OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE LEGAL ASSISTANT PROGRAM SURVEY (Winter 1990)

Question: Where do you work?

01 Law Firm of Schafert Weiner, P.C.

02 Hudsons

×.

04 Collins, Blaha, Slatkin

05 Muir Bros Funeral Home

06 Collins & Blaha, P.C.

08 Oakland Community College - B.P.I.

10 Pavilion

11 Uptown Associates

12 Oakland County Friend of the Court

13 Andrew Foltyn Inc.

14 Madison Height Police Department

15 Frederic M. Rosen, P.C.

16 Record Copy Services, Detroit, MI 18 Law firm Charters, Heck & O'Donnell, P.C.

19 State of Michigan

Ť.

20 Michigan Mutual Insurance Company, Legal Dept.

21 Goodman and Eklund-Easley

23 Law Offices of Earle I. Erman

25 Red Ford Office Product

26 Botsford General Hospital

27 Bukers Huwn & Leisure, Inc.

29 Pear, Sperling, Eggan & Muskourtz

31 CO-OP Optical, Dearborn, MI

32 Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone

33 UMS of America

34 Service Merchandise

35 Fried, Saperstein, DeVine & Kohn, P.C.

36 Patrick, Johnson & King, P.C. 37 Michigan Bell

يە ، مى

38 Michigan Bell

41 UAW-GM HRC

44 Sheldon G. Larky, Attorney

45 Michigan National Bank - Commercial Real Estate Division ÷

46

T.J. Maxx - Loss Prevention

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE LEGAL ASSISTANT PROGRAM SURVEY (Winter 1990)

Question: Where do you work?

Andrew Foltyn Inc. **Botsford General Hospital** Bukers Huwn & Leisure, Inc. CO-OP Optical, Dearborn, MI Collins, Blaha & Slatkin, P.C. Frederic M. Rosen, P.C. Fried, Saperstein, DeVine & Kohn, P.C. Goodman and Eklund-Easley Hudsons Law Firm Charters, Heck & O'Donnell, P.C. Law Offices of Earle I. Erman Law Firm of Schafert Weiner, P.C. Madison Height Police Department Michigan National Bank - Commercial Real Estate Division Michigan Bell Michigan Mutual Insurance Company, Legal Dept. Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone Muir Bros Funeral Home Oakland County Friend of the Court Oakland Community College - B.P.I. Patrick, Johnson & King, P.C. Pavilion Pear, Sperling, Eggan & Muskourtz Record Copy Services, Detroit, MI Red Ford Office Product Service Merchandise Sheldon G. Larky, Attorney State of Michigan T.J. Maxx - Loss Prevention **UAW-GM HRC** UMS of America **Uptown Associates**

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE INSTITUTIONAL PLANNING AND ANALYSIS

11:19 Thursday, July 11, 1996 UNDUPLICATED HEADCOUNT OF STUDENTS DECLARING LGL CURRICULUM

TABLE OF ETHNIC BY YEAR AND SESSION

ETHNIC

YEAR AND SESSION

| Frequency Percent Row Pct COL PCT | SUMM 95 | FALL 95 | WINTER96 | SPRING96 | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ DECLARED | 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 3 0.86 75.00 1.74 | | 1 0.29 25.00 2.78 | - 4 1.14 |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ CERTIFIED | 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 1 0.29 100.00 0.58 | 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 1 0.29 |
| ASIAN AMERICAN | 2 0.57 28.57 2.41 | 4 1.14 57.14 2.33 | | 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 | - 7 2.00 |
| BLACK AMERICAN | | | j 1.71 | 10 2.86 19.23 27.78 | 52 14.86 |
| HISPANIC AMERICA | 1 0.29 12.50 1.20 | | 0.86 | 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 8 2.29 |
| WHITE AMERICAN | 65 18.57 23.38 78.31 | 139 39.71 50.00 80.81 | | 25 7.14 8.99 69.44 | 278 79.43 |
| Total | 83 23.71 | 172 49.14 | 59 16.86 | 36 10.29 | 350 100.00 |

Frequency Missing = 58 (unreported) Loroll 11/2000



OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE

ORCHARD RIDGE CAMPUS • 27055 ORCHARD LAKE RD. • FARMINGTON HILLS, MICHIGAN 48018 • 313-471-7500

July 15, 1990

Dear OCC Legal Assistant Program Students and Graduates;

Enclosed is a questionnaire which we would like you to take a few minutes to fill out and return in the self addressed envelope. Please mail it back within one week so we can get your input before Fall Semester.

As you know, we are preparing the Legal Assistant Program for review by the American Bar Association. Your comments will help us make both short term and long term improvements in the program. We are always striving to improve the quality of the program and the quality of your educational experience.

We would very much appreciate your comments and your help in making the program one of the best training programs for legal assistants.

Very truly yours,

J. Michael Kinney Faculty Coordinator

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE LEGAL ASSISTANT PROGRAM STUDENT SURVEY Spring, 1990

Your views and opinions on the following items will assist OCC in evaluating and planning for the future development of the Legal Assistant Program. Be assured that your responses will be held in strict confidence and will be revealed only in summary or statistical form. We thank you for your participation in this effort to improve your Legal Assistant Program. (Also, if you have further comments, suggestions, or ideas, you are invited and encouraged to contact Professor Michael Kinney who is the college's coordinator of the program and discuss them. He is available at 471-7750.)

1. In what year did you first enroll in LGL classes at OCC?

| 1990 |
|--------------------|
| 1989 |
| 1988 |
| 1987 |
| 1986 or before |

2. In what semester did you first enroll in LGL classes at OCC?

- Fall
 - Winter
 - Spring
 - Summer

3. When you first enrolled in a LGL course, your primary intention was:

_____To improve skills for a job you then held.

To prepare for a promotion in the same firm.

To prepare for a career shift.

To satisfy a personal interest in a particular subject.

Other (write in)

4. Why did you select OCC for your LGL training?

Location convenient to home or work.

Less expensive than alternatives.

General reputation of college.

Specific reputation of OCC's LGL Program.

- Already attending OCC and switched program.
- Other (write in)
- 5. Which institutions did you consider as alternatives for your LGL training?
 - No other institution.
 - Henry Ford Community College.

Macomb Community College.

Madonna College.

- Oakland University.
- ____ Other (write in)____

6. How did you first become aware of the OCC LGL program? (Please check only one response.)

- Referred by an attorney.
- Referred by a college counselor.

Referred by a high school counselor.

Referred by a practicing Legal Assistant.

Read a LGL program information booklet from OCC.

Noticed program in College Catalog.

- ____ Noticed course in College Schedule of Classes.
- ____ Other (write in)

7. When you <u>first</u> began your LGL training at OCC, did you <u>receive</u> the assistance/advice of a College Counselor?

___ Yes No 8. How would you rate the effectiveness of the academic counseling you have received at OCC?

5

- Very effective.
 - Somewhat effective.
- Not at all effective.

Have never received any.

9. Are you currently employed?

Yes (If "yes", please continue with question #10)

No (If "no", please go to question #25)

10. Approximately how many hours (weekly) do you work on average? hours.

11. Is your employment in a position related to your Legal Assistant training?

----- Yes No

12. Were you employed in this position prior to enrolling in the OCC Legal Assistant Program?

13. What is the Job Title of your current position? (Please Fill In)_____

14. How did you find your current job?

- ____ Family connection.
- Personal friend or associate.
- College placement agency.
- General placement agency.
- _____ Newspaper advertizement.
- College personnel referral.
- ____ Other (please indicate)_____
- 15. Approximately what is your hourly rate of pay? (Please indicate to nearest dollar) \$

16. Was your experience in the OCC Legal Assistant Program important in your getting your present job? _____Yes

____ No

17. Where do you work? (Please indicate Name of company or firm; if a large company, please indicate also the name of area or department.)

18. What kind of firm or company do you work for?

____ Private Legal firm.

- Corporate Legal Department.
- Government Agency.
- _____ Legal Aid Agency.
- ____ Other (write in)____

19. In general, how do you feel about your current position?

- _____ Very Satisfied.
- Satisfied.
- ____ Neutral.
- ____ Disappointed.
- Very Disappointed.
- Why do you feel this way?_____

20. In your present job, how important are each of the following skills or tasks to your overall success? (Circle number which applies.)

| | Not | | Very |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| | Import. | Import. | |
| a. Legal Research | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| b. Maintaining law library | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| c. Drafting Correspondence | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| d. Drafting Complaints | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| e. Word Processing | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| f. Office management | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| g. Bookkeeping | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| h. Billing | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| i. Liaison w/ court clerk | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| j. Typing | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| k. Filling out forms | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| l. Proofreading/Correcting | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| m. Scheduling meetings, etc | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| n. Calendaring | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| o. Filing | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| p. Messenger/Courier papers | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| q. Reception/Phone handling | 1 | 2 | 3 |

21. Are you active in any of the following professional associations or organizations? (Please check all that apply.)

Do not belong to any.

Legal Assistant Association of Michigan.

- National Association of Legal Assistants.
- Other (write in)

22. Please rank the following Job Titles in order as you see them from most (1) to least (9) prestigious.

| Legal Assistant | |
|---------------------|--|
| Court Reporter | |
| Law Clerk | |
| Legal Secretary | |
| Lawyer | |
| Secretary | |
| Paralegal | |
| Clark | |

- _____ Clerk Legal Intern

23. To what job do you next realistically aspire within the next 3 to 5 years? (Use above list or other job title and write in your answer.)

24. To what job do you eventually realistically aspire within the next 10 to 15 years? (Use list in question #22 or other job title and write in your answer.)

25. In retrospect, how would you rate the learning experience you had in each of the following courses? (Circle the appropriate value.)

| | Not Applicable | Very Low | Low | High | Very High |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----|------|--------------|
| Local Dascorph I | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Legal Research I | 0 | T | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Legal Research II | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Discovery | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Litigation | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Substantive Law | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Rules of Evidence | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Trial Preparation | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

26. From your present perspective, how would you rate the long-term <u>usefulness</u> of the information/training you received in each of the following courses?

| | Not | Very Somewhat Not | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Applicable | Useful | Useful | Useful | Useful |
| Legal Research I | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Legal Research II | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Discovery | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Litigation | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Substantive Law | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Rules of Evidence | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Trial Preparation | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

27. Please indicate any skills or specific information which you think should be added to or included in the LGL program:

28. Please indicate any <u>courses</u> you think should be added to the LGL program:

29. Please indicate any courses you think should be <u>deleted</u> from the LGL program:

30. Do you have any other recommendations for change in OCC's Legal Assistant program?

31. Are you currently taking courses in the LGL program at OCC?

a. Yes (Please go to question #33)

b. No (Please go to question #32)

32. What is the primary reason you are no longer taking courses in LGL at OCC? (Check only one answer.)

- ____Have completed my Certificate or Degree program in LGL AST.
- Am now taking supportive general education courses for program
- Disliked experience in program or courses.
- Found a better Legal Assistant program elsewhere.
- Substance of program less as useful than expected.

_____Decided to change to another program.

- Learned all I wanted; not interested in whole program.
- Financial considerations have postponed my continuation.
- Personal/Family priorities have postponed my continuation.
- ____Other (write in)____

Thank you for your help and advice in making the OCC Legal Assistant program serve you and future students better. The following information will be processed separately to preserve the confidentiality of your replies.

Would your employer be a good contact to participate in a Legal Assistant internship program?

Yes No____ If yes, Company or Firm Name: Contact Person: Work address: City: Zip: _____ Phone: Would you like to remain on our permanent mailing list for future information and opportunities? Yes No Would you like to receive a copy of the survey results Yes No If yes on either of the above questions Name: _____ Address: _____ City: _____ Zip: Phone:_____

Thank you for your responses. Please place the completed survey in the postage paid, self-addressed envelop and drop it in the mail today. Thank you.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=TERM TO GET.

***** Memory allows a total of 7638 Values, accumulated across all Variables. There also may be up to 955 Value Labels for each Variable. LGL90 PROGRAM SURVEY 11/16/90 Page 3 TERM FIRST SEMESTER IN OCC LGL Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent 71.7 73.3 73.3 15.2 15.6 88.9 10.9 11.1 100.0 2.2 MISSING 33 FALL 1 7 WINTER 2 3 5 SPRING 9 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 1 _____ ____ TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 ______ 4 LGL90 PROGRAM SURVEY 11/16/90 Page INTENT PRIMARY INTENTION Valid Cum . Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent

 3
 6.5
 7.0
 7.0

 2
 4.3
 4.7
 11.6

 31
 67.4
 72.1
 83.7

 4
 8.7
 9.3
 93.0

 3
 6.5
 7.0
 100.0

 3
 6.5
 MISSING

 TO IMPROVE SKILLS 1 2 FOR PROMOTION 3 FOR A CAREER SHIFT TO SATISFY PERSONAL 4 5 OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 43 Missing Cases 3 5 LGL90 PROGRAM SURVEY 11/16/90 Page SELECT WHY SELECTING OCC LGL Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent 1021.733.333.324.36.740.0 CONVENIENT LOCATION 1 2 LESS EXPENSIVE

 8.7
 13.3
 53.3

 8.7
 13.3
 66.7

 15.2
 23.3
 90.0

 6.5
 10.0
 100.0

 GENERAL REPUTATION З 4 SPECEFIC REPUTATION 4 4 ALREADY ATTENDING OC 5 7 OTHER 6 3 34.8 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 16 MISSING ----TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 30 Missing Cases 16 LGL90 PROGRAM SURVEY Page 11/16/90

INSTITUT ALTERNATIVE INSTITUTION

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | ; |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|----------|
| NO OTHER INSTITUTION HENRY FORD COMMUNITY MADONNA COLLEGE OAKLAND UNIV OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 2 4 5 | 23 2 12 3 4 | $4.3 \\ 26.1$ | $4.8 \\ 4.8 \\ 28.6$ | 59.5 64.3 92.9 | |
| | | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 42 | Missing Ca | ases 4 | | | | |
| Page 7 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LEARN HOW YOU BECOME | AWARE OF | OCC LGL | | | | |
| Value Label | | Frequency | Percent | | Percent | |
| REFERRED BY AN ATTOR REFERRED BY A COLLEG REFERRED BY A PRACTI READ A LGL PROGRAM I NOTICED PROGRAM IN C NOTICED COURSES IN C NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 4 5 6 7 9 | 1 2 3 7 17 2 14 | 2.2 4.3 6.5 15.2 37.0 4.3 30.4 | 3.1 6.3 9.4 21.9 53.1 6.3 MISSING | 3.1 9.4 18.8 40.6 93.8 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 32 | ; | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Page 8 LGL90 | PROGRAM SI | URVEY | | • | | 11/16/90 |
| COUNSEL ADVICE FROM CO | UNSELOR | I | , | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | ; |
| YES NO | 1 5 | | 52.2 47.8 | 47.8 | | |
| | TOTAL | | 100.0 | | | |
| Valid Cases 46 | Missing Ca | ases 0 | | | | |
| Page 9 LGL90 | PROGRAM SI | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RATE RATE OF COUNSE | LING EFFCT | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | Valid Percent | | ; |
| VERY EFFECTIVE SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE NEVER RECEIVE ANY NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 3 4 9 TOTAL | 28 6 3 1 46 | 13.0 | 62.2 13.3 6.7 MISSING | 80.0 93.3 | |
| Valid Cases 45 | | | | | | |
| Page 10 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | JRVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|----------|
| YES | | 37 | | 80.4 | | |
| NO | 1 5 | 9 | 19.6 | 19.6 | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 46 | Missing C | ases 0 | | | | ` |
| Page 11 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| HOURS WEEKLY WORKING | HOURS | | | 0 | | , |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 20 22 25 30 35 37 38 40 43 50 70 99 TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 3\\ 16\\ 1\\ 6\\ 1\\ 10\\ 46\\ 10 \end{array} $ | 2.2 2.2 4.3 6.5 2.2 6.5 34.8 2.2 13.0 2.2 21.7 100.0 | 2.8 2.8 5.6 8.3 2.8 8.3 44.4 2.8 16.7 2.8 MISSING | 2.8 5.6 8.3 13.9 22.2 25.0 33.3 77.8 80.6 97.2 100.0 | |
| | Missing C | | - <u></u> | | | |
| - | PROGRAM S | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RELATE RELATION TO LG Value Label | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| YES | · 1 5 | 14 | 30.4 47.8 | 38.9 61.1 | 38.9 100.0 | , |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 10 | | | | •. |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 36 | Missing C | ases 10 | • | | | |
| Page 13 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | · · · | | 11/16/90 |
| PRIOR PRIOR EMPLOYME | NT BEFORE | LGL | | | •••• | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| | <pre></pre> | 27 | 58.7 | 73.0 | 73.0 | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 10 9 | | 27.0 MISSING | | |
| NO | 5 | 10 | 21.7 19.6 | MISSING | | |

LGLOO PROGRAM SURVEY

Í

:

. . . .

ł

Dade

1 1

11/16/90

TITLE JOB TITLE

,

,

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|----------|
| CASHIER COMPUTER SPECIALIST CUSTOMER SERVICE SUP DEPARTMENTAL AIDE FUNERAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OFFICE SUPPO LEGAL SECRETARY LEGAL ASSISTANT NAIL TECHNICIAN NEWS MONITOR OPTICIAN ORDER ENTRY PARALEGAL PERSONAL ADMINISTRAT POLICE CLERK RECEPTIONIST SECRETARY SECURITY OFFICER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 19 20 | 1 1 1 1 2 7 6 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 | $\begin{array}{c} 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 4.3\\ 15.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 4.3$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 18.9\\ 16.2\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4\\ 5.4$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.7\\ 5.4\\ 8.1\\ 10.8\\ 13.5\\ 18.9\\ 37.8\\ 54.1\\ 56.8\\ 59.5\\ 62.2\\ 64.9\\ 70.3\\ 73.0\\ 75.7\\ 78.4\\ 83.8\\ 86.5\\ \end{array}$ | |
| Page 15 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| TITLE JOB TITLE STUDENT INTERN TELPHONE OPERATOR VENDOR RETURN COORDI WAITRESS STORE DETECTIVE NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 22 23 25 26 27 99 | 1 1 1 1 9 | 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 19.6 | 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 MISSING | 89.2 91.9 94.6 97.3 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | 100.0 | | - |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | ases 9 | | | | |
| Page 16 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| FIND HOW YOU FIND Y | OUR JOB | | | | ~ | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| FAMILY CONNECTION PERSONAL FRIEND OR A COLLEGE PLACEMENT AG GENERAL PLACEMENT AG NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEM OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 5 7 9 TOTAL | 1 3 10 7 11 | 2.2 6.5 21.7 | 25.7 2.9 8.6 28.6 20.0 MISSING | 40.0 42.9 51.4 80.0 | |
| Valid Cases 35 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Page 17 LGL90 | | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| PAY HOURLY RATE OF | | | | | | ,, wv |
| | PAY | | | | | |

500 2 43 59 59

| | 5.14 5.50 6.00 7.00 8.00 8.75 8.90 9.00 9.13 10.00 11.00 11.50 11.77 12.50 13.00 14.00 15.00 | 1 4 2 1 1 2 3 | 2.2 2.2 4.3 6.5 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 8.7 4.3 2.2 2.2 2.2 8.7 4.3 2.2 2.2 4.3 6.5 4.3 | 8.8 5.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 11.8 5.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 5.9 8.8 | 17.626.532.435.338.241.244.155.961.864.767.670.676.585.3 | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|----------|
| Page 18 LGL90 | PROGRAM SURVE | EY , | | | | 11/16/90 |
| PAY HOURLY RATE OF NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 18.00 20.00 | 2 | 2.2 4.3 26.1 | | | |
| | - TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Cases | s 12 | | | | |
| Page 19 LGL90 | PROGRAM SURVE | CY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| GETJOB HELP TO GET YOU | JR JOB | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value Fre | equency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| YES NO | 1 5 | 6 · 31 | 67.4 | 13.0 67.4 | 13.0 80.4 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 9. | 19.6 | 19.0 | 100.0 | ÷ |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 - TOTAL | 9 . 46 | | 19.8 | | - |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 46 | TOTAL | 46 | | | · | |
| 1 | TOTAL Missing Cases | 46 3 0 | | 100.0 | | 11/16/90 |
| Valid Cases 46 | TOTAL Missing Cases | 46 3 0 | | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 | TOTAL Missing Cases | 46 3 0 EY | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK | TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE | 46 3 0 EY | 100.0 Percent. | 100.0 | Cum Percent | |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK Value Label YES | TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE Value Fre | 46 3 0 5Y equency 36 1 9 | 100.0 Percent. | Valid Percent 97.3 2.7 MISSING | Cum Percent | |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK Value Label YES | TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE Value Fre 1 5 9 TOTAL | 46 5 0 57 9 36 1 9 46 | 100.0 Percent 78.3 2.2 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 97.3 2.7 MISSING | Cum Percent 97.3 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK Value Label YES NO | TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE Value Fre 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing Cases | 46 5 0 EY Sequency 36 1 9 46 5 9 | 100.0 Percent 78.3 2.2 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 97.3 2.7 MISSING | Cum Percent 97.3 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| Valid Cases 46 Page 20 LGL90 EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK Value Label YES NO Valid Cases 37 | TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE Value Fre 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing Cases PROGRAM SURVE | 46 5 0 EY Sequency 36 1 9 46 5 9 | 100.0 Percent 78.3 2.2 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 97.3 2.7 MISSING | Cum Percent 97.3 100.0 | 11/16/90 |

.

.

٠

| PRIVATE LEGAL FIRM GOVERNMENT AGENCY LEGAL AID AGENCY OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 3 4 5 9 | 1 18 | 26.1 6.5 2.2 39.1 26.1 | 2.9 52.9 | 44.1 47.1 | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 22 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| FEEL HOW YOU FEEL AI | BOUT YOUR | JOB | | | | |
| Value Label | · Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY SATISFIED SATISFIED NEUTRAL DISAPPOINTED VERY DISAPPOINTED NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 5 9 | 14 11 4 3 | 10.9 30.4 23.9 8.7 6.5 19.6 | 37.8 29.7 10.8 8.1 | 51.4 81.1 91.9 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | ases 9 | · | | | |
| Page 23 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| EXPLAIN EXPLANATION | | | | · | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 30 1 15 | 65.2 2.2 32.6 | 96.8 3.2 MISSING | 96.8 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 31 | Missing C | ases 15 | | · · · | | |
| Page 24 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RESEARCH LEGAL RESEARCH | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 26 6 2 12 | | 17.6 5.9 | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | · | | | |
| Page 25 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LIBRARY MAINTAINING LAV | / LIBRARY | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |

| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 28 . 4 . 2 12 | | 82.4 11.8 5.9 MISSING | 94.1 | , |
|--|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 26 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| CORRESP DRAFTING CORRE | SPONDENCE | · . | | | | × × |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO DESDONSE (INKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 15 4 15 | 32.6 8.7 32.6 26.1 | $11.8 \\ 44.1$ | 55.9 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | TOTAL | | 100.0 | | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 27 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| COMPLAIN DRAFTING COMPL | AIN | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 6 | 50.0 13.0 10.9 26.1 | $17.6 \\ 14.7$ | 85.3 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 28 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | · | | | 11/16/90 |
| WORD WORD PROCESSIN | G | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 14 8 12 12 | 30.4 17.4 26.1 26.1 | 23.5 35.3 | $41.2 \\ 64.7 \\ 100.0$ | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 29 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | · ` | 11/16/90 |
| , MANAGE OFFICE MANAGEM | ENT | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 16 | 34.8 | 47.1 | 47.1 | |

l i

ļ

| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 39 | 8 12 | 17.4 26.1 | 23.5 MISSING | 100.0 | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 、 | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Cases | 12 | | | | |
| Page 30 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SURVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| BOOK BOOKKEEPING | | | | - | | |
| Value Label | Value Frequ | uency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 23 5 6 12 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 | 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING | 82.4 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | * <u>.</u> |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Cases | 12 | | | | |
| Page 31 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SURVEY | | · | | | 11/16/90 |
| BILL BILLING | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value Frequ | uency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 16 10 8 12 | 34.8 21.7 17.4 26.1 | 47.1 29.4 23.5 MISSING | 47.1 76.5 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Cases | 12 | | | • | |
| Page 32 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SURVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| CLERK LIASON OR CO | URT CLERK | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value Frequ | uency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 9 | 20 6 8 12 | 43.5 13.0 17.4 26.1 | $17.6 \\ 23.5$ | 58.8 76.5 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | • | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Cases | 12 | | | | |
| Page 33 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SURVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| TYPING TYPING | | | | | | |
| , Value Label | Value Frequ | uency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | 1 2 3 | 8 10 16 17 | | 23.5 29.4 47.1 | | |

| | 110 7001 | T OINDIT OINIT | 9742V | | | | | A state for the state of the | | |
|---|------------------|--|-----------|------------------|-------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | Valid | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | | | |
| | Page | 34 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| | FORMS | FILLIN | g out foi | RMS | | | | | | |
| | Valu | ae Label. | • | Value | Frequ | ency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | ; |
| · | IMPORT VERY J | IPORTANT CANT MPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN(| NWC | 1 2 3 9 | | 15 | 19.6 21.7 32.6 26.1 | 44.1 | 55.9 | |
| | | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | Valid | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | | | |
| | Page | 35 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| | PROOF | PROOFR | EADING/CO | ORRECTING | | | | | | |
| | Valı | ae Label | | Value | Frequ | ency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | ; |
| | IMPORT VERY I | IPORTANT CANT MPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN(| NWC | 1 2 3 9 | | 4 9 20 13 | | 27.3 60.6 | 39.4 | |
| | | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3 | |
| | Valid | Cases | 33 | Missing C | ases | 13 | | | | |
| - | Page | 36 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | <u> </u> | | 11/16/90 |
| : | SCHED | SCHEDU | LING MEET | TINGS | | | | | | |
| | Valu | ae Label | | Value | Frequ | ency | Percent | Valid Percent | | , |
| | IMPORT VERY | IPORTANT CANT MPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN(| OWN | 1 2 3 9 | | 15 3 16 12 | 32.6 6.5 34.8 26.1 | 44.1 8.8 47.1 MISSING | 44.1 52.9 100.0 | |
| | | • | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | Valid | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | | | |
| | Page | 37 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| | CALENI | CALENDA | ARING | | | | | | | |
| | Valu | ae Label | | Value | Frequ | ency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; , |
| | IMPORT VERY I | IPORTANT CANT MPORTANT SPONSE/UNKNO | OWN | 1 2 3 9 | | 13 5 16 12 | 28.3 10.9 34.8 26.1 | $14.7 \\ 47.1$ | 52.9 | |
| | | | | መረመል ፓ | | 10 | 100 0 | 100 0 | | |

| | | | IOTHE | | 40 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--------------|
| Valid | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | <u></u> | | |
| Page | 38 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| FILE | FILING | ł | | | | | | | |
| Val | ue Label | | Value | Frequ | lency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| IMPOR VERY | MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN | IOWN | 1 2 3 9 | | 8 14 12 12 | 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 | | 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | | | |
| Page | 39 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| MESSA | GE MESSEN | IGER | | | | | | | |
| Val | ue Label | | Value | Frequ | lency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| IMPOR VERY | MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN | IOWN | 1 2 3 9 | | 20 8 6 12 | 43.5 17.4 13.0 26.1 | 23.5 | 58.8 82.4 100.0 | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ŕ | |
| 177.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| valio | Cases | 34 | Missing C | ases | 12 | | | | |
| Page | | | Missing C PROGRAM S | | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| Page | | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| Page | 40 | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY | 40 RECEPI | LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | uency | 10.9 | Percent | Cum Percent 14 7 | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT | LGL90 | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 | URVEY Frequ | tency 5 10 19 12 | 10.9 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING | Cum Percent 14 7 | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT | LGL90 ION/PHON | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL | URVEY Frequ | 5 10 19 12 46 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING | Cum Percent 14 7 | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE | 40 RECEPI ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN | LGL90 ION/PHONI IOWN 34 | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C | Frequ | 5 10 19 12 46 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING | Cum Percent 14.7 44.1 100.0 | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE Valid Page | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN | LGL90 ION/PHONI IOWN 34 LGL90 | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C PROGRAM S | Frequ | 5 10 19 12 46 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING | Cum Percent 14.7 44.1 100.0 | |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE Valid Page ASSOC | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN Cases 41 | LGL90 ION/PHON IOWN 34 LGL90 BELONG | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C PROGRAM S | URVEY Frequ ases URVEY | tency 5 10 19 12 46 12 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 100.0 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING | Cum Percent 14.7 44.1 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE Valid Page ASSOC Val YES NO | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN Cases 41 LA1 DO NOT | LGL90 ION/PHON IOWN 34 LGL90 BELONG | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C PROGRAM S | URVEY Frequ ases URVEY | aency 5 10 19 12 46 12 46 12 26 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 100.0 Percent 56.5 23.9 19.6 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING 100.0 Valid | Cum Percent 14.7 44.1 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| Page PHONE Val NOT I IMPOR VERY NO RE Valid Page ASSOC Val YES NO | 40 RECEPT ue Label MPORTANT TANT IMPORTANT SPONSE/UNKN Cases 41 Cases 41 Cases 41 | LGL90 ION/PHON IOWN 34 LGL90 BELONG | PROGRAM S E HANDLING Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C PROGRAM S FO ANY Value 1 5 | URVEY Frequences ases URVEY Freque | aency 5 10 19 12 | 10.9 21.7 41.3 26.1 100.0 Percent 56.5 23.9 | Percent 14.7 29.4 55.9 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 70.3 29.7 MISSING | Cum Percent 14.7 44.1 100.0 | 11/16/90 |

| Page 42 LGL9 | 0 PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--------------|
| ASSOCIA2 LEGAL ASSISTA | NT ASSOCIAT | ION OF MICH | I'' | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | Valid Percent | | · |
| YES NO NO · RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 27 | 21.7 58.7 19.6 | 73.0 | | · |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | ases 9 | | | | |
| Page 43 LGL9 | D PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| ASSOCIA3 NATIONAL ASSO | CIA OF LEGA | L ASSISTANT | 1 | · | | |
| Value Label | , Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 36 | 2.2 78.3 19.6 | 2.7 97.3 MISSING | · 2.7 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | ases 9 | | | | |
| Page 44 LGL9 | O PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| ASSOCIA4 OTHER | • | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | Value 1 5 9 | Frequency 6 31 9 | Percent 13.0 67.4 19.6 | Percent 16.2 83.8 | Percent 16.2 | |
| YES NO | 1 5 | 6 31 | 13.0 67.4 | Percent 16.2 83.8 | Percent 16.2 | |
| YES NO | 1 5 9 TOTAL | 6 31 9 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING | Percent 16.2 | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 | 1 5 9 TOTAL | 6 31 9 46 ases 9 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING | Percent 16.2 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 | 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C 0 PROGRAM S | 6 31 9 46 ases 9 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING | Percent 16.2 100.0 | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 | 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C 0 PROGRAM S NT | 6 31 9 46 ases 9 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 100.0 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid | Percent 16.2 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 Page 45 LGL9 RANK1 LEGAL ASSISTA | 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C 0 PROGRAM S NT | 6 31 9 46 ases 9 WRVEY | 13.0 67.4 19.6 100.0 Percent 8.7 19.6 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 13.3 30.0 20.0 23.3 | Percent 16.2 100.0 Cum Percent 13.3 43.3 63.3 | 11/16/90 |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 Page 45 LGL9 RANK1 LEGAL ASSISTA Value Label | 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C 0 PROGRAM S NT Value 2 3 4 5 6 | 6 31 9 46 ases 9 URVEY Frequency 4 9 6 7 4 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 100.0 Percent 8.7 19.6 13.0 15.2 8.7 34.8 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 13.3 30.0 20.0 23.3 13.3 MISSING | Percent 16.2 100.0 Cum Percent 13.3 43.3 63.3 | 11/16/90 |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 Page 45 LGL9 RANK1 LEGAL ASSISTA Value Label | 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C 0 PROGRAM S NT Value 2 3 4 5 6 0 TOTAL | 6 31 9 46 2ases 9 50RVEY Frequency 4 9 6 7 4 16 46 | 13.0 67.4 19.6 100.0 Percent 8.7 19.6 13.0 15.2 8.7 34.8 | Percent 16.2 83.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 13.3 30.0 20.0 23.3 13.3 MISSING | Percent 16.2 100.0 Cum Percent 13.3 43.3 63.3 | 11/16/90 |

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---------|
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS LEAST PRESTIGIOUS NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | 1 1 8 4 3 6 3 3 1 16 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 6.5 6.5 2.2 34.8 | 3.3 | 67 | · |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Ca | ases 16 | | | | |
| Page 47 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | JRVEY | | | 1 | 1/16/90 |
| RANK3 LAW CLERK | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 2 3 4 5 6 8 0 | 16 | 21.7 6.5 10.9 13.0 10.9 2.2 34.8 | 10.0 16.7 20.0 16.7 3.3 | 33.3 43.3 60.0 80.0 96.7 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Ca | ases 16 | | | | |
| Page 48 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | JRVEY | | | 1 | 1/16/90 |
| RANK4 LEGAL SECRETARY | Y | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 | 1 2 4 . 7 12 2 16 | 2.2 4.3 4.3 8.7 15.2 26.1 4.3 34.8 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Ca | ases 16 | | | | |
| Page 49 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | JRVEY | · | `````````````````````````````````````` | 1 | 1/16/90 |
| RANK5 LAWYER | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | · · |
| NOOT DEPENDENT OF OUR | 1 | 07 | 69 7 | 90.0 | <u>an n</u> | |

| | | PRESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKN | | 3 8 9 0 | | 1 1 1 16 | 2.2 2.2 2.2 34.8 | 3.3 3.3 3.3 MISSING | 93.3 96.7 100.0 | |
|---|--------------------------|---|-------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|---|--------------|
| | , | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Val: | id (| Cases . | 30 | Missing Ca | ses | 16 | | | | |
| Page | € | 50 | LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | IRVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RANI | X6 | SECRETA | ARY | , | | | | | | |
| Va | alu | e Label | , | Value | Frequ | lency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| | | PRESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKNO | | 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 | | 1 1 2 4 12 9 16 | 2.2 2.2 4.3 8.7 26.1 19.6 34.8 | 30.0 | 6.7 10.0 16.7 30.0 70.0 | |
| | | | | TOTAL | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Vali | id (| Cases | 30 | Missing Ca | ses | 16 | | | | |
| Page | e : | 51 | LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | JRVEY | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RANI | 37 | PARALE | GAL | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Va | alu | e Label | | Value | Frequ | lency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| MOSI | [P] | e Label RESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKNO | OWN | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 | Frequ | 1 7 7 9 3 2 1 16 | Percent 2.2 15.2 15.2 19.6 6.5 4.3 2.2 34.8 | Percent 3.3 23.3 | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 | |
| MOSI | [P] | RESTIGIOUS | JWN | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Frequ | 1 7 9 3 2 1 | $2.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 19.6 \\ 6.5 \\ 4.3 \\ 2.2$ | Percent 3.3 23.3 23.3 30.0 10.0 6.7 3.3 | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 | |
| MOST NO I | [P] RESI | RESTIGIOUS | | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 | | 1 7 9 3 2 1 16 | 2.2 15.2 15.2 19.6 6.5 4.3 2.2 34.8 | Percent 3.3 23.3 23.3 30.0 10.0 6.7 3.3 MISSING | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 | |
| NO F | [P] RESJ | RESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKNO Cases | 30 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 TOTAL | .ses | 1 7 9 3 2 1 16 46 | 2.2 15.2 15.2 19.6 6.5 4.3 2.2 34.8 | Percent 3.3 23.3 23.3 30.0 10.0 6.7 3.3 MISSING | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| NO H Vali Page RANH | [P] RES] id (| RESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKNO Cases | 30 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU | lses JRVEY | 1 7 9 3 2 1 16 46 16 | 2.2 15.2 15.2 19.6 6.5 4.3 2.2 34.8 | Percent 3.3 23.3 23.3 30.0 10.0 6.7 3.3 MISSING 100.0 Valid | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 100.0 | 11/16/90 |
| MOST NO I Vali Page RANI Va .MOST | [P] RESJ id (| RESTIGIOUS PONSE/UNKNO Cases 52 CLERK | 30 LGL90 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU | lses JRVEY | 1 7 9 3 2 1 16 46 16 | 2.2 15.2 19.6 6.5 4.3 2.2 34.8 100.0 Percent 2.2 2.2 17.4 15.2 | Percent 3.3 23.3 23.3 30.0 10.0 6.7 3.3 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 23.3 43.3 | Percent 3.3 26.7 50.0 80.0 90.0 96.7 100.0 Cum Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 56.7 | |

| Page 53 LGL90 | PROGRAM SURVEY | | 11/16/90 |
|---|--|--|--|
| RANK9 LEGAL INTERN | | | |
| Value Label | Value Frequency H | Valid Percent Percent | Cum Percent |
| LEAST PRESTIGIOUS NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 3 8 3 9 2 | 13.020.02.23.38.713.310.916.713.020.06.510.06.510.04.36.734.8MISSING | 23.3 36.7 53.3 73.3 83.3 93.3 |
| | TOTAL 46 | 100.0 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Cases 16 | | |
| Page 54 LGL90 | PROGRAM SURVEY | | 11/16/90 |
| HOPEA JOB ASPIRATION | FOR THE NEXT 3 TO 5 YE | EARS | |
| Value Label | Value Frequency H | Valid Percent Percent | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT LEGAL SECRETARY LAWYER PARALEGAL LEGAL INTERN OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 5 3 7 16 9 1 | | 39.5 47.4 89.5 92.1 |
| ч | TOTAL 46 | 100.0 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 38 | Missing Cases 8 | | |
| Page 55 LGL90 | PROGRAM SURVEY | | 11/16/90 |
| HOPEB JOB ASPIRATION | FOR THE NEXT 10 TO 15 | YEA | |
| Value Label | Value Frequency H | Valid Percent Percent | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT LAW CLERK LAWYER PARALEGAL OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | $\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 8 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 10 & 7 \\ 99 & 16 \\ $ | 13.0 20.0 4.3 6.7 17.4 26.7 15.2 23.3 15.2 23.3 34.8 MISSING | 26.7 53.3 76.7 |
| | TOTAL 46 | 100.0 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 30 | | | |
| Page56LGL90LRIALEGAL RESEARCH | | | 11/16/90 |
| Value Label | Value Frequency H | Valid Percent Percent | Cum Percent |

| VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 12 13 | 26.1 28.3 | 21.4 28.6 31.0 19.0 MISSING | 50.0 81.0 | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|---|--------------|----------|
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 42 | Missing Ca | ases 4 | | | | |
| Page 57 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LRIIA LEGAL RESEARCH | II | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 12 8 | $19.6 \\ 26.1$ | 12.1 27.3 36.4 24.2 MISSING | 39.4 75.8 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 33 | Missing Ca | | | | | |
| Page 58 LGL90 | | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| DISA DISCOVERY | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; |
| VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 15 | 32.6 21.7 | 29.3 36.6 24.4 9.8 MISSING | 65.9 90.2 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 41 | Missing C | ases 5 | | | | <u></u> |
| Page 59 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LITA LITIGATION | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 2 3 4 9 | 12 | 26.1 52.2 | 12.2 29.3 58.5 MISSING | 41.5 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 41 | Missing C | ases 5 | * | | | |
| Page 60 LGL90 | | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| SUBA SUBSTANTIVE LA | W | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Weleye Teles | 17- 7 | E | Devent | Demant | Desserved | |

| Value Havel | value | LI CHRENCA | T GT CGHO | -rereent | Tercene | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 2 3 4 9 | 3 11 21 11 | 6.5 23.9 45.7 23.9 | 60.0 | 8.6 40.0 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 35 | Missing Ca | ses 11 | | | | |
| Page 61 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SU | RVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| RULESA RULES OF EVI | DENCE | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 14 6 11 | 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 | 40.0 17.1 MISSING | 42.9 82.9 | |
| · · · | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 35 | | · | | | | |
| _ | 90 PROGRAM SU | RVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR | ATION | | | , | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 3 6 9 16 12 | 6.5 13.0 19.6 34.8 26.1 | 47.1 | 26.5 52.9 | |
| • | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Ca | ses 12 | | | | |
| Page 63 LGL LRIB LEGAL RESEAR | | RVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL | 11 18 3 7 7 | 39.1 6.5 15.2 15.2 | | 74.4 | , , |
| Valid Cases 39 | Missing Ca | ses 7 | | | | |
| Page 64 LGL | 90 PROGRAM SU | RVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LRIIB LEGAL RESEAR | CH II | | | | | |

17-7-1-2

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---------|
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 2 4 | 28.3 4.3 8.7 | 38.7 41.9 6.5 12.9 MISSING | 80.6 87.1 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 31 | Missing Ca | ases 15 | | | | |
| Page 65 LGL90 | | | | | | 1/16/90 |
| DISB DISCOVERY | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 13 7 6 | 28.3 15.2 13.0 | 27.8 36.1 19.4 16.7 MISSING | 63.9 83.3 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 36 | Missing Ca | ases 10 | | | | |
| Page 66 LGL90 | PROGRAM SU | URVEY | | | 1 | 1/16/90 |
| LITB LITIGATION | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | Value 1 2 3 4 9 | 23 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING | Percent 62.2 78.4 | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | 1 2 3 4 | 23 6 1 7 9 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING | Percent 62.2 78.4 | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL | 23 6 1 7 9 46 ases 9 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 100.0 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING 100.0 | Percent 62.2 78.4 81.1 100.0 | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU | 23 6 1 7 9 46 ases 9 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 100.0 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING | Percent 62.2 78.4 81.1 100.0 | 1/16/90 |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU | 23 6 1 7 9 46 ases 9 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 100.0 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING 100.0 | Percent 62.2 78.4 81.1 100.0 | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 Page 67 LGL90 SUBB SUBSTANTIVE LA | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU | 23 6 1 7 9 46 ases 9 JRVEY Frequency 18 4 3 6 15 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 100.0 Percent 39.1 8 7 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 58.1 12.9 9.7 19.4 MISSING | Percent 62.2 78.4 81.1 100.0 | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 37 Page 67 LGL90 SUBB SUBSTANTIVE LAN Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca PROGRAM SU W Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL | 23 6 1 7 9 46 ases 9 JRVEY Frequency 18 4 3 6 15 46 | 50.0 13.0 2.2 15.2 19.6 100.0 Percent 39.1 8.7 6.5 13.0 32.6 | Percent 62.2 16.2 2.7 18.9 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 58.1 12.9 9.7 19.4 MISSING | Percent 62.2 78.4 81.1 100.0 | |

ł

ł

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|----------|
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 8 10 5 8 15 | 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 | 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING | 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 31 | Missing C | ases 15 | | | | |
| Page 69 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| TRIALB TRIAL PREPARATI | ON | | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | | Percent | |
| VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 2 3 4 9 | 11 5 5 10 15 | 23.9 10.9 10.9 21.7 32.6 | 35.5 16.1 16.1 32.3 MISSING | 35.5 51.6 67.7 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 31 | Missing C | ases 15 | | | | |
| Page 70 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| SKILLS SKILLS TO BE IN | CLUDED IN | LGL | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | - |
| Value Label BANKRUPCY BRIEFS WRITTEN CASE APPLICATION COMMUNICATION COMPUTER ASSISTED LE DRAFTING DISCOVERY FAMILY LAW INTERNSHIP PROGRAM JOB PLACEMENT LAW OFFICES LIBRARY WORK LOGICAL REASONING MORE FORMS PERSONAL INJURY PROOFREADING RESEARCH SKILLS RESUME WRITING TRIAL PREPARATION | 2 3 4 5 6 10 11 13 14 15 17 18 20 21 23 25 26 27 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 | 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 | $\begin{array}{c} 3.8\\7.7\\11.5\\15.4\\19.2\\23.1\\26.9\\38.5\\42.3\\46.2\\50.0\\53.8\\57.7\\61.5\\65.4\\76.9\\80.8\\84.6\end{array}$ | |
| Page 71 LGL90 | PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| SKILLS SKILLS TO BE IN WEST LAW SPECIALIZED FIELDS LEGAL ASSISTANT ACTI NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 31 | LGL 1 2 1 20 | 2.2 | 3.8 7.7 3.8 MISSING | 88.5 96.2 100.0 | |

TOTAL 46 100 0

100 0

| | | | TOTID | 10 | T00.0 | T00.0 | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|----------|
| Valid | Cases | 26 | Missing Ca | ses 20 | • | | | |
| | | |) PROGRAM SU | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| COURS | ES COURSE | S TO BE | ADDED | | | | | |
| Val | ue Label | | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent | , |
| BASIC CONST CONST CONTR CRIMIN DRAFT COMPU' FAMIL' INTERI INTERI INTRO LEGAL PROBA' PROCES TORTS WILLS | ITUTIONAL I ACT LAW NAL LAW ING OF DOCU FER TRAININ | AW MENT G W NFO | 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 22 27 28 99 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ $ | 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 5.0 MISSING | 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 90.0 95.0 100.0 | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 100.0 | | | |
| Page | 73 | LGL90 |) PROGRAM SU | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| COURS | ES COURSE | S TO BE | ADDED | | | | | |
| Valid | Cases | 20 | Missing Ca | ises 26 | | | | |
| | | |) PROGRAM SU | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| DELET | ED COURSE | S TO BE | DELETED | | | | | |
| Val | ue Label | | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | , , |
| DISCO INTRO RULES TRIAL | COURSES VERY TO COMPUTE OF EVIDENC PREPARATIC SPONSE/UNKN | E N | 1 2 3 5 6 9 | 1 3 1 3 1 37 | 2.2 6.5 2.2 6.5 2.2 80.4 | $33.3 \\ 11.1 \\ 33.3 \\ 11.1 \\ $ | 44.4 55.6 88.9 | |
| | | | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid | Cases | 9 | Missing Ca | lses 37 | | | · | |
| Page | 75 | LGL90 |) PROGRAM SU | RVEY | ۰ | ` | | 11/16/90 |
| SUGGE | ST SUGGES | TION FOR | R THE LGL PR | OGRAM | | | | |
| Val | ue Label | | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
| YES NO NO RE: | SPONSE/UNKN | OWN | ` 1 5 9 | 35 2 9 | | 94.6 5.4 MISSING | | |

TOTAL

46 100.0

100.0

| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | ases 9 | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------|----------|
| Page 76 L(| GL90 PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| CURRENT CURRENTLY | TAKING LGL COU | RSES | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 24 | 45.7 52.2 2.2 | | | i |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 45 | Missing C | ases 1 | | | | |
| Page 77 L(| GL90 PROGRAM S | URVEY | | · | , | 11/16/90 |
| REASON REASONS NO | I TAKING LGL C | LASSES | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; . |
| COMPLETED LGL PROGRA TAKING GENERAL EDUC. FOUND LGL ELSEWHERE PROGRAM LESS USEFUL FINANCIAL CONSIDERAT PERSONAL PRIORITIES OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | · 2 | 2 1 1 2 2 3 | $21.7 \\ 4.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 4.3 \\ 6.5 \\ 54.3$ | 9.5 4.8 4.8 9.5 9.5 14.3 | 57.1 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 21 | Missing C | ases 25 | | | | |
| Page 78 LO | GL90 PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| WILLING WILLING TO | JOIN LGL INTE | RN | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 2 · 30 14 | 4.3 65.2 30.4 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 32 | Missing C | ases 14 | | | | |
| Page 79 L0 | GL90 PROGRAM S | URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| LIST · REMAIN IN] | PERMANENT MAIL | ING | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | ; |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | 41 4 1 | | 91.1 8.9 MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Page 80 Lo | GL90 PROGRAM S | URVEY | • | | | 11/16/90 |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|
| GET GET A COPY | OF THE SURVEY | RESULTS | | | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | |
| YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 1 5 9 | · 3 | 91.3 6.5 2.2 | 93.3 6.7 MISSING | 93.3 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 45 | | | | ` | • | |
| Page 81 L(| GL90 PROGRAM S | | | | | 11/16/90 |
| 79 variables (in 0 variables hav The system file cons | ve been droppe ists of: | d. | | saved. | | |
| | ers for variab ers for labels ers for data. | le definiti | | | | |
| 2528 Characte 7816 Characte 29184 Characte | ers for variab ers for labels ers for data. ile size. | le definiti | | | | |
| 2528 Characte 7816 Characte 29184 Characte 39960 Total f: 46 out of 40 | ers for variab ers for labels ers for data. ile size. | le definiti een saved. | | | | 11/16/90 |
| 2528 Characte 7816 Characte 29184 Characte 39960 Total f: 46 out of 40 | ers for variab ers for labels ers for data. ile size. 6 cases have b GL90 PROGRAM S | le definiti een saved. URVEY | | | | 11/16/90 |
| 2528 Characte 7816 Characte 29184 Characte 39960 Total f: 46 out of 40 Page 82 Lo This procedure was co | ers for variab ers for labels ers for data. ile size. 6 cases have b GL90 PROGRAM S | le definiti een saved. URVEY | | | - | 11/16/90 |

•

•

x

-

.

•

.

.

updated:11/16/90

1.

٢

r

OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH LGL PROGRAM SURVEY CODE BOOK

.

Mr. Suitor

| Variable | Description/Code | Column |
|-----------|--|--------|
| RECORD #1 | | |
| ID | Survey identification number. (actual number) | 1-2 |
| YEAR | <pre>1. In what year did you first enroll in LGL classes at OCC? 1= 1990 2= 1989 3= 1988 4= 1987 5= 1986 or before 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 3 |
| TERM | 2. In what semester did you first enroll in LGL classes at OCC? 1= Fall 2= Winter 3= Spring 4= Summer 9= No Response/Unknown | 4 |
| INTENT | 3. When you first enrolled in a LGL course, your primary intention was: 1= To improve skills for a job you then held 2= To prepare for a promotion in the same company 3= To prepare for a career shift 4= To satisfy a personal interest in a particular subject 5= Other 9= No Response/Unknown | - |
| SELECT | 4. Why did you select OCC for your LGL training? 1= Location convenient to home or work 2= Less expensive than alternatives 3= General reputation 4= Specific reputation of OCC's LGL Program 5= Already attending OCC and switched program 6= Other 9= No Response/Unknown | 6 |
| INSTITUT | 5. Which institutions did you consider as alternatives for your LGL training? 1= No other institution 2= Henry Ford Community College 3= Macomb Community College 4= Madonna College 5= Oakland University 6= Other 9= No Response/Unknown | 7 |

| LEARN | 6. How did you first become aware of the OCC LGL program? 1= Referred by an attorney 2= Referred by a college counselor 3= Referred by a high school counselor 4= Referred by a practicing Legal Assistant 5= Read a LGL program information booklet from OCC booklet 6= Noticed program in College Catalog 7= Noticed courses in College Schedule of Classes 8= Other 9= No Response/Unknown | 8 |
|----------|--|-------|
| COUNSEL | 7. When you first began your LGL training at OCC, did you receive the assistance/advice of a College Counselor? 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown | 9 |
| RATE | 8. How would you rate the effectiveness of the academic counseling you have received at OCC? 1= Very effective 2= Somewhat effective 3= Not at all effective 4= Never receive any 9= No Response/Unknown | 10 |
| EMPLOYED | <pre>9. Are you currently employed? 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 11 |
| HOURS | <pre>10.Approximately how many hours (weekly) do you work on average? (actual number) 88= Does not apply 99= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 12-13 |
| RELATE | <pre>11.Is your employment in a position related to your Legal Assistant training? 1= Yes 5= No 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 14 |
| PRIOR | <pre>12.Were you employed in this position prior to enrolling in the OCC Legal Assistant Program? 1= Yes 5= No 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 15 |

٢

i

ı.

.

| TITLE | <pre>13.What is the Job Title of your current position? 01= Administrative Assistant 02= Cashier 03= Computer Specialist Clerk 04= Customer Service Supervisor 05= Departmental Aide 06= Funeral Director 07= General Office Support Staff 08= Legal Secretary 09= Legal Assistant 10= Nail Technician 11= News Monitor 12= Optician 13= Order Entry 14= Paralegal 15= Personnel Administrator 16= Police Clerk 17= Receptionist 18= Sales Representative 19= Secretary 20= Security Officer 21= Skating Instructor 22= Student Intern 23= Telephone Operator 24= Treasurer 25= Vendor Return Coordinator 26= Waitress 27= Store Detective 88= Does not apply 99= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 16-17 |
|----------|---|-------|
| FIND | <pre>14.How did you find your current job? 1= Family connection 2= Personal friend or associate 3= College placement agency 4= General placement agent 5= Newspaper advertizement 6= College personal referral 7= Other 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 18 |
| PAY | 15.Approximately what is your hourly rate of pay? (actual amount) 8888= Does not apply 9999= No Response/Unknown | 19-22 |
| GETJOB | <pre>16.Was your experience in the OCC Legal Assistant Program important in your getting your present job? 1= Yes 5= No 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 23 |
| EMPLOYER | <pre>17.Where do you work? 1= Yes (give an answer) 5= No (no answer given) 8= Does not apply</pre> | 24 |

.

| FIRM | <pre>18.What kind of firm do you work for? 1= Private Legal firm 2= Corporate Legal Department 3= Government Agency 4= Legal Aid Agency 5= Other 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 25 |
|----------|--|----|
| FEEL | <pre>19a.In general, how do you feel about your current position? 1= Very Satisfied 2= Satisfied 3= Neutral 4= Disappointed 5= Very Disappointed 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 26 |
| EXPLAIN | 19b.Why do you feel this way? 1= Yes (narrative provided) 5= No (narrative provided) 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown | 27 |
| RESEARCH | 20.In your present job, how important are each of the following skills or tasks to your overall success? a.Legal research 1= Not Important 2= Important 3= Very Important 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown | 28 |
| LIBRARY | b.Maintaining law library (same as 20a) | 29 |
| CORRESP | c.Drafting correspondence (same as 20a) | 30 |
| COMPLAIN | d.Drafting complaints (same as 20a) | 31 |
| WORD | e.Word Processing (same as 20a) | 32 |
| MANAGE | f.Office management (same as 20a) | 33 |
| BOOK | g.Bookkeeping (same as 20a) | 34 |
| BILL | h.Billing (same as 20a) | 35 |
| CLERK | i.Liaison w/ court clerk (same as 20a) | 36 |
| TYPING | j.Typing (same as 20a) | 37 |

• •

هم.

| FORMS | k.Filling out forms (same as 20a) | 38 |
|----------|--|----|
| PROOF | <pre>l.Proofreading/correcting (same as 20a)</pre> | 39 |
| SCHED | m.Scheduling meetings,etc (same as 20a) | 40 |
| CALEND | n.Calendaring (same as 20a) | 41 |
| FILE | o.Filing (same as 20a) | 42 |
| MESSAGE | p.Messenger/Courier papers (same as 20a) | 43 |
| PHONE | q.Reception/Phone handling (same as 20a) | 44 |
| ASSOCIA1 | 21.Are you active in any of the following professional associations or organizations? a.Do not belong to any. 1= Yes 5= No 8= Does not apply 9= No response | 45 |
| ASSOCIA2 | b.Legal Assistant Association of Michigan (same as 21a) | 46 |
| ASSOCIA3 | c.National Association of Legal Assistant (same as 21a) | 47 |
| ASSOCIA4 | d.Other (same as 21a) | 48 |
| RANK1 | <pre>22.Please rank the following Job Titles in order as you see them from most (1) to least (9) prestigious. a.Legal Assistant 0= No Response/Unknown 1= Most prestigious 2= 3= 4= 5= 6= 7= 8= 9= Least prestigious x= Does not apply</pre> | 49 |
| RANK2 | b.Court Reporter (same as 22a) | 50 |
| RANK3 | c.Law Clerk (same as 22a) | 51 |
| RANK4 | d.Legal Secretary (same as 22a) | 52 |

هرد

يعي

| RANK5 | e.Lawyer (same as 22a) | 53 |
|-----------|---|----------|
| RANK6 | f.Secretary (same as 22a) | 54 |
| RANK7 | g.Paralegal (same as 22a) | 55 |
| RANK8 | h.Clerk (same as 22a) | 56 |
| RECORD #2 | | |
| ID2 | Survey identification number. (actual number) | 1–2 |
| RANK9 | i.Legal Intern (same as 22a) | 3 |
| HOPEA | <pre>23.To what job do you next realistically aspire within the next 3 to 5 years? 01= Legal Assistant 02= Court Reporter 03= Law Clerk</pre> | 4-5 |
| | 04= Legal Secretary 05= Lawyer 06= Secretary 07= Paralegal 08= Clerk 09= Legal Intern 10= Other 88= Does not apply | |
| | 99= No Response/Unknown | |
| HOPEB | 24.To what job do you eventually realistically aspir within the next 10 to 15 years? (same as 23) | e 6-7 |
| | 25.In retrospect, how would you rate the learning experience you had in each of the following courses? | |
| LRIA | a.Legal Research I 0= Not Applicable 1= Very Low 2= Low 3= High 4= Very High 9= No Response/Unknown | 8 |
| LRIIA | b.Legal Research II (same as 25a) | 9 |
| DISA | c.Discovery (same as 25a) | 10 |
| LITA | d.Litigation (same as 25a) | 11 |
| SUBA | e.Substantive Law (same as 25a) | 12 |

| RULESA | f.Rules of Evidence (same as 25a) | 13 |
|--------|--|------------|
| TRIALA | g.Trial Preparation (same as 25a) | 14 |
| LRIB | <pre>26.From your present perspective, how would you rate the long-term usefulness of the information/ training you received in each of the following courses? a.Legal Research I 0= Not Applicable 1= Very Useful 2= Somewhat Useful 3= Not Useful 4= Useful 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 15 |
| LRIIB | b.Legal Research II (same as 26a) | 16 |
| DISB | c.Discovery (same as 26a) | 17 |
| LITB | d.Litigation (same as 26a) | 18 |
| SUBB | e.Substantive Law (same as 26a) | 19 |
| RULESB | f.Rules of Evidence (same as 26a) | 20 |
| TRIALB | g.Trial Preparation (same as 26a) | 21 |
| SKILLS | 27.Please indicate any skills or specific information which you think should be added to or included in the LGL program. 01= Analytical 02= Bankruptcy 03= Briefs Written 04= Case/Specific Applications 05= Communication 06= Computer Assisted Legal Research 07= Computer skills 08= Corporate Law 09= Court Room Field Trips 10= Drafting Discovery Responses 11= Family Law 12= Imagination 13= Internship Program 14= Job Placement 15= Law offices 16= Law Library 17= Library work 18= Logical reasoning 19= Medical Malpractice 20= More forms 21= Personal Injury 22= Probate Law 23= Proofreading 24= Real Estate law | n 22-23 |

~

1

| | 25= Research Skills 26= Resume writing 27= Trial Preparation course 28= West law 29= Writing skills 30= Specialized fields 31= Legal Assistant Activities 99= No Response/Unknown | |
|---------|---|----|
| COURSES | <pre>28.Please indicate any courses you think should be added to the LGL program: 01= Accounting course 02= Bankruptcy law 03= Basic law 04= Bonds 05= Business law 06= Constitutional law 07= Contract law 08= Corporate law 09= Criminal law 10= Domestic law 11= Drafting of documents 12= Entry level computer training 13= Family law 14= International Law 15= Interviewing and investigation 16= Introduction to paralegalism 17= Legal analysis and logic 18= Legal Writing 19= Medical Malpractice 20= Practicum 21= Probate law 22= Processing medical information 23= Public law 24= Real Estate law 25= Specialty of the law profession 26= Steno 27= Torts 28= Wills and Trust 99= No Response/Unknown</pre> | |
| DELETED | 29.Please indicate any courses you think should be deleted from the LGL program: 1= Basic courses 2= Discovery 3= Introduction to computer classes 4= Legal Terminology 5= Rules of evidence 6= Trial preparation 9= No Response/Unknown | 26 |
| SUGGEST | <pre>30.Do you have any other recommendation for change in OCC's Legal Assistant program? 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> | 27 |
| CURRENT | 31.Are you currently taking courses in the LGL program at OCC? 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown | 28 |

مر

| REASON | <pre>32.What is the primary reason you are no longer taking courses in LGL at OCC? 29-30 01= Have completed my Certificate or Degree program in LGL AST 02= Am now taking supportive general education courses for program 03= Disliked experience in program or courses 04= Found a better Legal Assistant program elsewhere 05= Substance of program less as useful than expected 06= Decided to change to another program 07= Learned all I wanted; not interested in whole program 08= Financial considerations have postponed my continuation 09= Personal/Family priorities have postponed my continuation 10= Other 88= Does not apply 99= No Response/Unknown</pre> |
|---------|---|
| WILLING | 33.Would your employer be a good contact to participate in a Legal Assistant internship program? 31 1= Yes 5= No 8= Does not apply 9= No Response/Unknown |
| LIST | 34.Would you like to remain on our permanent mailing list for future information and opportunities? 32 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown |
| GET | <pre>35.Would you like to receive a copy of the survey 33 results? 1= Yes 5= No 9= No Response/Unknown</pre> |

.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=YEAR TO GET.

ŧ

***** Memory allows a total of 7635 Values, accumulated across all Variables. There also may be up to 954 Value Labels for each Variable.

_______ Page 3 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 YEAR YEAR FIRST ENROLL AT OCC Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 1 3 6.5 6.7 6.7 1990 2 18 39.1 40.0 46.7 1989 -1988 3 13 28.3 28.9 75.6 15.6 1987 4 7 15.2 91.1 8.7 8.9 1986 OR BEFORE 5 4 100.0 1 2.2 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 -----TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 Page 4 TERM FIRST SEMESTER IN OCC LGL Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 33 71.7 73.3 73.3 FALL 1 WINTER 2 7 15.2 15.6 88.9 SPRING 3 5 10.9 11.1 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING _____ ____ -----46 100.0 100.0 TOTAL Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 ______ Page 5 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 INTENT PRIMARY INTENTION Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent TO IMPROVE SKILLS 1 3 6.5 7.0 7.0 4.7 11.6 2 FOR PROMOTION 2 4.3 FOR A CAREER SHIFT 3 31 67.4 72.1 83.7 9.3 TO SATISFY PERSONAL 8.7 4 4 93.0 . 3 OTHER 5 6.5 7.0 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 3 6.5 MISSING 9 _____ _____ _____ TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0

Valid Cases

43 Missing Cases

3

ł

ţ

SELECT WHY SELECTING OCC LGL

| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|----------------|---------|----------|
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CONVENIENT LOCATION | 1 | 10 | 21.7 | 33.3 | 33.3 | |
| LESS EXPENSIVE | 2 | | 4.3 | 6.7 | 40.0 | • |
| GENERAL REPUTATION | 3 | | | 13.3 | | |
| SPECEFIC REPUTATION | | 4 | | | | |
| ALREADY ATTENDING OC | 5 | 7 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 90.0 | |
| OTHER | 6 | - | 6.5 | 10.0 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 16 | 34.8 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | | | | | | |
| Page 7 FREQ | | | | | | 12/13/90 |
| INSTITUT ALTERNATIVE I | NSTITUTION | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| Value Laber | Varue | rtequency | rercent | rercenc | rercent | |
| NO OTHER INSTITUTION | 1 | 23 | 50.0 | 54 8 | 54.8 | |
| HENRY FORD COMMUNITY | 2 | 23 | 43 | 4 8 | 59.5 | |
| MADONNA COLLEGE | | 2 | | | | |
| OAKLAND UNIV | | 12 | | | | |
| OTHER | 6 | | 6 5 | 7 1 | 100 0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | | 4 | 8.7 | 7.1 MISSING | 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 42 | Missing Ca | ses 4 | | | | |
| Page 8 FREQ | | | | VEY 1990 | | 12/13/90 |
| | • | | | | | |
| LEARN HOW YOU BECOM | IE AWARE OF C | | | • | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value | | | | | |
| REFERRED BY AN ATTOR | 1 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | |
| REFERRED BY A COLLEG | 2 | 2 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 9.4 | |
| REFERRED BY A PRACTI | 4 | 3 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 18.8 | |
| READ A LGL PROGRAM I | 5 | 7 | 15.2 | 21.9 | 40.6 | |
| NOTICED PROGRAM IN C | 6 | 17 | 37.0 | 53.1 | 93.8 | |
| NOTICED COURSES IN C | 7 | 2 | 4.3 | 6.3 | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | , 9 | 14 | 30.4 | MISSING | +00.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 22 | | | | | | |
| Valid Cases 32 | Missing Ca | .ses 14 | | | | |

52.2 52.2 YES 24 52.2 1 47.8 100.0 22 47.8 NO 5 -----------TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 46 Missing Cases 0 Page 10 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 RATE RATE OF COUNSELING EFFCT Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent
 8
 17.4
 17.8
 17.8

 28
 60.9
 62.2
 80.0
 1 2 VERY EFFECTIVE SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE 13.0 13.3 NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE 3 6 93.3 6.5 6.7 2.2 MISSING NEVER RECEIVE ANY 4 3 6.7 100.0 1 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 ---------TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 _____ _____ Page 11 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 EMPLOYED CURRENT EMPLOYMENT Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 37 80.4 80.4 80.4 19.6 100.0 5 9 19.6 NO ----- -----46 100.0 100.0 TOTAL Valid Cases 46 Missing Cases 0 _____ FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 Page 12 12/13/90 HOURS WEEKLY WORKING HOURS Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent 12.22.82.812.22.85.612.22.88.324.35.613.936.58.322.2 20 22 25 30 35 37 1 2.2 2.8 25.0

NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN

TOTAL

38

40

43

50

70

99

3

1

1

16 34.8

6 13.0 16.7 2.2 2.8 10 21.7 MISSING 21.7 MISSING 46 100.0 100.0

8.3

2.8

44.4

33.3

77.8

80.6

97.2

100.0

6.5

HOURS

.

WEEKLY WORKING HOURS

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | 20 | 1 | 2 2 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 35 | 2 3 | 6.5 | 83 | 22.2 |
| | 37 | | 2.2 | 8.3 2.8 | 25.0 |
| | 38 | - 3 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 33.3 |
| | | 16 | 34.8 | 44.4 | 77.8 |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | 6 | | | |
| | 70 | | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | | 10 | 21.7 | 2.8 MISSING | 100.0 |
| | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 36 | Missing C | ases 10 | | | |
| Page 13 FREQUE | | THE LGI DE | OGRAM SUE | | |
| rage 15 rhlgor | | | | | 12,13,50 |
| RELATE RELATION TO LGI | L TRAINING | ; | | | |
| | | | | 17-1-1-1 | 0 |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Porcont | Valid | |
| value paper | varue | rrequency | Percent | Fercenc | Fercenc |
| | | | | | |
| YES | 1 | 14 | | 38.9 | 38 9 |
| | | 14 | 30.4 | | |
| NO | 5 | 22 | 30.4 47.8 | 61.1 | |
| NO | | 22 | 30.4 | 61.1 MISSING | |
| NO | 5 9 | 22 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 | 61.1 MISSING | |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C | 22 10 46 ases 10 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 | 61.1 MISSING | |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C | 22 10 46 ases 10 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 | 100.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR | 22 10 46 Cases 10 THE LGL PF | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR | 22 10 46 Cases 10 THE LGL PF | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 | 100.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR | 22 10 46 Cases 10 THE LGL PF | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 | 100.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE | 22 10 46 Cases 10 THE LGL PF | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE PRIOR PRIOR EMPLOYMEN Value Label | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE Value | 22 10 46 ases 10 THE LGL PR LGL Frequency | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 ROGRAM SUF | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum Percent |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE PRIOR PRIOR EMPLOYMEN Value Label YES | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE Value 1 | 22 10 46 ases 10 THE LGL PF LGL Frequency 27 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 ROGRAM SUF Percent 58.7 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 73.0 | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum Percent 73.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE PRIOR PRIOR EMPLOYMEN Value Label YES NO | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE Value 1 5 | 22 10 46 ases 10 THE LGL PF LGL Frequency 27 10 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 ROGRAM SUF | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 73.0 27.0 | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum Percent 73.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 Page 14 FREQUE PRIOR PRIOR EMPLOYMEN Value Label YES NO | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE Value 1 | 22 10 46 ases 10 THE LGL PF LGL Frequency 27 10 9 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 ROGRAM SUF Percent 58.7 21.7 19.6 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 73.0 27.0 MISSING | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum Percent 73.0 |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 36 | 5 9 TOTAL Missing C ENCIES FOR NT BEFORE Value 1 5 | 22 10 46 ases 10 THE LGL PR LGL Frequency 27 10 9 | 30.4 47.8 21.7 100.0 ROGRAM SUF Percent 58.7 21.7 19.6 | 61.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 73.0 27.0 MISSING | 100.0 12/13/90 Cum Percent 73.0 |

İ

.

| Value Label | Value F | requency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|
| CASHIER | 2 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | |
| COMPUTER SPECIALIST | - 3 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 5.4 | |
| CUSTOMER SERVICE SUP | | | | 2.7 | | |
| DEPARTMENTAL AIDE | | | | | | |
| FUNERAL DIRECTOR | 6 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 13.5 | |
| GENERAL OFFICE SUPPO | 6 7 8 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 18.9 | |
| LEGAL SECRETARY | 8 | 7 | 15.2 | 18.9 | 37.8 | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT | 9 | 6 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 54.1 | |
| | 10 | | | | | |
| NEWS MONITOR | | 1 | | | | |
| OPTICIAN | 12 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 62.2 | |
| ORDER ENTRY | 13 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 2.7 | 64.9 | |
| ORDER ENTRY PARALEGAL | 14 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 70.3 | |
| PERSONAL ADMINISTRAT | | | | | | |
| | 16 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 75.7 | |
| RECEPTIONIST | 17 | - | 2.2 | 2.7 | 78.4 | |
| SECRETARY | 19 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 83.8 | |
| SECURITY OFFICER | 20 | 1 2 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 86.5 | |
| Page 16 FREQUE | | | | | | |
| TITLE JOB TITLE | | | | | | |
| STUDENT INTERN | 22 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 89.2 | |
| TELPHONE OPERATOR | 22 23 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 2.7 | 91.9 | |
| VENDOR RETURN COORDI | 25 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 94.6 | |
| WAITRESS | 26 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 97.3 | |
| STORE DETECTIVE | 27 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 99 | 9 | 19.6 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | | 100.0 | | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing Cas | ses 9 | | | | |
| Page 17 FREQU | | | | | | 12/13/90 |
| FIND HOW YOU FIND YO | OUR JOB | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value H | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| FAMILY CONNECTION | ` 1 | 5 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 14.3 | |
| PERSONAL FRIEND OR A | 2 | 9 | 19.6 | 14.3 25.7 | 40.0 | |
| COLLEGE PLACEMENT AG | 3 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 42.9 | |
| GENERAL PLACEMENT AG | 4 | | | 8.6 | | |
| NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEM | | 10 | | | | |
| OTHER | 5 | | | 20.0 | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | , 9 | 11 | | MISSING | 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 35 | Missing Ca | ses 11 | | | | |

1

i

Т

l

.

Page 18 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 PAY HOURLY RATE OF PAY .

| | | | | Valid | Cum |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| | 5.00 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| | 5.14 | 1 | 2.2 | 5.9 2.9 2.9 | 8.8 |
| | 5.50 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 11.8 |
| | | 2 | | | |
| | | 3 | | | |
| | 8.00 | 2 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 32.4 35.3 |
| | 8.90 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 2.9 | 38.2 |
| | 9.00 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 41.2 |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | 10.00 | 4 | 8.7 | 11.8 | 55.9 |
| | 11.00 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 61.8 |
| | 11.50 | 1 1 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 64.7 |
| | 11.77 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 67.6 |
| | 12.50 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 70.6 |
| | | 2 3 | | | |
| | 14.00 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.0 5 9 | 03.3 |
| | | | | | |
| Page 19 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | WEY 1990 | 12/13/ |
| PAY HOURLY RATE OF | | | | | |
| | 18.00 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 94.1 |
| NO DECEMBER (INVINCIÓN | 20.00 | 2 12 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 99.99 | 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | |
| Page 20 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 | 12/13/ |
| GETJOB HELP TO GET YO | OUR JOB | | | | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | Democrat | Valid | |
| Value Label | value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| YES | 1 | 6 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| NO | - 5 | 31 | | 67.4 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 9 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 46 | Missing C | ases O | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Page 21 FREQU | ENCIES FUR | TUE TOT 54 | ogram Suf | VEI 1990 | 12/13/ |
| EMPLOYER PLACE OF WORK | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| YES | 1 | 36 | 78.3 | 97.3 | 97.3 |
| NO | 5 | 1 | 2.2 | 97.3 2.7 MISSING | 100-0 |
| | 9 | 9 | 19.6 | MISSING | 100.0 |
| | 2 | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing C | | | | |

ł

.

FIRM TYPE OF FIRM YOU WORK FOR

 Page
 22
 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990
 12/13/90

÷

| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|-----|
| Value Label | Value 1 | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| PRIVATE LEGAL FIRM | 1 | 12 | 26.1 | 35.3 | 35.3 | |
| GOVERNMENT AGENCY | 3 | 3 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 44.1 | |
| LEGAL AID AGENCY | 3 4 | 1 | 6.5 2.2 | 2.9 | 47.1 | |
| OTHER | 5 | | 39.1 | 52.9 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 18 12 | | 52.9 MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Ca | ses 12 | | | | |
| Page 23 FREG | UENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | .VEY 1990 | 12/1 | 3/9 |
| FEEL HOW YOU FEEL | ABOUT YOUR J | OB | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| VERY SATISFIED | 1 | 5 | 10.9 | 13.5 | 13.5 | |
| SATISFIED | 2 | 14 | 10.9 30.4 | 37.8 | 51.4 | |
| NEUTRAL | 3 | | 23.9 | | | |
| DISAPPOINTED | 4 | 4 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 91.9 | |
| VERY DISAPPOINTED | 5 | 3 | 6.5 | 8 1 | 100 0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | | 19.6 | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/ UNKNOWN | 9 | y | | MI551NG | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing Ca | | | | | |
| Page 24 FREG | | | | | | 3/9 |
| EXPLAIN EXPLANATION | | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| | | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| Value Label | Value | rrequency | | | | |
| Value Label YES | 1 | 30 | | | | |
| YES | 1 5 | 30 1 | 65.2 2.2 | | | |
| | 1 | 30 1 15 | 2.2 32.6 | 3.2 MISSING | 100.0 | |
| YES No | 1 5 9 | 30 1 | 2.2 32.6 | 3.2 MISSING | 100.0 | |

Page 25 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 RESEARCH LEGAL RESEARCH

Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent 1 26 56.5 76.5 76.5 NOT IMPORTANT 6 13.0 17.6 94.1 2 4.3 5.9 100.0 IMPORTANT 2 3 VERY IMPORTANT 26.1 MISSING 12 9 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN _____ _____ _____ TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 34 Missing Cases 12 ______ Page 26 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 LIBRARY MAINTAINING LAW LIBRARY Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 1 82.4 NOT IMPORTANT 28 60.9 82.4 2 4 8.7 11.8 IMPORTANT 94.1 2 4.3 5.9 100.0 VERY IMPORTANT 3 12 26.1 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 34 Missing Cases 12 Page 27 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 CORRESP DRAFTING CORRESPONDENCE Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 15 32.6 44.1 NOT IMPORTANT 1 44.1 11.8 IMPORTANT 2 4 8.7 55.9 VERY IMPORTANT 3 15 32.6 44.1 100.0 12 26.1 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 _____ ___ 46 TOTAL 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 34 Missing Cases 12 Page 28 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 COMPLAIN DRAFTING COMPLAIN Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent
 23
 50.0
 67.6
 67.6

 6
 13.0
 17.6
 85.3

 5
 10.9
 14.7
 100.0
 NOT IMPORTANT 1 IMPORTANT 2 VERY IMPORTANT з 12 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 26.1 MISSING ------_____ ___ TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 34 Missing Cases 12

;

-

WORD WORD PROCESSING

.

٠i

÷, 1

> , .

| | | | | Valid | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 14 8 12 | 30.4 | 41.2 | 41.2 |
| IMPORTANT | 2 | 8 | 17.4 | 23.5 | 64.7 |
| VERY IMPORTANT | 3 | 12 | 26.1 | 35.3 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | - | | | | |
| Page 30 FREQ | JENCIES FOR | | | | |
| MANAGE OFFICE MANAGEN | MENT | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 16 | 3 <i>1</i> 9 | 47 1 | 47.1 |
| | ± | 10 | 54.0 51 7 | | 76 5 |
| IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | . 2 | 10 | 17 / | 23.4 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 3 9 | 10 8 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | 100.0 |
| | | 46 | | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Ca | ises 12 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| BOOK BOOKKEEPING | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | |
| BOOK BOOKKEEPING Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 23 | 50.0 | Percent 67.6 | Percent 67.6 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT | 1 2 | 23 5 | 50.0 10.9 | Percent 67.6 14.7 | Percent 67.6 82.4 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT | 1 | 23 5 6 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 | Percent 67.6 82.4 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | 1 2 | 23 5 6 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 | Percent 67.6 82.4 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | 1 2 3 9 | 23 5 6 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING | Percent 67.6 82.4 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 | Percent 67.6 82.4 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQ | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 RVEY 1990 | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQ | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 23 5 6 12 46 Ases 12 THE LGL PF | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQ BILL BILLING Value Label | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca UENCIES FOR Value | 23 5 6 12 46 Ases 12 THE LGL PF | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQU BILL BILLING | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca UENCIES FOR Value | 23 5 6 12 46 Ases 12 THE LGL PF | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQU BILL BILLING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca UENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF Frequency 16 10 8 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 34.8 21.7 17.4 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 47.1 29.4 23.5 | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQU BILL BILLING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca UENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF Frequency 16 10 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 34.8 21.7 17.4 26.1 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 47.1 29.4 23.5 MISSING | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent |
| Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 32 FREQU BILL BILLING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT | 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca UENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 | 23 5 6 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF Frequency 16 10 8 | 50.0 10.9 13.0 26.1 100.0 ROGRAM SUF Percent 34.8 21.7 17.4 26.1 | Percent 67.6 14.7 17.6 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 47.1 29.4 23.5 MISSING | Percent 67.6 82.4 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent |

١į .

,

- 1

.

CLERK LIASON OR COURT CLERK

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|----------|
| NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 20 | 43.5 | 58.8 | 58.8 | |
| IMPORTANT | 2 | 6 | 13.0 | 58.8 17.6 23.5 | 76.5 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT | 3 | 8 | 17.4 | 23.5 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | | | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Ca | | | | | |
| Page 34 FRE | | | | | | |
| TYPING TYPING | | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | 1 | 8 | 17.4 | 23.5 | 23.5 | |
| IMPORTANT | 2 | 10 | 21.7 | 29.4 | 52.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT | 3 | 16 | 34.8 | 47.1 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 12 | 26.1 | 29.4 47.1 MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | 100.0 | / | |
| Valid Cases 34 | Missing Ca | ises 12 | | | | |
| Page 35 FRE | QUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | | 12/13/90 |
| FORMS FILLING OUT | FORMS | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | D | Valid | | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | · 1 | 0 | | | | |
| IMPORTANT | | 9 | 19.6 | 26.5 | 26.5 | |
| | 2 | | 19.6 21.7 | | | |
| | | 10 | 21.7 | 29.4 | 55.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 3 | 10 15 | 21.7 | 29.4 44.1 | 55.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT | 3 9 | 10 15 12 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING | 55.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 3 9 TOTAL | 10 15 12 46 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING | 55.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT | 3 9 TOTAL | 10 15 12 46 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING | 55.9 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca | 10 15 12 46 ses 12 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 | 55.9 100.0 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR | 10 15 12 46 ses 12 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 | 55.9 100.0 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE PROOF PROOFREADING | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING | 10 15 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | 55.9 100.0 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING | 10 15 12 46 ses 12 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | 55.9 100.0 | L2/13/90 |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE PROOF PROOFREADING | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING | 10 15 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent | 55.9 100.0 Cum Percent | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING Value | 10 15 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF Frequency | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 8.7 19.6 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 12.1 27.3 | 55.9 100.0 Cum Percent 12.1 39.4 | L2/13/90 |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE PROOF PROOFREADING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING Value 1 | 10 15 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PF Frequency | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 8.7 19.6 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent | 55.9 100.0 Cum Percent 12.1 39.4 | |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE PROOF PROOFREADING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING Value 1 2 | 10 15 12 46 uses 12 THE LGL PF Frequency 4 9 20 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 8.7 19.6 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 12.1 27.3 60.6 MISSING | 55.9 100.0 Cum Percent 12.1 39.4 | L2/13/90 |
| VERY IMPORTANT NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 34 Page 36 FRE PROOF PROOFREADING Value Label NOT IMPORTANT IMPORTANT VERY IMPORTANT | 3 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR /CORRECTING Value 1 2 3 9 | 10 15 12 46 uses 12 THE LGL PF Frequency 4 9 20 | 21.7 32.6 26.1 100.0 Percent 8.7 19.6 43.5 28.3 | 29.4 44.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 12.1 27.3 60.6 MISSING | 55.9 100.0 Cum Percent 12.1 39.4 | |

÷

.

| SCHED | SCHEDULING | MEETINGS |
|---------|------------|----------|
| 0011110 | ••••••••• | |

| SCHED | SCHEDULING | MEETINGS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--------------|
| | | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value L | abel | Value | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| NOT IMPOR | TANT | 1 | 15 | 32.6 | 44.1 | 44.1 | |
| IMPORTANT | | 2 | 3 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 52.9 | |
| VERY IMPO | | - 3 | 3 16 | 34.8 | 47.1 | 100.0 | |
| | SE/UNKNOWN | | 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | | |
| | | | 46 | | | | |
| | | | | | 10010 | | |
| | es 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| Page 38 | F | REQUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | : | 12/13/90 |
| CALEND | CALENDARIN | G | | | | | |
| | | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value L | abel | Value | Frequency | Percent | | | |
| NOT IMPOR | TANT | 1 | 13 | 28.3 | 38.2 | 38.2 | |
| IMPORTANT | ! | | 5 | | | | |
| VERY IMPO | RTANT | 3 | 16 | 34.8 | 47.1 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPON | ISE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 12 | 26.1 | MISSING | | |
| | | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cas | ses 34 | Missing C | ases 12 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 10/10/00 |
| | | REQUENCIES FOR | | | | | 12/13/90 |
| | F | | | | | | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 | F | REQUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PI | ROGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 Valid | Cum | |
| Page 39 FILE | F | REQUENCIES FOR | | ROGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 Valid | Cum | |
| Page 39 FILE | F. FILING Label | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 | THE LGL PF Frequency 8 | Percent 17.4 | VEY 1990 Valid Percent 23.5 | Cum Percent 23.5 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value L | F: FILING Label RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 | THE LGL PF Frequency 8 | Percent 17.4 | VEY 1990 Valid Percent 23.5 | Cum Percent 23.5 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT | F: FILING Label RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 | THE LGL PR | Percent 17.4 30.4 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO | F: FILING Label RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO | FILING Gabel RTANT PRTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON | FILING Gabel RTANT PRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas | FILING Jabel ATANT PRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPC NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 | FILING Jabel RTANT DRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPC NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 | FILING Jabel RTANT PRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPC NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 | FILING Jabel RTANT SE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Ver 1990 Valid | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 FILE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 MESSAGE Value L | FILING Jabel RTANT DRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER Jabel | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR Value | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR Frequency | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 ROGRAM SUF | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Vey 1990 Valid Percent | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 FILE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 MESSAGE Value L NOT IMPOR | FILING Jabel RTANT DRTANT ISE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER Jabel RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR Frequency 20 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 ROGRAM SUF | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Vey 1990 Valid Percent 58.8 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 Cum Percent 58.8 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 FILE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 MESSAGE Value L NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT | FILING Jabel RTANT SE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER Jabel RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR Frequency 20 8 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 ROGRAM SUF Percent 43.5 17.4 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 58.8 23.5 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 Cum Percent 58.8 82.4 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 MESSAGE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO | FILING Jabel RTANT SE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER Jabel RTANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR Frequency 20 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 Percent 43.5 17.4 13.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 58.8 23.5 17.6 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 Cum Percent 58.8 82.4 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 39 FILE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO NO RESPON Valid Cas Page 40 MESSAGE Value I NOT IMPOR IMPORTANT VERY IMPO | FILING Gabel RTANT SE/UNKNOWN Ses 34 F MESSENGER Gabel RTANT STANT | REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 9 TOTAL Missing C REQUENCIES FOR Value 1 2 3 | THE LGL PR Frequency 8 14 12 12 46 ases 12 THE LGL PR Frequency 20 8 6 | Percent 17.4 30.4 26.1 26.1 100.0 Percent 43.5 17.4 13.0 | Valid Percent 23.5 41.2 35.3 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 58.8 23.5 17.6 | Cum Percent 23.5 64.7 100.0 Cum Percent 58.8 82.4 | 12/13/90 |

Valid Cases 34 Missing Cases 12

•

il

i

Т,

i.

.

.

| PHONE RECE | PTION/PHO | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Value Label | | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | |
| NOT IMPORTANT | | 1 | 5 | 10.9 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| IMPORTANT | | 2 | 5 10 19 | 21.7 | 29.4 | 44.1 |
| VERY IMPORTANT | | 3 | 19 | 41.3 | 55.9 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UN | KNOWN | 9 | 12 | | MISSING | |
| | | TOTAL | 46 | | | |
| Valid Cases | | Missing C | | | | |
| Page 42 | FRE | QUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PF | | | 12/13/9 |
| ASSOCIA1 DO N | OT BELON | G TO ANY | | | | |
| | | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| YES | | 1 | 26 | 56.5 | 70.3 | 70.3 |
| NO | | 5 | 11 | 23.9 | 29.7 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UN | KNOWN | 9 | 9 | 19.6 | MISSING | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases | 37 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | Missing C | ases 9 | | | 12/13/9 |
| | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR | ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF | | 12/13/5 |
| Page 43 | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR | ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF | WEY 1990 | |
| Page 43 | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT | ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF | WEY 1990 Valid | Cum |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 | OGRAM SUF | Valid Percent 27.0 | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 | Percent 21.7 58.7 | Valid Percent | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 | Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 | Valid Percent 27.0 | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 | Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO | FRE | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 46 | Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases | FREA L ASSIST KNOWN 37 | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 | OGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 27.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases | FREG L ASSIST KNOWN 37 FREG | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 | FREG L ASSIST KNOWN 37 FREG | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 | FREG L ASSIST KNOWN 37 FREG ONAL ASSO | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR OCIA OF LEGA | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 THE LGL PF | OGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 VEY 1990 Valid | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 ASSOCIA3 NATI | FREG L ASSIST KNOWN 37 FREG ONAL ASSO | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR OCIA OF LEGA Value 1 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 | Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 Percent 2.2 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 VEY 1990 Valid Percent 2.7 | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent 2.7 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 ASSOCIA3 NATI Value Label YES NO | FREG | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR OCIA OF LEGA Value 1 5 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICE Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 THE LGL PF L ASSISTANT Frequency 1 36 | COGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 2.2 78.3 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 Ver 1990 Valid Percent 2.7 97.3 | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent 2.7 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 ASSOCIA3 NATI Value Label | FREG | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR OCIA OF LEGA Value 1 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICH Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 . THE LGL PF L ASSISTANT Frequency 1 36 9 | Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 Percent 2.2 78.3 19.6 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 2.7 97.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent 2.7 |
| Page 43 ASSOCIA2 LEGA Value Label YES NO NO RESPONSE/UN Valid Cases Page 44 ASSOCIA3 NATI Value Label YES NO | FREG | Missing C QUENCIES FOR ANT ASSOCIAT Value 1 5 9 TOTAL Missing C QUENCIES FOR OCIA OF LEGA Value 1 5 9 | ases 9 THE LGL PF TON OF MICE Frequency 10 27 9 46 ases 9 THE LGL PF L ASSISTANT Frequency 1 36 | COGRAM SUF Percent 21.7 58.7 19.6 100.0 COGRAM SUF Percent 2.2 78.3 19.6 | Valid Percent 27.0 73.0 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 2.7 97.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 27.0 100.0 12/13/9 Cum Percent 2.7 |

ASSOCIA4 OTHER

,

| | | | | 77-74-4 | 0 |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Percent |
| YES | 1 | 6 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 16.2 |
| NO | 5 | 21 | 67.4 | 83.8 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 9 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | | | | | |
| Page 46 FREQU | ENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| RANK1 LEGAL ASSISTAN | IT | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| | 2 | 4 | 8.7 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| | 3 | 9 | 19.6 | 30.0 | 43.3 |
| | 4 | | 13.0 | 20.0 | 63.3 |
| | 5 | 7 | 15.2 | 23.3 13.3 | 86.7 |
| | 6 | 4 | 8.7 | 13.3 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | | 34.8 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | | | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Ca | ses 16 | | | |
| Page 47 FREQU | ENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| | | | | | |
| RANK2 COURT REPORTER | ξ. | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | |
| RANK2 COURT REPORTER Value Label | | Frequency | Percent | | |
| Value Label | Value 1 | 1 | 2.2 | Percent 3.3 | Percent 3.3 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 | 1 1 | 2.2 2.2 | Percent 3.3 3.3 | Percent 3.3 6.7 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 3 | 1 1 8 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 3 4 | 1 1 8 4 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 3 4 5 | 1 1 8 4 3 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 1 1 8 4 3 6 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 20.0 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 76.7 |
| Value Label | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 1 1 8 4 3 6 3 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 6.5 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 20.0 10.0 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 76.7 86.7 |
| Value Label MOST PRESTIGIOUS | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 1 8 4 3 6 3 3 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 6.5 6.5 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 20.0 10.0 10.0 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 76.7 86.7 96.7 |
| Value Label MOST PRESTIGIOUS | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 1 1 8 4 3 6 3 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 6.5 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 20.0 10.0 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 76.7 86.7 |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1 8 4 3 6 3 3 1 | 2.2 2.2 17.4 8.7 6.5 13.0 6.5 6.5 2.2 | Percent 3.3 3.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 20.0 10.0 10.0 3.3 | Percent 3.3 6.7 33.3 46.7 56.7 76.7 86.7 96.7 |

٠İ i

1

ł i , , | | |

÷

RANK3 LAW CLERK

| KANK3 LAW CLERK | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| | - | | | | |
| | 2 | 10 | 21.7 6.5 10.9 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| | 3 | 3 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 43.3 |
| | 4 | 5 | 10.9 | 16.7 | 60.0 |
| | | 6 | | | |
| | 6 | 5 | 10.9 | 16.7 | 96.7 |
| • • • • • • | 8 | . 1 | 2.2 34.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | 16 | 34.8 | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Ca | ases 16 | | | |
| Page 49 FREG | UENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| RANK4 LEGAL SECRETA | RY | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| | ·, | • ··· - · · · d | | | |
| | 2 | · 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| | 3 | | 4.3 | | |
| | 4 | | 4.3 | | |
| | 5 | Δ | ч д т | 12 2 | 30.0 |
| | 5 | 7 | 15 0 | 13.3 | 52.2 |
| | 7 | 12 | 8.7 15.2 26.1 | 23.5 | 02.2 |
| | | 12 | 4.3 | 40.0 | 93.3 |
| | 8 | | | | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | | 34.8 | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing C | ases 16 | | | |
| Page 50 FREG | QUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PF | ROGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| RANK5 LAWYER | | | | | |
| | | _ | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| | 1 | | 58.7 | | |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | 3 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 93.3 |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | 5 | | 2.2 | 3.3 | 96.7 |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | 8 | 1 | | | |
| | | 1 1 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 100.0 |
| LEAST PRESTIGIOUS | 8 | 1 16 | 2.2 34.8 | 3.3 MISSING | 100.0 |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS LEAST PRESTIGIOUS NO RÉSPONSE/UNKNOWN | 8 9 | 1 | 2.2 34.8 | 2 2 | 100.0 |

1 ; • , .

. : ١

.

,| |

.

.

| RANK6 SECRETARY | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| | - | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value F | requency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| | 2 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| | 3 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 3.3 | 6.7 |
| | 4 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 10.0 |
| | 5 | 2 | | 6.7 | |
| | | 4 | | | |
| | 8 | 12 | 26.1 | 40.0 | 70.0 |
| LEAST PRESTIGIOUS | 9 | 9 16 | 19.6 | 30.0 MISSING | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | 16 | 34.8 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Cas | es 16 | | | |
| Page 52 FREQ | UENCIES FOR T | HE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/ |
| RANK7 PARALEGAL | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value F | requency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | 1 | | | 3.3 | |
| | 2 | 7 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 26.7 |
| | 3 | 7 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 50.0 |
| | 4 | 9 | 19.6 | 30.0 | 80.0 |
| | 5 | ⁻ 3 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 90.0 |
| | 6 | 2 | 4.3 | 10.0 6.7 | 96.7 |
| | 7 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | | | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | |
| Valid Cases 30 | Missing Cas | es 16 | | | |
| Page 53 FREQ | UENCIES FOR T | HE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/ |
| RANK8 CLERK | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value F | requency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| MOST PRESTIGIOUS | 1 | 1 | 2.2 | | |
| | 2 | 1 | 2.2 | | 6.7 |
| | 7 | 8 | 17.4 | | |
| | 8 | 7 | 15.2 | | |
| LEAST PRESTIGIOUS | 9 | 13 | 28.3 | | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 0 | 16 | 34.8 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | |

1 ļ :1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ī

.

RANK9 LEGAL INTERN

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2 | | 13.0 | | | |
| | 2 | | | 3.3 | | |
| | 3 | | 2.2 8.7 | | | |
| | 45 | | | | | |
| | 56 | 5 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 53.3 | |
| | 7 | 0 2 | 13.0 6.5 | 20.0 | 13.3 | |
| | 8 | ა ი | 6.5 | 10.0 | 03.3 | |
| LEAST PRESTIGIOUS | | | 4.3 | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOW | | | 34.8 | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/ UNRIG | MM 0 | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Valid Cases | 30 Missing (| Cases 16 | | | | |
| Page 55 | | | OGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 | 1 | .2/13/90 |
| HOPEA JOB ASP: | IRATION FOR THE N | NEXT 3 TO 5 | YEARS | • | | |
| | • | | | Valid | Cum | • |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT | 1 | 12 | 26.1 | 31.6 | 31.6 | |
| LEGAL SECRETARY | 4 | 3 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 39.5 | |
| LAWYER | 5 | 3 | 6.5 6.5 | 7.9 | 47.4 | |
| PARALEGAL | 7 | 16 | 34.8 | 42.1 | 89.5 | |
| LEGAL INTERN | 9 | | 2.2 | | | |
| OTHER . | 10 | | 6.5 | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNO | | | 17.4 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases | 38 Missing (| Cases 8 | | | | |
| Page 56 | FREQUENCIES FOR | R THE LGL PF | ROGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 | 1 | 2/13/9 |
| HOPEB JOB ASP | IRATION FOR THE N | NEXT 10 TO 1 | 5 YEA | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT | 1 | | 13.0 | | | |
| LAW CLERK | 3 | 2 | 4.3 | | | |
| LAWYER | 5 | 8 | | | | |
| PARALEGAL | 7 | 7 | | 23.3 | | |
| | 10 | 7 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 100.0 | |
| OTHER | CO | 16 | 34.8 | MISSING | | |
| OTHER NO RESPONSE/UNKNOW | WN 99 | | | | | |
| | WN 99 TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |

| | | p.17 | 7 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| Page 57 FREQU | ENCI: | 1 | | 'EY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| RIA LEGAL RESEARCH | I | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | • | | | Percent | Percent |
| VERY LOW | | | | | 21.4 |
| LOW | | | | | 50.0 |
| HIGH | | | | 31.0 | 81.0 100.0 |
| VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | | | | MISSING | 100.0 |
| | ı | | | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | |
| Valid Cases 42 | Missing Ca | ses 4 | | | |
| Page 58 FREQU | ENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| LRIIA LEGAL RESEARCH | II | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| VERY LOW | 1 | | 8.7 | | |
| LOW | 2 | | 19.6 | | |
| HIGH | 3 | 12 8 | 26.1 | 36.4 | 75.8 |
| VERY HIGH | | | | | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 13 | 28.3 | MISSING | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Valid Cases 33 | Missing Ca | ses 13 | | | |
| Page 59 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13/90 |
| DISA DISCOVERY | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum |
| Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| VERY LOW | 1 | 12 | | 29.3 | |
| LOW | 2 | 15 | | 36.6 | |
| HIGH | 3 | | 21.7 | | |
| VERY HIGH | 4 | 4 | | 9.8 | 100.0 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 5 | 10.9 | MISSING | |
| | | | | 100 0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

· .

LITA LITIGATION

.

| Value Label | Value : | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|---------|
| LOW | 2 | 5 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 12.2 | |
| HIGH | 3 | 12 | 26.1 | 29.3 | 41.5 | |
| VERY HIGH | 4 | 12 24 | 52.2 | 29.3 58.5 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 5 | 10.9 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 41 | Missing Ca | ses 5 | | | | |
| Page 61 FRE | QUENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | VEY 1990 | 1 | 2/13/90 |
| SUBA SUBSTANTIVE | LAW | | | | | |
| | | • | | -Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value : | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| LOW | 2 | 3 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | |
| HIGH | 3 | 11 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 40.0 | |
| VERY HIGH | · 4 9 | 21 | 45.7 | 60.0 MISSING | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 11 | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 35 | Missing Ca | ses 11 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI | | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | XVEY 1990 | 1 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE | | | | Valid | Cum | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label | DENCE Value 1 | | Percent | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW | DENCE Value 1 | Frequency | Percent 8.7 | Valid Percent 11.4 | Cum Percent 11.4 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW | DENCE Value 1 | Frequency 4 11 | Percent 8.7 23.9 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 | Frequency 4 11 14 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 3 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Car QUENCIES FOR | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR Value Label | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca: QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 Vey 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR Value Label VERY LOW LOW | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Can QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 .2. | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 6 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 13.0 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 VeY 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 17.6 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 100.0 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Ca QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 .2. 3 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 6 9 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 13.0 19.6 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 17.6 26.5 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 1 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 -2. 3 4 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 6 9 16 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 13.0 19.6 34.8 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 WEY 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 17.6 26.5 47.1 | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 1 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 -2. 3 4 9 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 6 9 16 12 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 13.0 19.6 34.8 26.1 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 Ver 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 17.6 26.5 47.1 MISSING | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 1 | |
| Page 62 FRE RULESA RULES OF EVI Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 35 Page 63 FRE TRIALA TRIAL PREPAR Value Label VERY LOW LOW HIGH VERY HIGH | DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR ATION Value 1 -2. 3 4 | Frequency 4 11 14 6 11 46 ses 11 THE LGL PR Frequency 3 6 9 16 12 | Percent 8.7 23.9 30.4 13.0 23.9 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 6.5 13.0 19.6 34.8 26.1 | Valid Percent 11.4 31.4 40.0 17.1 MISSING 100.0 Ver 1990 Valid Percent 8.8 17.6 26.5 47.1 MISSING | Cum Percent 11.4 42.9 82.9 100.0 1 | |

1' 11

;

i

•

.

LRIB LEGAL RESEARCH I

| Value Label | Value Fre | equency | Percent | Valid Percent | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|---------|-----|
| VERY USEFUL | 1 | 11 | 23 9 | 28.2 | 28.2 | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL | 2 | 18 | 39 1 | 46.2 | 74 4 | |
| NOT USEFUL | | | | 7.7 | | |
| USEFUL | | | | 17.9 | | - |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 7 | 15.2 | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 39 | Missing Cases | s 7 | | | | |
| Page 65 FREQ | UENCIES FOR TH | E LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | VEY 1990 | 12/13 | /90 |
| LRIIB LEGAL RESEARC | H II | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value Fro | equency | Percent | | | |
| VERY USEFUL | 1 | 12 | 26.1 | 38.7 | 38.7 | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL | 2 | | | 41.9 | | |
| NOT USEFUL | 3 | 2 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 87.1 | |
| USEFUL | 4 | 4 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | | | | MISSING | | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | | | |
| Valid Cases 31 | | | | | | |
| Page 66 FREQ | UENCIES FOR TH | | | | 12/13 | /90 |
| DISB DISCOVERY | | | | | | |
| | | | | Valid | | |
| Value Label | Value Fro | equency | Percent | Percent | Percent | |
| VERY USEFUL | 1 | 10 | 21.7 | 27.8 | 27.8 | |
| | | 10 | 28.3 | 36.1 19.4 | 63.9 | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL | 2 | 13 | | | 02 2 . | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL | 2 3 | 13 7 | 15.2 | 19.4 | 03.5 | |
| | 3 | | 15.2 | 19.4 16.7 | | |
| NOT USEFUL | 3 | | 15.2 | | | |
| NOT USEFUL USEFUL | 3 4 | 6 | 13.0 | 16.7 MISSING | | |

.

÷

| LITB LITIGATION | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|----------|
| | | | | X7-14-1 | 0 | |
| Value Label | Value H | Frequency | | Valid Percent | | |
| VERY USEFUL | 1 | 23 | 50.0 | 62.2 | 62.2 | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL | 2 | | 13.0 | 16.2 | 78.4 | |
| NOT USEFUL | | 1 | | | | |
| USEFUL | 4 | 7 | 15 2 | 18 9 | 100 0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | , 9 | 19.6 | MISSING | 100.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 37 | Missing Cas | | | | | |
| Page 68 FREC SUBB SUBSTANTIVE I | QUENCIES FOR T | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SÙR | VEY 1990 | : | 12/13/90 |
| | | | | Valid | Cum | |
| Value Label | Value H | Frequency | | | | |
| VERY USEFUL | 1 | 18 | 39.1 | 58.1 | 58.1 | |
| | 2 | 10 | 97.1 97 | 12 0 | 71 0 | |
| SOMEWHAT USEFUL | 2 3 | 4 | 0.1 E E | 12.9 9.7 | 00 C | |
| NOT USEFUL | 3 | 3 6 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 00.0 | |
| USEFUL | | | | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 15 | | MISSING | | |
| | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | TOTAL | | | | | |
| | Missing Ca | ses 15 | | | | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG | Missing Car DUENCIES FOR 1 | ses 15 | | | | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG | Missing Car DUENCIES FOR 1 | ses 15 | | | | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG | Missing Car DUENCIES FOR 1 | ses 15 THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUR | Valid | Cum | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label | Missing Ca: QUENCIES FOR S DENCE Value 1 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 | Valid Percent 25.8 | Cum Percent 25.8 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL | Missing Ca: QUENCIES FOR S DENCE Value 1 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 | Valid Percent 25.8 | Cum Percent 25.8 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 7 DENCE Value 1 1 2 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 7 DENCE Value 1 1 2 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 | Valid Percent 25.8 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 | 12/13/90 |
| Valid Cases 31 Page 69 FREG RULESE RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 | 12/13/90 |
| Page 69 FREG RULESE RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR S DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR S | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR S DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR S | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESE RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 VeY 1990 Valid | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPARA Value Label | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 35.5 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPARZ Value Label VERY USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION Value 1 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 11 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 10.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Ver 1990 Valid Percent 35.5 16.1 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 Cum Percent 35.5 51.6 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPARA Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION Value 1 1 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 11 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 10.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 35.5 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 Cum Percent 35.5 51.6 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPARA Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION Value 1 1 2 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 11 5 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 10.9 10.9 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 35.5 16.1 16.1 | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 Cum Percent 35.5 51.6 67.7 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPARM Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION Value 1 1 2 3 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 11 5 5 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 21.7 32.6 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 35.5 16.1 16.1 32.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 Cum Percent 35.5 51.6 67.7 | |
| Page 69 FREG RULESB RULES OF EVII Value Label VERY USEFUL SOMEWHAT USEFUL NOT USEFUL USEFUL NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN Valid Cases 31 Page 70 FREG TRIALB TRIAL PREPART | Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 DENCE Value 1 1 2 3 4 9 TOTAL Missing Cas QUENCIES FOR 2 ATION Value 1 1 2 3 4 | ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 8 10 5 8 15 46 ses 15 THE LGL PR Frequency 11 5 5 10 15 | OGRAM SUR Percent 17.4 21.7 10.9 17.4 32.6 100.0 OGRAM SUR Percent 23.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 21.7 | Valid Percent 25.8 32.3 16.1 25.8 MISSING 100.0 Valid Percent 35.5 16.1 16.1 32.3 MISSING | Cum Percent 25.8 58.1 74.2 100.0 Cum Percent 35.5 51.6 67.7 | |

---ł

ł ÷

; İ

.

SKILLS _ SKILLS TO BE INCLUDED IN LGL

2

| Value Label | Value | Frequency | | Valid Percent | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|---------|
| BANKRUPCY | 2 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.8 | |
| BRIEFS WRITTEN | 3 | 1 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 7.7 | |
| CASE APPLICATION | 4 | | | 3.8 | | |
| COMMUNICATION | | | | 3.8 | | |
| COMPUTER ASSISTED LE | | 1 | | | | |
| DRAFTING DISCOVERY | 10 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 23.1 | |
| FAMILY LAW | 11 | 1 | 2.2 2.2 | 3.8 | 26.9 | |
| INTERNSHIP PROGRAM | 13 | 3 | 6.5 | 11.5 | 38.5 | |
| JOB PLACEMENT | | 1 | | | | |
| LAW OFFICES | 15 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 46.2 | |
| LIBRARY WORK | 17 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 50.0 | |
| LOGICAL REASONING | 18 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 53.8 | • |
| MORE FORMS | 20 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 3.8 | 57.7 | |
| PERSONAL INJURY | 21 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 61.5 | |
| PROOFREADING | | 1 | | | | |
| RESEARCH SKILLS | | 3 | | | | |
| RESUME WRITING | | 1 | | | | |
| TRIAL PREPARATION | 27 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 84.6 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Page 72 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | WEY 1990 | 12 | /13/90 |
| SKILLS SKILLS TO BE I | INCLUDED IN | LGL | | | | |
| WEST LAW | 28 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 88.5 | |
| SPECIALIZED FIELDS | 30 | 1 2 1 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 96.2 | |
| LEGAL ASSISTANT ACTI | 31 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 99 | 20 | | MISSING | | |
| | | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Valid Cases 26 | Missing C | ases 20 | | | | |
| Valid Cases 26 Page 73 FREQU | | | | | 12 | 2/13/90 |
| | JENCIES FOR | | | XVEY 1990 | | :/13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | | | VEY 1990 Valid | | :/13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | Valid | Cum | 2/13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU | JENCIES FOR | THE LGL PR | OGRAM SUF | Valid Percent | Cum Percent | 2/13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value | THE LGL PR | Percent 2.2 | Valid | Cum Percent 5.0 | 2/13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 | /13/90 |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 55.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 55.0 65.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING PROBATE LAW | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING PROBATE LAW PROCESSING MED INFO | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 22 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 2 3 2 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 50.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 90.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING PROBATE LAW PROCESSING MED INFO TORTS | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 22 27 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 2 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 90.0 95.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING PROBATE LAW PROCESSING MED INFO | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 22 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 2 1 1 1 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 90.0 95.0 | |
| Page 73 FREQU COURSES COURSES TO BE Value Label ACCOUNTING COURSE BASIC LAW CONSTITUTIONAL LAW CONTRACT LAW CRIMINAL LAW DRAFTING OF DOCUMENT COMPUTER TRAINING FAMILY LAW INTERNATIONAL LAW INTRO PARALEGAL LEGAL WRITING PROBATE LAW PROCESSING MED INFO TORTS WILLS AND TRUST | JENCIES FOR ADDED Value 1 3 6 7 9 11 12 13 14 16 18 21 22 27 28 | THE LGL PR Frequency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 2 1 1 1 2 6 | Percent 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2. | Valid Percent 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 5.0 MISSING | Cum Percent 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 80.0 90.0 95.0 | |

Page 74 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 COURSES COURSES TO BE ADDED Valid Cases 20 Missing Cases 26 Page 75 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 DELETED COURSES TO BE DELETED Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 2.2 11.1 11.1 BASIC COURSES 1 1

 3
 6.5
 33.3
 44.4

 1
 2.2
 11.1
 55.6

 3
 6.5
 33.3
 88.9

 1
 2.2
 1
 55.6

 DISCOVERY 2 INTRO TO COMPUTER 3 5 RULES OF EVIDENCE 11.1 100.0 TRIAL PREPARATION 6 1 2.2 37 80.4 MISSING 9 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN _____ TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 9 Missing Cases 37 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ ... _____ Page 76 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/90 SUGGEST SUGGESTION FOR THE LGL PROGRAM Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent 94.6 YES 35 1 76.1 94.6 4.3 19.6 MISSING 2 9 100.0 NO 5 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 -----TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 37 Missing Cases 9 _____ FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 Page 77 12/13/90 CURRENT CURRENTLY TAKING LGL COURSES Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent
 21
 45.7
 46.7
 46.7

 24
 52.2
 53.3
 100.0
 YES 1 24 5 NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING ----- ----- -----46 100.0 TOTAL 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 _____

ł

Page 78

.

ł

. •

REASON REASONS NOT TAKING LGL CLASSES

| TAKING GENERAL EDUC. 2 2 4.3 9.5 57.1 FOUND LGL ELSEWHERE 4 1 2.2 4.8 61.9 PROGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 FINANCIAL CONSIDERAT 8 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 PERSONAL PRIORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 85.7 OTHER 10 3 6.5 14.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Frequency Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO 70TAL 46 100.0 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>11.0010</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<> | | | 11.0010 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------------|
| COMPLETED LGL PROGRA 1 10 21.7 47.6 47.6 TAKING GENERAL EDUC. 2 2 4.3 9.5 57.1 PONGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 61.9 PROGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 FINNCIAL CONSIDERAT 8 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 PERSONAL PURCITIES 9 2 5.3 MISSING 00.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING 00.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 | | | _ | | | |
| TAKING GENERAL EDUC. 2 2 4.3 9.5 57.1 POODN LGL ELSEMMENE 4 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PHOGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PHOGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PERGONAL PHORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 58.7 OTHER 10 3 6.5 14.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 25 54.3 MISSING Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Frequency Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Cum Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Percent Percent Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILIN | Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| TAKING GENERAL EDUC. 2 2 4.3 9.5 57.1 POODN LGL ELSEMMENE 4 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PHOGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PHOGRAM LESS USEFUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PERGONAL PHORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 58.7 OTHER 10 3 6.5 14.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 25 54.3 MISSING Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Frequency Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Cum Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Percent Percent Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILIN | COMPLETED LGL PROGRA | 1 | 10 | 21.7 | 47.6 | 47.6 |
| PEOGRAM LESS USEPUL 5 1 2.2 4.8 66.7 PINNCIAL CONSIDERAT 8 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 PERSONAL PRORTIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 85.7 OTHER 10 3 6.5 14.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 USA MISSING PREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 22.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.22 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | TAKING GENERAL EDUC. | 2 | 2 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 57.1 |
| FINANCIAL CONSIDERAT 8 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 PERSONAL FRIORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 PERSONAL FRIORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 76.2 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 | FOUND LGL ELSEWHERE | 4 | 1 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 61.9 |
| PERSONAL PRIORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 85.7 ON RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Fage 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent 12/13/9 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 100.0 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Pe | PROGRAM LESS USEFUL | 5 | | | | |
| PERSONAL PRIORITIES 9 2 4.3 9.5 85.7 ON RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Fage 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent 12/13/9 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 100.0 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Pe | FINANCIAL CONSIDERAT | | 2 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 76.2 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 99 25 54.3 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Frequency Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent VS 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Frequency Percent Percent VS 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING | | | 2 | 43 | 95 | 857 |
| TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Frequency Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 12/13/9 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 100.0 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING Value Label Value <td></td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>6.5 54 0</td> <td>14.3</td> <td>100.0</td> | | 10 | 3 | 6.5 54 0 | 14.3 | 100.0 |
| Valid Cases 21 Missing Cases 25 Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Value Value Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Frequency Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO 5 4 100.0 100.0 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS | NO RESPONSE/ UNRNOWN | 33 | | | | |
| Page 79 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Cum YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 12/13/9 Value Label Value Strain Cases 14 100.0 12/13/9 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Percent 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING GET GET MISSING Cases 1 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Perce | | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| WILLING WILLING TO JOIN LGL INTERN Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Frequency Percent Percent Percent Fage 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 CALL 46 100.0 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label I Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Page 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 1 2.2 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 1 2.2 MISSING NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 1 1 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Value Label Value Frequency Percent Valid Percent Cum Percent YES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 1 2 4.3 6.3 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 30.4 MISSING 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 | | | | | | |
| Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO 707AL 46 100.0 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 30.4 MISSING Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent VSS 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 100.0 100.0 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Frequenc | WILLING WILLING TO JO | IN LGL INTE | RN | | | |
| Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Percent Percent YES 1 2 4.3 6.3 6.3 NO 5 30 65.2 93.8 100.0 NO 707AL 46 100.0 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 30.4 MISSING Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent VSS 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 100.0 100.0 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Frequenc | | | | | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING ON 75 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING ON 75 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | YES | 1 | 2 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 14 30.4 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 32 Missing Cases 14 Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING ON 75 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | NO | 5 | 30 | 65.2 | 93.8 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL46100.0100.0Valid Cases32Missing Cases14Page 80FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9LISTREMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILINGValueValueValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentVES14189.191.191.1NO548.78.9100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGValid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueFrequencyPercentValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYalue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0100.0 | NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 14 | 30.4 | MISSING | |
| Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Value Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Frequency Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO 70TAL 46 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Page 80 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 LIST REMAIN IN PERMANENT MAILING Value Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label Value Frequency Percent Valid Cum Percent YES 1 41 89.1 91.1 91.1 100.0 NO 5 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Frequency Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO 9 1 2.2 MISSING 100.0 YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO 9 1 2.2 MISSING | | | | | | |
| Value LabelValueFrequencyPercentValidCum PercentYES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN14189.191.191.10548.78.9100.0012.2MISSING TOTAL46100.0100.0Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueFrequencyPercentValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN14291.393.3 6.593.3 6.714291.393.3 6.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSING 100.0TOTAL46100.0100.0100.0 | | | | | | |
| Value LabelValue Frequency Percent Percent PercentYES14189.191.191.1NO548.78.9100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0100.0Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueFrequencyPercentValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0100.0 | LIST REMAIN IN PERI | MANENT MAIL | ING | | | |
| Value LabelValue Frequency Percent Percent PercentYES14189.191.191.1NO548.78.9100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0100.0Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueFrequencyPercentValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0100.0 | | | | | Valid | Cum |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN S 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN S 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | Value Label | Value | Frequency | | | |
| NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN S 4 8.7 8.9 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN S 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | YES | 1 | 41 | 89.1 | 91.1 | 91.1 |
| TOTAL46100.0100.0Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueValueValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0 | NO | | | | | |
| TOTAL46100.0100.0Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0 | NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN | 9 | 1 | 2.2 | MISSING | |
| Valid Cases45Missing Cases1Page 81FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 199012/13/9GETGET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValueValueValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentPercentYES14291.393.393.3NO536.56.7100.0NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN912.2MISSINGTOTAL46100.0100.0 | | TOTAL | 46 | | | |
| Page 81 FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990 12/13/9 GET GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTS Valid Cum Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | Valid Cases 45 | | | | | |
| GET A COPY OF THE SURVEY RESULTSValue LabelValueFrequencyPercentValidCum PercentYES NO NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN14291.393.393.314291.36.56.7100.012.2MISSING TOTAL100.0100.0 | | | | | | |
| Value Label Value Frequency Percent Valid Cum YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | - | | | | | |
| Value Label Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent YES 1 42 91.3 93.3 93.3 NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | GET GET A COPY OF | THE SURVEY | RESULTS | | | |
| NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | Value Label | Value | Frequency | Percent | | |
| NO 5 3 6.5 6.7 100.0 NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | VFC | 1 | 40 | 01 3 | 02.2 | 02.2 |
| NO RESPONSE/UNKNOWN 9 1 2.2 MISSING TOTAL 46 100.0 100.0 | | | 42 | 91.3 6 5 | 93.3 | 33.3 100 0 |
| | | | 1 | | | 100.0 |
| Valid Cases 45 Missing Cases 1 | | TOTAL | 46 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | | | | | |

```
FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990
Page 82
                                                               12/13/90
This procedure was completed at 13:38:07
SAVE OUTFILE='LGL90.JOB'.
The SPSS/PC+ system file is written to
   file LGL90.JOB
    79 variables (including system variables) will be saved.
     0 variables have been dropped.
The system file consists of:
       432 Characters for the header record.
       2528 Characters for variable definition.
      7832 Characters for labels.
      29184 Characters for data.
      39976 Total file size.
    46 out of
               46 cases have been saved.
                        ______
             ______
Page 83
                FREQUENCIES FOR THE LGL PROGRAM SURVEY 1990
                                                             12/13/90
This procedure was completed at 13:38:11
FINISH.
End of Include file.
```

List of employers who may be interested in participating in a Legal Assistant internship program.

18

Charters, Heck & O'Donnell, P.C. John Charters 500 N. Woodward Suite #320 Bloomfield Hills, Mi. 48013 (313) 540-0330

21

Goodman and Eklund-Easley Morris H. Goodman 18212 Grand River Avenue Detroit, Mi. 48223 (313) 837-1111

32

Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone Bill Parsons 180 W. Jefferson Detroit, Mi. 48226 (313) 963-6420

36

Patrick, Johnson & King, P.C. Patrick King 27777 Franklin Rd. Suite #1100 Southfield, Mi. 48034 (313) 356-8590

37

Michigan Bell Telephone Legal Department 444 Michigan Avenue Detroit, Mi. (313) 223-8000

List of employers who may be interested in participating in a Legal Assistant internship program.

Charters, Heck & O'Donnell, P.C. John Charters 500 N. Woodward Suite #320 Bloomfield Hills, Mi. 48013 (313) 540-0330

Goodman and Eklund-Easley Morris H. Goodman 18212 Grand River Avenue Detroit, Mi. 48223 (313) 837-1111

I

Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone Bill Parsons 180 W. Jefferson Detroit, Mi. 48226 (313) 963-6420

Patrick, Johnson & King, P.C. Patrick King 27777 Franklin Rd. Suite #1100 Southfield, Mi. 48034 (313) 356-8590

Michigan Bell Telephone Legal Department 444 Michigan Avenue Detroit, Mi. (313) 223-8000

Question: In general, how do you feel about your current position?

01 Very Satisfied "I am treated with respect."

02 Neutral "Stagnating."

04 Satisfied "It is interesting work - Labor Law."

06 Satisfied "Enjoy the work."

08 Neutral "I'm looking for a full-time, legal assistant position."

09 Very Satisfied "\$"

10 Neutral "Not in my field."

11 Neutral "Because the job helps me through school. However, I am very eager for a prestigious career."

12 Disappointed "Need something more challenging."

13 Very Disappointed "No challenges."

15 Satisfied "At the moment but would like to move on."

16 Satisfied "I'm happy with my position and salary; unhappy with Detroit location and benefits." 18 Satisfied "Prefer more litigation (Pers Inj) work."

19 Satisfied "It's not what I want to do all my life. It is not a career."

20 Neutral "Not challenging."

21 Satisfied "Flexibility."

23 Very Satisfied"My work is interesting and challenging and my coworkers are intelligent and pleasant."

26 Neutral "It is not what I really want."

27 Satisfied

"The position I hold currently is considerably better than what I could get practicing in the legal field."

29 Very Satisfied "Utilizing skills acquired in OCC LGL Program."

31 Very Disappointed "Because it is not in the field I went to school for and want to pursue."

32 Disappointed "No responsibilities, low pay."

34 Disappointed "I would like to get a job in my field."

35 Neutral "No room for advancement."

36 Very Satisfied "Learning, challenged, allowed to expand and advance as abilities allow."

37 Very Disappointed "No job satisfaction."

38 Disappointed "Want to change careers, employed with Bell since 1974, I want something different."

44 Neutral

"Amount of Pay."

45 Neutral

"I'm ready to transfer to our in-house legal department, or pursue a legal assistant career with an outside law firm."

46 Satisfied

"Flexible Hours - many times excited about position."

Question: In general, how do you feel about your current position?

Very Satisfied "I am treated with respect."

Neutral "Stagnating."

1.1

Satisfied "It is interesting work - Labor Law."

Satisfied "Enjoy the work."

Neutral . "I'm looking for a full-time, legal assistant position."

Very Satisfied "\$"

Neutral "Not in my field."

Neutral "Because the job helps me through school. However, I am very eager for a prestigious career."

Disappointed "Need something more challenging."

Very Disappointed "No challenges."

Satisfied "At the moment but would like to move on."

Satisfied "I'm happy with my position and salary; unhappy with Detroit location and benefits." Satisfied "Prefer more litigation (Pers Inj) work."

Satisfied "It's not what I want to do all my life. It is not a career."

Neutral "Not challenging."

Satisfied "Flexibility."

Very Satisfied "My work is interesting and challenging and my coworkers are intelligent and pleasant."

Neutral "It is not what I really want."

Satisfied

"The position I hold currently is considerably better than what I could get practicing in the legal field."

Very Satisfied "Utilizing skills acquired in OCC LGL Program."

Very Disappointed "Because it is not in the field I went to school for and want to pursue."

Disappointed "No responsibilities, low pay."

Disappointed "I would like to get a job in my field."

Neutral "No room for advancement."

Very Satisfied "Learning, challenged, allowed to expand and advance as abilities allow."

Very Disappointed "No job satisfaction."

Disappointed "Want to change careers, employed with Bell since 1974, I want something different." Neutral "Amount of Pay."

Neutral

er ... Su

"I'm ready to transfer to our in-house legal department, or pursue a legal assistant career with an outside law firm."

Satisfied

"Flexible Hours - many times excited about position."

Question: Do you have any other recommendation for change in OCC's Legal Assistant Program?

01

"A good legal sect book is useful. Use of West's (Law in a nutshell) might be better than own notes. Convince Services L.A. not to get cheap Dict & get Black Low Dict. Local Catalogues of sources for legal supplies. Provide outline of Law Topics for Personal Files. Get minimum Law Books/Prof. Journals in Orchard Ridge Library."

02

"Internship programs."

03

"I had twelve credits from courses I took in Massachusetts in Legal Research Litigation and American Legal System which was similar to Substantive Law and OCC only transferred four. Taking Litigation and Research has been a waste of time and money for me."

04

"3 teachers in the courses were absolutely not teachers. We are here to be instructed not to be torn down in an vindicative manner - i.e. Barb Listner, Mr. Douglas. Get more teachers like Austin Howard and judge Golden."

06

"Never offer Legal Research in a 7 1/2 week program. I was familiar with procedures and the instructor's teaching patterns had me confused due to the tight syllabus (too much in too little time)."

07

"An internship program should be included. Combined with book knowledge could be effective. OCC should also include job placement."

80

"All attorneys don't necessarily make good instructors."

10

"The teachers need to get some kind of planning lesson. Some of the teachers that were just hired didn't have a planning lesson."

11

"Teachers should not be paralegals. Teachers should only be attorneys with Master Degrees or better. Teachers should be chosen in advance (not at the last minute). Books should be apart of every course. An intern must be added. Updated Law Books should be in the OCC library. <u>Please</u> take this program seriously. I did and certainly did not get my money worth!"

12

"More in-depth focus on few topics rather than covering many topics and spending little time on them."

13

"Make it longer to include specialties that would land us jobs. Isn't that what we're after anyway."

14

"Legal Research to me more organized; therefore, more motivational. More assignments (projects) in Discovery (this course was very interesting)."

15

"Adding more electives is about it. LGL assistant orientation class. Perhaps a class to find the people who are really interested in the profession or make the requirements harder."

16

"Stricker reviews of teachers. Legal Research and Discovery could be very useful courses if properly taught. I transferred to Madonna and was required to retake the Legal Research to my benefit."

17

"I wish it was offered by correspondence! It's an excellent program and I don't know of any legal assistant programs offered as independent study."

19

"To get law books at the school library."

21

"I feel that Litigation should be broken down into various areas of law and not taken as a whole, such as, civil procedure and criminal procedure, torts, probate, real estate, estate planning and bankruptcy, so that the student can receive an in depth, thorough and complete training in these various areas of law."

23

"Work toward having more established guidelines for the courses but of course leaving some room for flexibility."

"You should know that I am considering changing institutions as I talk with students who have taken courses I have not. When I hear some of their comments about instructors I tend to have second thoughts. So far the biggest complaint has been the discovery class with LGL Research "I" following."

26

"Improve the school's law library-make it comparable to the Oakland Co. Law Library for the public attorneys and students of South Oakland Co. Provide a better job assistance program. Provide courses in specific areas of law so that the student may obtain some experience and expertise in one or more areas of law to enhance their chances of getting a job. Review transcript of our taped interview. Develop an advanced Legal Assistant program with a second degree possible. Develop a recognition program for students in the top five to ten percent. An honors type program."

27

"Further insight into program. Useful and day to day operation skills. The law gives us a good understanding to continue into other duties that should be performed."

29

"Need a better teacher for Discovery. Need better selection of textbooks."

30

"Teachers should teach the program or law professors not lawyers, as a lark."

31

"The legal Research I and II courses should be a hands on course taught by the instructor. Also the job placement could be improved."

32

"Do not ever ask Brenda Thornton to teach at OCC - she was the worst instructor I've ever had. Do not schedule Legal Research I & II for 7 1/2 weeks; these need to be 15 week courses <u>Definitely."</u>

33

"Improve program organization."

34

"I feel some of the teachers should try and help the students (ex Research) instead of expecting them to know what to do. Not every student who enrolls in the program has ever had experience before."

36

"Courses need to inter-relate more than standing independent; themes, theories and processes need to follow through. Texts need to be reviewed - probably replaced with more practical aids. Have current paralegals discuss with students their view of the profession rather than just the attorney's view."

25

37

"Internship - how to get experience? What job opportunities are available besides law firm? How to apply for positions in legal field? Students need to be encouraged in order to excel, not babied, but positively reinforced. Also an instructor who acts like they enjoy teaching imparts much more than those who are joyless!"

39

"Internship program should be added. I think the program should be the forerunner for a 4 yr. Pol. Sci degree at Wayne State like the program at Oakland University."

40

"It has been my experience that OCC needs to find more dedicated instructors for the legal program. Not just someone looking for an extra paycheck. Please - get accredited for this program - job prospects are slim to none because of non-accredited program. I've had many rejections from potential employers because of this."

43

"Try to get retired judges or lawyers to teach Legal classes and hire a counselor for the legal program."

44

"Don't hire attorneys at the last moment, drop 7 1/2 week courses make them all 15 week courses, require a syllabus for every course, add courses in more specialized areas, certain classes should be taught by attorneys <u>only</u>, drop rules of evidence, dissatisfied with course instructors and after 1 year in program, finally getting some action from coordinator, get program ABA accredited as soon as possible."

46

H

"More support in relation to finding employment <u>AND</u> re-evaluate LEGAL RESEARCH I AND II to find an easier way to teach and learn. Very difficult courses."

Question: Do you have any other recommendation for change in OCC's Legal Assistant Program?

"A good legal sect book is useful. Use of West's (Law in a nutshell) might be better than own notes. Convince Services L.A. not to get cheap Dict & get Black Low Dict. Local Catalogues of sources for legal supplies. Provide outline of Law Topics for Personal Files. Get minimum Law Books/Prof. Journals in Orchard Ridge Library."

"Internship programs."

"I had twelve credits from courses I took in Massachusetts in Legal Research Litigation and American Legal System which was similar to Substantive Law and OCC only transferred four. Taking Litigation and Research has been a waste of time and money for me."

"3 teachers in the courses were absolutely not teachers. We are here to be instructed not to be torn down in an vindicative manner - i.e. Barb Listner, Mr. Douglas. Get more teachers like Austin Howard and judge Golden."

"Never offer Legal Research in a 7 1/2 week program. I was familiar with procedures and the instructor's teaching patterns had me confused due to the tight syllabus (too much in too little time)."

"An internship program should be included. Combined with book knowledge could be effective. OCC should also include job placement."

"All attorneys don't necessarily make good instructors."

"The teachers need to get some kind of planning lesson. Some of the teachers that were just hired didn't have a planning lesson."

"Teachers should not be paralegals. Teachers should only be attorneys with Master Degrees or better. Teachers should be chosen in advance (not at the last minute). Books should be apart of every course. An intern must be added. Updated Law Books should be in the OCC library. <u>Please</u> take this program seriously. I did and certainly did not get my money worth!"

"More in-depth focus on few topics rather than covering many topics and spending little time on them."

"Make it longer to include specialties that would land us jobs. Isn't that what we're after anyway."

"Legal Research to me more organized; therefore, more motivational. More assignments (projects) in Discovery (this course was very interesting)."

"Adding more electives is about it. LGL assistant orientation class. Perhaps a class to find the people who are really interested in the profession or make the requirements harder."

"Stricker reviews of teachers. Legal Research and Discovery could be very useful courses if properly taught. I transferred to Madonna and was required to retake the Legal Research to my benefit."

"I wish it was offered by correspondence! It's an excellent program and I don't know of any legal assistant programs offered as independent study."

"To get law books at the school library."

"I feel that Litigation should be broken down into various areas of law and not taken as a whole, such as, civil procedure and criminal procedure, torts, probate, real estate, estate planning and bankruptcy, so that the student can receive an in depth, thorough and complete training in these various areas of law."

"Work toward having more established guidelines for the courses but of course leaving some room for flexibility."

"You should know that I am considering changing institutions as I talk with students who have taken courses I have not. When I hear some of their comments about instructors I tend to have second thoughts. So far the biggest complaint has been the discovery class with LGL Research "I" following."

"Improve the school's law library-make it comparable to the Oakland Co. Law Library for the public attorneys and students of South Oakland Co. Provide a better job assistance program. Provide courses in specific areas of law so that the student may obtain some experience and expertise in one or more areas of law to enhance their chances of getting a job. Review transcript of our taped interview. Develop an advanced Legal Assistant program with a second degree possible. Develop a recognition program for students in the top five to ten percent. An honors type program."

"Further insight into program. Useful and day to day operation skills. The law gives us a good understanding to continue into other duties that should be performed."

"Need a better teacher for Discovery. Need better selection of textbooks."

"Teachers should teach the program or law professors not lawyers, as a lark."

"The legal Research I and II courses should be a hands on course taught by the instructor. Also the job placement could be improved."

"Do not ever ask Brenda Thornton to teach at OCC - she was the worst instructor I've ever had. Do not schedule Legal Research I & II for 7 1/2 weeks; these need to be 15 week courses <u>Definitely."</u>

"Improve program organization."

١į.

"I feel some of the teachers should try and help the students (ex Research) instead of expecting them to know what to do. Not every student who enrolls in the program has ever had experience before."

"Courses need to inter-relate more than standing independent; themes, theories and processes need to follow through. Texts need to be reviewed - probably replaced with more practical aids. Have current paralegals discuss with students their view of the profession rather than just the attorney's view."

"Internship - how to get experience? What job opportunities are available besides law firm? How to apply for positions in legal field? Students need to be encouraged in order to excel, not babied, but positively reinforced. Also an instructor who acts like they enjoy teaching imparts much more than those who are joyless!"

"Internship program should be added. I think the program should be the forerunner for a 4 yr. Pol. Sci degree at Wayne State like the program at Oakland University."

"It has been my experience that OCC needs to find more dedicated instructors for the legal program. Not just someone looking for an extra paycheck. Please - get accredited for this program - job prospects are slim to none because of non-accredited program. I've had many rejections from potential employers because of this."

"Try to get retired judges or lawyers to teach Legal classes and hire a counselor for the legal program."

"Don't hire attorneys at the last moment, drop 7 1/2 week courses make them all 15 week courses, require a syllabus for every course, add courses in more specialized areas, certain classes should be taught by attorneys <u>only</u>, drop rules of evidence, dissatisfied with course instructors and after 1 year in program, finally getting some action from coordinator, get program ABA accredited as soon as possible."

"More support in relation to finding employment <u>AND</u> re-evaluate LEGAL RESEARCH I AND II to find an easier way to teach and learn. Very difficult courses."

Question: Do you have any other recommendation for change in OCC's Legal Assistant Program?

"A good legal sect book is useful. Use of West's (Law in a nutshell) might be better than own notes. Convince Services L.A. not to get cheap Dict & get Black Low Dict. Local Catalogues of sources for legal supplies. Provide outline of Law Topics for Personal Files. Get minimum Law Books/Prof. Journals in Orchard Ridge Library."

"Internship programs."

"I had twelve credits from courses I took in Massachusetts in Legal Research Litigation and American Legal System which was similar to Substantive Law and OCC only transferred four. Taking Litigation and Research has been a waste of time and money for me."

"3 teachers in the courses were absolutely not teachers. We are here to be instructed not to be torn down in an vindicative manner - i.e. _____, ____, ____, Get more teachers like ______ and _____."

"Never offer Legal Research in a 7 1/2 week program. I was familiar with procedures and the instructor's teaching patterns had me confused due to the tight syllabus (too much in too little time)."

"An internship program should be included. Combined with book knowledge could be effective. OCC should also include job placement."

"All attorneys don't necessarily make good instructors."

"The teachers need to get some kind of planning lesson. Some of the teachers that were just hired didn't have a planning lesson."

"Teachers should not be paralegals. Teachers should only be attorneys with Master Degrees or better. Teachers should be chosen in advance (not at the last minute). Books should be apart of every course. An intern must be added. Updated Law Books should be in the OCC library. <u>Please</u> take this program seriously. I did and certainly did not get my money worth!"

"More in-depth focus on few topics rather than covering many topics and spending little time on them."

"Make it longer to include specialties that would land us jobs. Isn't that what we're after anyway."

"Legal Research to me more organized; therefore, more motivational. More assignments (projects) in Discovery (this course was very interesting)."

"Adding more electives is about it. LGL assistant orientation class. Perhaps a class to find the people who are really interested in the profession or make the requirements harder."

"Stricker reviews of teachers. Legal Research and Discovery could be very useful courses if properly taught. I transferred to Madonna and was required to retake the Legal Research to my benefit."

"I wish it was offered by correspondence! It's an excellent program and I don't know of any legal assistant programs offered as independent study."

"To get law books at the school library."

"I feel that Litigation should be broken down into various areas of law and not taken as a whole, such as, civil procedure and criminal procedure, torts, probate, real estate, estate planning and bankruptcy, so that the student can receive an in depth, thorough and complete training in these various areas of law."

"Work toward having more established guidelines for the courses but of course leaving some room for flexibility."

"You should know that I am considering changing institutions as I talk with students who have taken courses I have not. When I hear some of their comments about instructors I tend to have second thoughts. So far the biggest complaint has been the discovery class with LGL Research "I" following."

"Improve the school's law library-make it comparable to the Oakland Co. Law Library for the public attorneys and students of South Oakland Co. Provide a better job assistance program. Provide courses in specific areas of law so that the student may obtain some experience and expertise in one or more areas of law to enhance their chances of getting a job. Review transcript of our taped interview. Develop an advanced Legal Assistant program with a second degree possible. Develop a recognition program for students in the top five to ten percent. An honors type program."

"Further insight into program. Useful and day to day operation skills. The law gives us a good understanding to continue into other duties that should be performed."

"Need a better teacher for Discovery. Need better selection of textbooks."

"Teachers should teach the program or law professors not lawyers, as a lark."

"The legal Research I and II courses should be a hands on course taught by the instructor. Also the job placement could be improved."

"Do not ever ask ________ to teach at OCC - she was the worst instructor I've ever had. Do not schedule Legal Research I & II for 7 1/2 weeks; these need to be 15 week courses Definitely."

"Improve program organization."

"I feel some of the teachers should try and help the students (ex Research) instead of expecting them to know what to do. Not every student who enrolls in the program has ever had experience before."

"Courses need to inter-relate more than standing independent; themes, theories and processes need to follow through. Texts need to be reviewed - probably replaced with more practical aids. Have current paralegals discuss with students their view of the profession rather than just the attorney's view."

"Internship - how to get experience? What job opportunities are available besides law firm? How to apply for positions in legal field? Students need to be encouraged in order to excel, not babied, but positively reinforced. Also an instructor who acts like they enjoy teaching imparts much more than those who are joyless!"

"Internship program should be added. I think the program should be the forerunner for a 4 yr. Pol. Sci degree at Wayne State like the program at Oakland University."

"It has been my experience that OCC needs to find more dedicated instructors for the legal program. Not just someone looking for an extra paycheck. Please - get accredited for this program - job prospects are slim to none because of non-accredited program. I've had many rejections from potential employers because of this."

"Try to get retired judges or lawyers to teach Legal classes and hire a counselor for the legal program."

"Don't hire attorneys at the last moment, drop 7 1/2 week courses make them all 15 week courses, require a syllabus for every course, add courses in more specialized areas, certain classes should be taught by attorneys <u>only</u>, drop rules of evidence, dissatisfied with course instructors and after 1 year in program, finally getting some action from coordinator, get program ABA accredited as soon as possible."

"More support in relation to finding employment <u>AND</u> re-evaluate LEGAL RESEARCH I AND II to find an easier way to teach and learn. Very difficult courses."