Executive Summary

College Brain Trust's Report to the College December 16, 2011

The College Brain Trust (CBT) consultants interacted with college personnel in a variety of ways throughout the course of this project. The "initial discovery process" meetings were held with a variety of groups involved in planning including Institutional Research, the Chancellor and the Chancellor's Cabinet. Investigations into the data resources, a three-hour, interactive session for a diverse group of faculty and staff and interviews resulted in the findings, process and expectations summarized in the full document. The CBT report focused on three areas of review: the culture of evidence needed as a precondition for effective planning; external stakeholder engagement, which is critical to achieving the college's goals; and the college's capacity to plan, as evidenced by its culture, its experience and understanding of planning, and its commitment to become an institution that values continuous quality improvement. Readers are encouraged to read the full report on the Infomart site. Below is a summary of each area including strengths, and challenges/recommendations.

Culture of evidence

Strengths

• Examples of some of the many structured assessment and evaluation practices include:

The Dashboard, which includes approximately 100 program measures

A holistic approach Academic program performance review framework

Achieving the Dream

KPI's and Tracking Measures for the Strategic Plan

Student learning outcomes assessment practices

Challenges/Recommendations

• Define role of assessment, information and outcomes evaluation,

Define terms- student success, student rigor

Develop Workshops on- How to use data and what it means

Integration of Institutional Planning

Develop a program review calendar

Develop annual IR report of research and important conclusions and issues

External Stakeholder Engagement

Strengths

• Strong relationships are maintained by the campus presidents, and services like Economic and Workforce Development, the Foundation, and Office of Institutional Research.

Oakland University (O2O) partnership

Articulation agreements

Partnerships with K-12

Challenges/Recommendations

• Create a clear focus on the External Stakeholders Engagement

Set College wide priorities that creates a college-wide agenda

Establish who will set the vision

Set a clear integration of the college resources to leverage these stakeholders Identify and share information about critical external stakeholder groups

College's Capacity to Plan

Strengths

• Significant community partnerships in fields as health and medicine and public safety
Focused community engagement efforts on greater support of the college's programs
Commitment to the college by employees from every employee group
Demonstrated the capacity and willingness to engage in institutional planning.
Focused planning on student
Engaged "bottom-up" planning at the campus level

Challenges/Recommendations

 Develop a framework of institutional planning Improve communication transparency and Accountability Develop a clear vision for student success

Define links between the CAMP and other institutional planning

Develop an annual assessment of progress in meeting

In other words, OCC is not unlike a great many public community colleges facing the challenges of increasingly needy students, declining resources, increased demands from government regulatory agencies and accrediting bodies, and generational turnover within the ranks of faculty and staff. But unlike many colleges, OCC has chosen to confront its problems. The CBT team has been impressed by the willingness of the college community to answer questions, accept suggestions, and otherwise engage in serious dialogue with us about these important issues. We sense a strong commitment to make changes where needed, and to preserve what has made the college prosper. CBT is excited about the prospect of working alongside you as you develop the College Academic Master Plan.