## Developmental English

## Candidacy, Placement \& Enrollment

- All the data reported in this document come from the Student Information System (SIS) records for Fall 1999. This data was captured as of the One-tenth day of the Fall semester (approximately the $3^{\text {rd }}$ week in September).
- Unless otherwise stated, all of the relationships reported in this document are statistically significant.
- FTIAC $=$ First Time In Any College
- Home Campus was used to get some idea of how students are distributed across OCCs campuses. However, Home Campus ONLY indicates the campus at which student registered. And while students tend to take courses at the campus where they enrolled, it should be kept in mind that they may take their course anywhere.
- Candidacy for Developmental English was based on a combined ASSET Language and Reading score of 85 or lower.
- Placement into Developmental English was based on a students' Directed English Placement Scores where:
**0 $=$ Do not qualify for placement
1 = Placement into ENG 105 (Developmental)
2 = Placement into ENG 106 (Developmental)
3 = Placement into ENG 151 (Non-Developmental)
4 = Placement into ENG 152 (Non-Developmental)
** While this value exists in the SIS, it is not applied strictly to individuals who test below ENG 105, but may also be assigned to students who did not take ASSET or submit a writing sample. In such instances, these individuals may also receive no value and the field left 'blank'. Therefore, because of its lack of reliability, all ' 0 ' values were excluded for this analysis.
- A similar report for Developmental Math is in the works.


## Demographic Characteristics of OCC Students,Fall 1999

| GENDER |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  | AGE GROUP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Male | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$ | § | $\begin{gathered} 16-21 \\ y r s . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22-29 \\ y r s . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30+ \\ & y r s . \end{aligned}$ |
| 59\% | 41\% | 13\% | 1\% | 5\% | 73\% | 3\% | 5\% | 38\% | 31\% | 31\% |

## OCC Characteristics of Fall 1999 Students

| HOME CAMPUS |  |  |  | AVG. \# CREDITS TAKEN |  | REASON FOR ATTENDING OCC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AH | HL | OR | SE | Mean | Mode |  | 边 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{s} \\ & \text { en } \\ & \text { so } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | む |
| $31 \%$ | 18\% | 22\% | 29\% | 8 | 4 | 44\% | 39\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% |

■16\% of those enrolled are FTIACs.
■ $\mathbf{8 \%}$ of those enrolled have been placed in ELS.

## Top 5 Curriculum Choices Fall 1999

- Liberal Arts ....................................11.3\%
- Business Administration ....................9.6\%
- Computer Information Systems ...........5.7\%
- General Studies .................................5.3\%
- Nursing (Applicant) ................................3.8\%
- Undecided .........................18.2\%
- Non-Degree Student...........................4.2\%


## Math ASSET Performance Average Test Score for Fall 1999

- There were 23445
 registered in Fall 1999, 3757 ( $16 \%$ ) were FTIACs
- $9444(40 \%)$ of these students took the Numerical Skills portion of ASSET
- 1674 (7\%) wrote the Elementary Algebra section
- 1190 (5\%) completed the Intermediate Algebra ASSET


## English ASSET Performance Average Test Score for Fall 1999



## Candidates for Placement into Developmental English

- According to their ASSET scores, 8096 or $35 \%$ of all enrollees qualified for placement in Dev. English.
$=50 \%$ gall suderks taking Asset.
- $17 \%$ of these candidates were FTIACs.
- However as a group, FTIACs are more apt than 'non-first-timers' to be candidates for Dev. English

```
Among those who took Ass FT...
```

- 64\% of all FTIACs vs. only $58 \%$ of all Non $=354.06 \mathrm{Ac}$ FTIACS ${ }^{\text {testede }}$ qualified for placement in Dev. English


## Gender, Race/Ethnicity \& Age as Factors in Development English Candidacy

- Females \& males are equally as likely to be candidates for Dev. English. (59\% of each)
- Minority students are significantly more likely than non-minorities to qualify for Dev. English.
- African American (77\%), Asian (69\%), American Indian (65\%), \& Hispanic ( $62 \%$ ) students as well as those categorized as 'Other' ( $66 \%$ ) were all candidates more often than Caucasian students. In comparison, only $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ of Caucasian students qualified to be placed in Dev. English.
- Older students (those 30 yrs. or older), were slightly more likely than their younger counterparts, to be candidates for Dev. English.
- 30+ yrs. - 62\% candidate for Dev. English
- 16-29 yrs. - $58 \%$ candidate fore Dev. English


## Placement into Developmental English



- 11865 students received English Placement scores between 1 and 4.
- 5250 or $22 \%$ of $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{L L}$ students ENROLLED were placed into either ENG 105 or ENG 106.
- 6615 students were placed in NonDevelopmental English courses

[^0]
## The Relationship between Candidacy for Developmental English \& Actual Placement

- However, of the original group of

Percentage of Developmental
English Candidates across Directed Placement Categories 8096 candidates for Dev. English, only 5858 received scores placing them in any English course.

- Thus, $61 \%$ of those initially identified as potential Dev. English students are so placed.
- $12 \%$ actually place out of Dev. English.
- The placement status of $27 \%$ of Dev. English candidates is unknown.
- A small number, $1 \%$ of students not originally testing as Dev. English students, become so after further placement testing.


# Candidacy vs. Placement: for FTIACs \& Non-FTIACs 

\% Candidate for \% Directly Placed Developmental into
English
Developmental English

| FTIACs | $64 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Non- | $58 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| FTIACs |  |  |

## Candidacy vs. Placement: by Gender

|  | \% Candidate for Developmental English | \% Directly Placed <br> into <br> Developmental <br> English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| female | 59\% | 43\% |
| males | 59\% | 46\% |

## Candidacy vs. Placement: by Race/Ethnicity

|  | \% Candidate for <br> Developmental <br> English | \% Directly Placed <br> in Developmental <br> English |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American | $77 \%$ | $60 \%$ |

## Candidacy vs. Placement: by Age Group

|  | \% Candidate for <br> Developmental <br> English | \% Directly Placed <br> in Developmental <br> English |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30+$ yrs. | $62 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| $22-29$ yrs. | $58 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| $16-21$ yrs. | $58 \%$ | $43 \%$ |

## Candidacy vs. Placement: by Home Campus

|  | \% Candidate <br> for <br> Developmental <br> English | \% Directly <br> Placed in <br> Developmental <br> English |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AH | $42 \% *$ | $46 \%$ |

[^1]

## In Fall 1999, 1,218 students enrolled in English 105 or English 106.

This number represents 5\% of all the students enrolled during this term.

# Only $17 \%$ of those with a Directed English Placement score of 1 or 2 went on to enroll in a Developmental English course in the fall term. 

Although of those enrolled, 99\% had received a Directed Placement score of 1 or 2.

## Percentage of Students Enrolled in Developmental English by Home Campus

- Enrollment in Dev. English is greatest at the Auburn Hills campus, were overall enrollment is highest.
- However, the Highland Lakes campus has the greatest percentage of its students enrolled in Dev. English.



## Enrollment among FTIACs \& Non-FTIACs



- FTIACs were 10 times more likely than NonFTIACs to be enrolled in Dev. English.
- Even though FTIACs represented only $16 \%$ of the Fall '99 enrollment, they constituted $60 \%$ of all Dev. English students.


## Gender, Race/Ethnicity \& Age as Factors in Development English Enrollment

- Females \& males were equally as likely to be candidates for Dev. English, but more males were enrolled (6\%) than females (4\%).
- Minority students were more likely than Caucasian students to be identified as potential Dev. English students. However, minorities were not in all cases, more likely than non-minorities to enroll in ENG 105 or ENG 106.

American - 8\% enrolled Caucasian - 4\% enrolled
'Other' -- 8\% enrolled
Hispanic - 5\% enrolled
American Indian - 4\% enrolled
Asian - 1\% enrolled

- While older students (those 30 yrs. or older), were slightly more likely than their younger counterparts, to be candidates for Dev. English, younger students were most apt to actually be enrolled.
- 30+ yrs. - 1\% enrolled in Dev. English
- 22-29 yrs. - 2\% enrolled in Dev. English
- 16-21 yrs. - 10\% enrolled in Dev. English


## Developmental vs. Non-Developmental English Students: Credits Registered



Non-Developmental vs. Developmental English Students: Primary Reason for Attending OCC.


## Developmental Education \& ESL Students

- 1757 or $8 \%$ students enrolled received an ESL Placement score.
- Among these students only 78 or $4 \%$ had Reading \& Language Skills ASSET scores.
- $97 \%$ of ESL students with an ASSET score, placed at the developmental level.
- Only 9 ( $0.5 \%$ ) ESL student enrolled in a developmental English course in the Fall of 1999.


[^0]:    * scores of '0’ excluded

[^1]:    *differences between campuses NOT statistically significant for this item.

