4326 | 47890 |
| UNION LAKE | 769 | 48659 |
| WALLED LAKE | 567 | 49226 |
| WATERFORD | 3297 | 52523 |
| WEST BLOOMFIELD | 3263 | 55786 |
| WHITE LAKE | 404 | 56190 |
| WIXOM | 598 | 56788 |

# Alumni Data Base Code Book 

| Variable | Length | Description/Codes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSN | 1-9 | Social Sercuity Number (Actual number) |
| LNAME | 10-25 | Last name <br> (Last name up to 16 characters) |
| FNAME | 26-40 | First name <br> (First name up to 15 characters) |
| MNAME | 41-50 | Middle name <br> (Middle name up to 10 characters) |
| STREET | 51-75 | Street address <br> (Street number, name and apartment \#) |
| CITY | 76-90 | City of residence (Post Office city) |
| STATE | 91-92 | State of residence <br> (Two digit initials of state) |
| ZIP | 93-102 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Zip} \text { code } \\ & \quad(\mathrm{Zip}+4 \text { including dash }) \end{aligned}$ |
| AREACODE | 103-105 | Area code for phone number (Actual three digit number) |
| PHONE | 106-112 | Phone number <br> (Actual phone number with no dash) |
| BIRTH | 113-118 | Date of birth <br> (Year, Month, Day) |
| BIRTHY | 113-114 | Year of birth <br> (Actual year) |
| BIRTHM | 115-116 | Month of birth <br> (Numeric value for each month) |
| BIRTHD | 117-118 | Day of birth <br> (Actual day of month) |
| RACE | 119 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Race/Ethnicity } \\ & 1 \text { or } \mathrm{W}=\text { White } \\ & 2 \text { or } \mathrm{B}=\text { Black } \\ & 3=\text { Self-American Indian } \\ & 4=\text { Asian } \\ & 5 \text { or } \mathrm{H}=\text { Hispanic } \\ & 6=\text { Foriegn } \\ & 7=\text { Other } \\ & 8=\text { Certified American Indian (as of fall } 94 \text { ) } \\ & 9=\text { Unknown } \end{aligned}$ |

PRECINCT

COUNTY

WARD

PPP

PFLAG

GENERAL
131-132

Vote in General election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted

PRIMARY 133-134 Vote in Primary election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted

PRES
135-136

137-138
MAYOR

SCHOOL
139-140

141-142
Gender
$0=$ Female
I=Male
9=Unknown
121-130 Precinct code
(Actual 10 digit number includes, county, city, ward, precinct, and extention)

TOWNSHIP 123-124 Township/City
1-49 = Township within county
$50-99=$ City within county
$44=$ Lapeer
$47=$ Livingston
$50=$ Macomb
$63=$ Oakland
$81=$ Washtenaw
$82=$ Wayne

125-126 Ward
$00=$ No ward
127-129 Voter precinct number (Actual precinct code)

Precinct flag
A or $\mathrm{B}=$ Divides precinct into two sections
$?=$ Registered to vote, but appeared to be a temporary address

- = Avoid, was registered to bote but no longer at address

Vote in Presidential election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted

Vote in Mayorial/City Council election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted
Vote in School Board election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted
TAX

Vote in Millage/Tax (special Election) election (most recent time person voted)
(Last two digists of year)
$00=$ Has not voted

| ABSENTEE | 143 | Voted absentee (persons age 60 and older more consistant) <br> $A=$ Voted absentee once <br> $B=$ Voted absentee twice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEMOCTAT | 144 | Democrat <br> $\mathrm{D}=$ Assumed Democrat (phone survey) <br> $\mathrm{X}=$ Declarred Democrat (voting booth) |
| REPUBLIC | 145 | Republican <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ Assumed Republican (phone survey) <br> $X=$ Declarred Republican (voting booth) |
| INDEPEND | 146 | Independent (use inconjunction with Democrat and Republican) <br> $\mathrm{I}=$ Assumed Independent (phone survey) <br> $X=$ Declarred Independent (voting booth) |
| TRACT | 147-152 | Census Tract number (Six digist number) |
| BLOCK | 153-156 | Census Block number (Four digit number) |
| VALUE | 157-159 | Average value of housing on block (1990 Census) (In thousands) |
| OWNERS | 160-163 | Number of owner occupied houses on Census block (Actual number) |
| RENTERS | 164-167 | Number of renters on Census block (Actual number) |
| ROUTE | 168-171 | Postal carrier route number <br> (Determined by post office) |
| CUMGPA | 172-176 | Cummulative Grade Point Average (To date GPA) |
| CURRIC | 177-179 | Curriculum Code |
| FYRSES | 180-182 | First year/session attended at OCC (Format: YYS) |
| HSCODE | 183-187 | High school code |
| HSDATE | 188-191 | High school graduation date |
| PYRSES | 192-194 | Previous term attended (Format: YY/S) |
| PROGRAM | 195-197 | Program |
| DEGREE | 198-200 | Degree |
| DEGDATE | 201-204 | Degree date |

RACE 205-205 Race

CUMCRED
206-211 Cummulative credits
TYPE 212-213 Status type

OTHAID
214-214
Financial aid scholorship

REGISTER Are you registered to vote in Oakland Co

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 1 | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases | 200 | Missing cases | 0 |  |  |  |

OWNHOME Own a home in Oakland County or renting?

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rent |  | 0 | 19 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| Own | 1 | 161 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 90.0 |  |
| Other | 2 | 20 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases | 200 | Missing cases | 0 |  |  |  |

ISSUES

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Care | 1 | 40 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Education | 2 | 30 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 35.4 |
| Crime and drugs | 3 | 58 | 29.0 | 29.3 | 64.6 |
| The environment | 4 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 69.2 |
| State taxes and gove | 5 | 29 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 83.8 |
| The economy and jobs | 6 | 23 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 95.5 |
| Local property taxes | 7 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| No response | 9 | 2 | 1.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 198 | Missing c | ases 2 |  |  |  |

SUPPORT Support type of education offered by com

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very non-supportive |  |  |  | .5 | .5 |
| Somewhat non-support | 2 | 1 | .5 | .5 |  |
| Neither supportive n | 3 | 6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Somewhat supportive | 4 | 41 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 2.6 .4 |
| Very supportive | 5 | 145 | 72.5 | 73.6 | 100.0 |
| No response/Dont kno | 9 | 3 | 1.5 | Missing |  |
|  |  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

RATE Rate overall educational experience at o

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very unsatisfactory | 1 | 5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Somewhat unsatisfact | 2 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 7.1 |
| Neither satisfactory | 3 | 8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 11.2 |
| Somewhat satisfactor | 4 | 63 | 31.5 | 32.1 | 43.4 |
| Very satisfactory | 5 | 111 | 55.5 | 56.6 | 100.0 |
| No response/Dont kno | 9 | 4 | 2.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 196 | Missing c | ses |  |  |  |

REASON Specific reason for rating experience

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High quality/good te | 1 | 113 | 56.5 | 59.5 | 59.5 |
| Flexible scheduling | 2 | 12 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 65.8 |
| Successful transfer | 3 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 69.5 |
| support system avail | 4 | 6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 72.6 |
| good locations | 5 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 73.7 |
| Experience too limit | 8 | 14 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 81.1 |
| Registration/schedul | 15 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 82.1 |
| Did not improve job | 16 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 83.2 |
| Poor teaching/qualit | 17 | 26 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 96.8 |
| Classes not offered/ | 18 | 1 | . 5 | . 5 | 97.4 |
| Problems with transf | 19 | 1 | . 5 | . 5 | 97.9 |
| Did not complete edu | 20 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 98.9 |
| Inadequate technolog | 21 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| No response | 99 | 10 | 5.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 190 | Missing c | ases 10 |  |  |  |

SOURCE Which one should contribute most revenue

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuition | 1 | 57 | 28.5 | 30.3 | 30.3 |
| State Aid | 2 | 48 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 55.9 |
| Local Taxes | 3 | 19 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 66.0 |
| Combination (State A | 4 | 10 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 71.3 |
| Combination (State A | 5 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 76.1 |
| Combination (Tuition | 6 | 5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 78.7 |
| Combination (Tuition | 7 | 40 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 100.0 |
| No Response | 9 | 12 | 6.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 188 | Missing | ases 12 |  |  |  |

MILLS How many mills currently go to OCC

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than one mill | 1 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| One mill | 2 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 8.0 |
| More than one but le | 3 | 12 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 |
| More than two mills | 4 | 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 15.0 |
| Unsure what the curr | 8 | 170 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 200 | Missing cas | ases 0 |  |  |  |

VOTE Do you think you would vote ...

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Definitely vote agai | 1 | 13 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| Probably vote agains | 2 | 16 | 8.0 | 9.3 | 16.9 |
| Lean towards voting | 3 | 7 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 20.9 |
| Lean towards voting | 4 | 11 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 27.3 |
| Probably vote for | 5 | 103 | 51.5 | 59.9 | 87.2 |
| Definitely vote for | 6 | 22 | 11.0 | 12,8 | 100.0 |
| No response | 9 | 28 | 14.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 172 | Missing c | ases 28 |  |  |  |

WHYVOTE Why vote this way?


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Likely to vote. } 8.6 \% \\
& 7.8 \% \\
& 3.4 \% \\
& 43 \% \\
& \text { Prissy } 59 \% \\
& \text { Dokniten } 17.2
\end{aligned}
$$

LIKELY What is the likelihood that you will vot

| Value Label |  | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unlikely |  | 1 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Likely |  | 2 | 48 | 24.0 | 25.4 | 29.1 |
| Very likely |  | 3 | 134 | 67.0 | 70.9 | 100.0 |
| No response |  | 9 | 11 | 5.5 | Missing |  |
|  |  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases | 189 | Missing | ses 11 |  |  |  |

STATE1 Additional revenue will go towards provi

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cum } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 16 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 11.3 |
|  | 3 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 14.9 |
|  | 4 | 27 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 28.9 |
|  | 5 | 31 | 15.5 | 16.0 | 44.8 |
|  | 6 | 33 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 61.9 |
|  | 7 | 27 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 75.8 |
| Very convincing | 8 | 47 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
| No response | 9 | 6 | 3.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 194 | Missing c | ases 6 |  |  |  |

STATE2 Used to supplement teachers salaries

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 24 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 16.6 |
|  | 3 | 18 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 26.2 |
|  | 4 | 25 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 39.6 |
|  | 5 | 37 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 59.4 |
|  | 6 | 40 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 80.7 |
|  | 7 | 17 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 89.8 |
| Very convincing <br> No response | 8 | 19 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 9 | 13 | 6.5 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 187 | Missing | ases 13 |  |  |  |

STATE3 Used to offset tuition costs, to keep lo

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 10 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
|  | 2 | 1 | . 5 | . 5 | 5.7 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 9.8 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 17.6 |
|  | 5 | 31 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 33.7 |
|  | 6 | 27 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 47.7 |
|  | 7 | 34 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 65.3 |
| Very convincing No response | 8 | 67 | 33.5 | 34.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 9 | 7 | 3.5 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 193 | Missing c | ases 7 |  |  |  |

STATE4 A healthy OCC keeps Oakland County busin


STATE5 OCC has aggressively cut costs, but now

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 18 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 14.8 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 19.7 |
|  | 4 | 28 | 14.0 | 15.3 | 35.0 |
|  | 5 | 26 | 13.0 | 14.2 | 49.2 |
|  | 6 | 37 | 18.5 | 20.2 | 69.4 |
|  | 7 | 32 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 86.9 |
| Very convincing | 8 | 24 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| No response | 9 | 17 | 8.5 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 183 | Missing c | ases 17 |  |  |  |

STATE6 OCC helped me get where I am today

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 53 | 26.5 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | 2 | 11 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 34.6 |
|  | 3 | 12 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 41.1 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 49.2 |
|  | 5 | 17 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 58.4 |
|  | 6 | 19 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 68.6 |
|  | 7 | 24 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 81.6 |
| Very convincing No response | 8 | 34 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 9 | 15 | 7.5 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 185 | Missing c | ases 15 |  |  |  |

STATE7 OCC experience was very important to me

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Not at all convincin | 1 | 19 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
|  | 2 | 12 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 16.2 |
|  | 3 | 9 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 20.9 |
|  | 4 | 23 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 33.0 |
|  |  | 6 | 17 | 8.5 | 8.9 |
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EDUCATE Last year of school that you completed?

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cum <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grades 1-11 | 1 | 1 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 |
| High school graduate | 2 | 8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Vocational/technical | 3 | 7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 8.1 |
| Some college |  | 114 | 57.0 | 57.6 | 65.7 |
| College graduate | 5 | 44 | 22.0 | 22.2 | 87.9 |
| Post-graduate school | 6 | 24 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
| No Response | 9 | 2 | 1.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 198 | Missing c | ses |  |  |  |


| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed full-time | 1 | 108 | 54.0 | 54.5 | 54.5 |
| Employed part-time | 2 | 29 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 69.2 |
| Unemployed, looking | 3 | 4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 71.2 |
| Retired | 4 | 22 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 82.3 |
| Student | 5 | 13 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 88.9 |
| Homemaker | 6 | 19 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 98.5 |
| Unemployed, medical | 7 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| No Response | 9 | 2 | 1.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 198 | Missing c | ses |  |  |  |

PARTY Consider yourself a Republican, Democrat

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strong Republican | 1 | 44 | 22.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Not strong Republica | 2 | 23 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 35.3 |
| Independent-Lean Rep | 3 | 13 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 42.1 |
| Independent | 4 | 52 | 26.0 | 27.4 | 69.5 |
| Independent-Lean Dem | 5 | 11 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 75.3 |
| Not strong Democrat | 6 | 21 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 86.3 |
| Strong Republican | 7 | 23 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 98.4 |
| Other | 8 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| No Response | 9 | 10 | 5.0 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 190 | Missing | ses 10 |  |  |  |

INCOME

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$25,000 | 1 | 18 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| \$25,000 to \$35,000 | 2 | 26 | 13.0 | 15.8 | 26.7 |
| \$35,000 to \$45,000 | 3 | 32 | 16.0 | 19.4 | 46.1 |
| \$45,000 to \$60,000 | 4 | 34 | 17.0 | 20.6 | 66.7 |
| \$60,000 to \$75,000 | 5 | 22 | 11.0 | 13.3 | 80.0 |
| \$75,000 to \$90,000 | 6 | 15 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 89.1 |
| \$90,000 or more | 7 | 18 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| No response | 9 | 35 | 17.5 | Missing |  |
|  | Total | 200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Valid cases 165 | Missing | ases 35 |  |  |  |
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ISSUES Issues that people are concerned about $i$ by VOTE Do you think you would vote ....

|  | VOTE | Page | 1 of 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Row Pct Col Pct Tot Pct | No voter S 10 | Yes vote rs $11$ | Row <br> Total |
| ISSUES |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 30 | 35 |
| Health Care | 14.3 | 85.7 | 20.6 |
|  | 14.7 | 22.1 |  |
|  | 2.9 | 17.6 |  |
| Education 2 | 4 | 22 | 26 |
|  | 15.4 | 84.6 | 15.3 |
| Education | 11.8 | 16.2 |  |
|  | 2.4 | 12.9 |  |
| 3 | 10 | 44 | 54 |
| Crime and drugs | 18.5 | 81.5 | 31.8 |
|  | 29.4 | 32.4 |  |
|  | 5.9 | 25.9 |  |
| 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| The environment |  | 100.0 | 4.7 |
|  |  | 5.9 |  |
|  |  | 4.7 |  |
| State taxes and | 9 | 14 | 23 |
|  | 39.1 | 60.9 | 13.5 |
|  | 26.5 | 10.3 |  |
|  | 5.3 | 8.2 |  |
| The economy and | 3 | 13 | 169.4 |
|  | 18.8 | 81.3 |  |
|  | 8.8 | 9.6 |  |
|  | 1.8 | 7.6 |  |
| 7 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Local property t | 37.5 | 62.5 | 4.7 |
|  | 8.8 | 3.7 |  |
|  | 1.8 | 2.9 |  |
| Column <br> Total | 34 | 136 | 170 |
|  | 20.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 |

Number of Missing Observations: 30

LIKELY What is the likelihood that you will vot by VOTE Do you think you would vote ....


Number of Missing Observations: 34

