

Oakland Community College Collision Auto Repair (CAR) Insurance Company Analysis

Final Report

Prepared by
The Office of Institutional Research
Nancy Showers

February 2003

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

		•
	Overview	2
	Methods	2
	Key Findings	2
Labor Marke	et for Auto Damage Appraisers	3
	01 Occupational Employment Statistics Survey gan Metropolitan Areas	3
	ployment Projections 1998-2008, ance Appraisers, Auto Damage	4
Appendix A	– Copy of Insurance Company Survey Instrument	6
• •	– Collision Auto Repair Analysis – Insurance y Data Table	9

Oakland Community College CAR Insurance Company Analysis February 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this study is to gain a more detailed understanding of the labor market for graduates of Oakland Community College's (OCC) Collision Auto Repair (CAR) program. The Program Marketing team hopes to learn what types of opportunities exist for CAR graduates in the insurance industry. Specifically, this research project was initiated to help identify opportunities for CAR graduates as automobile damage adjusters or appraisers for insurance companies.

METHODS

For the purposes of this study, a telephone questionnaire was developed to ask specific questions about the insurance industry and the types of opportunities available for CAR graduates. The respondent in most cases was the person responsible for hiring auto damage adjusters/appraisers. A copy of the survey in its entirety can be found in Appendix A of this report. In addition, information from the Bureau of Labor Statistics provided insight into the job duties, training and working conditions for auto damage appraisers.

KEY FINDINGS

- The labor market demand for auto damage appraisers is expected to grow about as fast as average until 2010.
- In Michigan, there are projected to be 290 auto damage appraisers by the year 2008, an increase of 20 jobs from 1998's estimate of 270 workers in this field.
- According to Institutional Research's findings from the Insurance Company Survey, most insurance companies do not require a degree for new auto damage appraisers.
- The typical background sought by insurance companies is some body shop experience and/or related work experience in the auto damage appraiser profession.
- Of those insurance companies that do utilize some type of "preferred" list of body shops to conduct auto damage repairs, most cite extensive requirements for body shops to become a "preferred provider."

THE LABOR MARKET – AUTOMOBILE DAMAGE APPRAISERS

According to the 2002-2003 Occupational Outlook Handbook, the main functions of auto damage adjusters and appraisers are to "appraise automobile or other vehicle damage to determine [the] cost of repair for insurance claim settlement and seek agreement with [the] automotive repair shop on [the] cost of repair. [They also] prepare insurance forms to indicate repair cost or cost estimates and recommendations." Insurance companies value auto damage appraisers because they can provide an unbiased judgment of repair costs.

The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) states that in 2001 there were 12,110 auto damage appraisers in the nation. The mean hourly wage for these professionals was \$20.37 or \$42,360 annually.² In addition, employment of auto damage appraisers should grow about as fast as the average for all occupations over the 2000-2010 period, which represents an increase of approximately 10 to 20 percent.³

The mean hourly wage for the state (\$16.58) is approximately 23% lower than the national mean wage of \$20.37 per hour. However, the mean hourly pay rate in the Detroit metropolitan area is much closer to the national wage for automobile insurance adjusters (\$20.16 per hour).

Table 1

2001 Occupational Employment Statistics Survey Michigan Metropolitan Areas ⁴									
Mean Mean Median Median Hourly Annual Hourly Annual Michigan Metropolitan Area Wage Wage Wage Wage									
Detroit	\$20.16	\$41,930	\$20.62	\$42,890					
Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland	\$14.23	\$29,600	\$12.54	\$26,090					
Saginaw-Bay City-Midland	\$18.86	\$39,230	\$18.04	\$37,530					
Michig <u>a</u> n	\$16.58	\$34,480	\$14.15	\$29,440					

¹ Data taken from website www.bls.gov. 2002-03 Occupational Outlook Handbook.

² Ibid.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Data taken from website <u>www.bls.gov</u>. "National Compensation Survey – Metropolitan Areas."

State employment projections indicate that in 1998 there were 270 auto damage appraisers in Michigan. Further, projections show there will be 290 of these professionals by 2008, a change of 8.6%. As illustrated in Table 2, one additional auto damage appraiser position will be added to the Detroit job market annually, attributable to turnover. For the entire state, of the six annual openings, only two are expected to be due to growth in the market.

Table 2

Employment Projections 1998-2008 Insurance Appraisers, Auto Damage ⁵									
Employment Change Average Annual Openings									
MSA	1998	2008	Level	Percent	Total	Growth	Replacement		
Ann Arbor	15	10	-5	-7.7	0	0_	0		
Detroit	60	70	10	6.3	1	0	1		
Grand Rapids	20	20	0	5.9	0	0	0		
Kalamazoo	25	35	10	50.0	1	1	0		
Lansing	20	30	10	27.3	1	1	0		
Michigan	270	290	20	8.6	6	2	4		

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

As expected, individuals working as auto damage appraisers typically begin as auto body repair workers. Subsequently, many are then hired by insurance companies or independent adjusting firms. The BLS states that most auto body workers do not require a college education; however, most insurance companies require at least a bachelor's degree. Findings from the Insurance Company survey indicate that very few of the companies polled require any formal education.

Currently, there are only four states that require auto damage appraisers to be licensed. It is important that auto damage appraisers continue their education because of the constant introduction of new car models and repair techniques. In findings from the Insurance Company survey, most of those companies surveyed provide initial and ongoing training to these professionals.

⁵ http://www.michlmi.org/LMI/occ_proj/occ_cnty.htm - MDCD - Occupational Employment Forecasts (1998-2008)

⁶ Data taken from website <u>www.bls.gov</u>. 2002-03 Occupational Outlook Handbook.

Oakland Community College CAR Insurance Company Analysis February 2003

WORK ENVIRONMENTS

Auto damage appraisers often work outside the office, inspecting damaged automobiles. Many auto damage appraisers are equipped with laptop computers, from which they can download the necessary forms and files from insurance company databases. In addition, many adjusters and appraisers are equipped with digital cameras, which allow photographs of the damage to be sent to the company via the Internet or satellite.⁷

INSURANCE COMPANY SURVEY HIGHLIGHTS

Following is a summary of the results from the insurance companies surveyed by Institutional Research. In total, there were six completed surveys of major insurance companies: Farmer's Insurance, LMC Insurance Company, AAA of Michigan, Citizens Insurance, Titan Insurance, and Meemic Insurance Company.

There are various titles given to auto damage appraisers, including claims representative, claims adjuster, and field appraiser, to name a few. In addition, most of those polled hired employees either inside or outside of the company and sought those with some related work experience – either body shop or previous auto adjusting experience. Few employers were seeking college graduates for these positions. Nearly all utilized staff employees; two employers used both staff employees and contractors.

As noted earlier, training is an important factor for auto damage appraisers. Most employers use in-house training to keep appraisers current and aware of the latest technology and regulations in the field.

Four of those insurance companies surveyed admitted that they do utilize preferred body shops, although none used "preferred" in their designation of these facilities. Two insurance companies called their relationship with these facilities Direct Repair programs, while others cited no specific name. When asked what type of criteria are used to select these facilities, all mentioned that there are countless requirements, too many to list in an interview. Meemic was the only company that stated that they use a "reinspection program" for their body shop facilities to maintain preferred status.

⁷ Ibid.

APPENDIX A

Insurance Company Survey CAR Program

Date:		(Comp	any:		<u> </u>
Resp	onden	t Name:			Title	·
Colle		ın you pleas				from Oakland Community responsible for hiring
Comr marke trying	nunity et for g i to get	College. Ware praduates of the contract to the	e're c our (derst	Collision Auto Re	vey to epair l ne aut	help assess the labor Program. We're also just to insurance industry
1)	autom	nobile damag	e insu			oraisers who handle staff who view and assess
	Yes	s (go to #2)	0	No (terminate interview)	88	Don't know (ask to be directed to someone who can assist)
2)		is the title giv sments after			facility	y who conducts automobile
	1	Claims adju	ster			
	2	Claims appr	aiser			
	3	Automobile	dama	ge appraiser		
	4	Automobile	dama	ge adjuster		
	5	Other				<u></u>
		Don't know				:

- 3) What is the typical background of someone you hire for this position? (i.e., worked in body shop). Do you prefer to utilize in-house candidates for these types of positions?
- 4) What are the typical educational requirements for someone in this position?
- 5) How important is it for a new hire to have related work experience? What type of work experience? Also, what is the minimum length of time to be previously employed in related work?
- 6) Are these individuals typically independent contractors, employees of your company, or both?
- 7) Do you provide any type(s) of on-site training for these individuals? If so, what type(s)?
- 8) Does your company currently utilize outside training for auto appraisers/adjusters? (*If yes*) What type(s) of training are chosen? Is reimbursement available to employees for off-site training?

Next, I'd like to ask you a few questions about requirements for the body shops you utilize for repairs.

9) Does your company maintain a "preferred provider" list facilities (i.e., body shops that are frequently utilized by		
1 Yes (go to a) 0 No (go to c)	88	Don't know
a. (If yes) What criteria are used for selection of these b shops?	ody	
b. (If yes) How many body shops are typically on your p list?	referre	d
c. (If no) How do you select the body shops that you free use?	quently	
(If answered "9c", go to closing statement)		
10) What does a body shop need to do to maintain preferre company?	ed stat	us with your

Closing Statement: I'd like to thank you for your time today. The information you've given will be very useful in enhancing our Collision Auto Repair program.

Oakland Community College CAR Insurance Company Analysis February 2003

APPENDIX B

COLLISION AUTO REPAIR (CAR) ANALYSIS INSURANCE SURVEY DATA TABLE



Collision Auto Repair (CAR) Program: In-Class Survey Data Three Term Analysis: Fall '01, Winter '02 and Fall '02

Supplemental Data

Prepared by: The Office of Institutional Research Yolanda Reid, Primary Researcher (248) 232-4865 January 2003

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	
Crosstabs – Term by Survey Question (Percentages)	4
Crosstabs – Term by Survey Question (Counts)	13
Class Rosters for CAR Courses	21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

• As expected, respondents over the age of 30 were more likely than their younger counterparts to be employed in professional or technical positions, such as mechanic or designer. They were less likely to be employed as carpenters, salespersons, managers/assistant managers, or painter's helpers.

	Age Group (Und		
	Under 30 yrs.	30+ yrs.	Total
N .	38	31	69
Body Tech Assistant		2.63%	1.45%
Business Owner		5.26%	2.90%
Auto Tech	3.23%	2.63%	2.90%
Carpenter	9.68%		4.35%
Cashier	6.45%		2.90%
Sales	16.13%		7.25%
Engineer/Sr. Engineer	3.23%	13.16%	8.70%
Engineering Tech	3.23%		1.45%
Equipment Operator	3.23%	2.63%	2,90%
General Motors Team Tech		5.26%	2.90%
Lab Tech		5.26%	2.90%
Labor/Laborer	3.23%	2.63%	2.90%
Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic		15.79%	8.70%
Manager/Assistant Manager	9.68%	Ĭ	4.35%
Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person	12.90%	Ī	5.80%
Detailer	3.23%		1.45%
Machinist		5.26%	2.90%
Retired		5.26%	2.90%
Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer		13.16%	7.25%
Technical Writer	6.45%		2.90%
Metal Model Maker		5.26%	2.90%
Other Manufacturing-Related		2.63%	1.45%
Other Technical	3.23%	5.26%	4.35%
Other	<u>16.13%</u>	<u>7.89%</u>	11.59%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Bold italics indicate statistically significant difference between the two age groups at a 95% level of significance.

Collision Auto Repair In-Class Survey: Supplemental Data Fall 2002

- When examining the three terms combined, CAR students over the age of 40 more often planned to use their newly acquired skills for personal or hobby purposes, and were less likely to use them to seek new employment opportunities.
 - Of the respondents over the age of 40 (Fall 2001, Winter 2002, and Fall 2002 combined), all but one person (97.1%) planned to use the skills and knowledge they gained for personal or hobby use, compared to 63.6% of respondents under the age of 40.

Percent who plan to use knowledge/skills for personal/hobby by Age Group (Under 40 vs. 40+)

	Age Group (Und	Age Group (Under 40 vs. 40+)		
	Under 40 yrs.	40+ yrs.	<u> </u>	
N	44			
No	36.36%	2.86%	21.52%	
<u>Yes</u>	<u>63.64%_</u>	<u>97.14%</u>	<u>78.48%</u>	
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	

Differences between the two age groups are statistically significant at a 95% level of significance.

 For all three terms combined, respondents under the age of 40 more often planned to use the skills gained in the Collision Auto Repair courses to obtain a new job with a different employer.

Percent who plan to use knowledge/skills to obtain new job with different employer by Age Group (Under 40 vs. 40+)

•	Age Group (Und	der 40 vs. 40+)	Total
	Under 40 yrs.	Under 40 yrs. 40+ yrs.	
N	44	35	
No	61.36%	97.14%	77.22%
<u>Yes</u>	<u>38.64%</u>	<u>2.86%</u>	22.78%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Differences between the two age groups are statistically significant at a 95% level of significance.

 Based upon review of student rosters, none of the students who enrolled in the non-credit course (MEST 1017-Classic Auto Repair) enrolled in a credit CAR course.

SUPPLEMENTAL CROSSTABS - PERCENTAGES ONLY

Crosstabs

Current Employment Status * Age Group Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

. Age Group							
		18 - 22 yrs. (n=15)	23 - 29 yrs. (n=19)	30 - 39 yrs. (n=9)	40+ yrs. (n=35)	Under 18 yrs. (n=1)	Total (n=79)
Current	Full Time (30+ hours)	53.3%	94.7%	77.8%	85.7%		79.7%
Employment	Part Time (<30 hours)	33.3%	5.3%			100.0%	8.9%
Status	Unemployed	13.3%		22.2%			5.1%
	Out of Labor Force	ľ			14.3%		6.3%
Total	···	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

To what extent is this job related to automotive repair? * Age Group Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

			Age Group					
		18 - 22 yrs. (n=13)	23 - 29 yrs. (n=19)	30 - 39 yrs. (n=7)	40+ yrs. (n=31)	Under 18 yrs. (n=1)	Total (n=71)	
To what extent is this job	Highly Related	30.8%	52.6%	42.9%	51.6%	100.0%	47.9%	
related to automotive	Somewhat Related	7.7%	15.8%	14.3%	25.8%		18.3%	
repair?	Not At All Related	61.5%	31.6%	42.9%	22.6%		33.8%	
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Job Title - Categories * Age Group Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

				Age Group			
		18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
		(n=12)	(n=18)	(n=7)	(n=31)	(n=1)	(n=69)
Job Title -	Body Tech Assistant				3.2%		1.4%
Categories	Business Owner			14.3%	3.2%		2.9%
	Auto Tech		5.6%		3.2%		2.9%
	Carpenter	8.3%	11.1%				4.3%
	Cashier		11.1%				2.9%
	Sales	25.0%	11.1%				7.2%
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer		5.6%		16.1%		8.7%
	Engineering Tech	1	5.6%				1.4%
	Equipment Operator	8.3%		14.3%			2.9%
	General Motors Team Tech				6.5%		2.9%
	Lab Tech				6.5%]	2.9%
	Labor/Laborer	8.3%	ţ	14.3%			2.9%
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic	 			19.4%		8.7%
	Manager/Assistant Manager	8.3%	11.1%				4.3%
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person	8.3%	11.1%			100.0%	5.8%
ii	Detailer	8.3%		ļ			1.4%
	Machinist				6.5%		2.9%
	Retired				6.5%		2.9%
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer			14.3%	. 12.9%		7.2%
	Technical Writer		11.1%				2.9%
	Metal Model Maker				6.5%		2.9%
	Other Manufacturing-Related				3.2%		1.4%
	Other Technical		5.6%		6.5%		4.3%
	Other	25.0%	11.1%	42.9%			11.6%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstabs

Will use knowledge/skills for personal/hobby * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

		-			Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills for personal/hobby	No		16.7%	100.0%		100.0%	14.3%
		Yes	100.0%	83.3%]	100.0%	j l	85.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	33.3%	33.3%		5.6%		14.3%
	for personal/hobby	Yes	66.7%	66.7%	100.0%	94.4%	ĺ	85.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	36.4%	42.9%	42.9%			33.3%
	for personal/hobby	Yes	63.6%	57.1%	57.1%	100.0%		66.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%]	100.0%

Will use knowledge/skills for self-employment * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

					Age Group			
Term		_	18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills	No		66.7%		41.7%		42.9%
for self-employment	for self-employment	Yes	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	58.3%	100.0%	57.1%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	66.7%	66.7%		72.2%		67.9%
	for self-employment	Yes	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	27.8%		32.1%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	İ	100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills for self-employment	No	54.5%	57.1%	42.9%	80.0%		56.7%
		Yes	45.5%	42.9%	57.1%	20.0%]	43.3%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Will use knowledge/skills in same job with current employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

					Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills	No	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	91.7%	100.0%	95.2%
	in same job with current employer	Yes				8.3%		4.8%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	94.4%		85.7%
	in same job with current employer	Yes		50.0%		5.6%		14.3%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	90.9%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%		93.3%
	in same job with current employer	Yes	9.1%	14.3%				6.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with a different employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

					Age Group			
Term _			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills	No		66.7%	100.0%	91.7%	100.0%	81.0%
	to get a new job with a different employer	Yes	100.0%	33.3%		8.3%		19.0%
li .	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%		85.7%
	to get a new job with a different employer	Yes	33.3%	50.0%				14.3%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	63.6%	42.9%	71.4%	100.0%		66.7%
	to get a new job with a different employer	Yes	36.4%	57.1%	28.6%			33.3%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with current employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

					Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with	No Yes	100.0%	83.3% 16.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.2% 4.8%
	current employer Total	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with	No	66.7%	83.3%	100.0%	94.4%		89.3%
	current employer	Yes	33.3%	16.7%		5.6%		10.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with	No	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%	80.0%		93.3%
	current employer	Yes		14.3%		20.0%		6.7%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another college/university * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

% within Age Group

					Age Group		•	
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another	No Yes	100.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.2%
	college/university	103		16.7%			1	4.8%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another college/university	No	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another	No	90.9%	85.7%	85.7%	100.0%		90.0%
	college/university	Yes	9.1%	14.3%	14.3%			10.0%
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Collision Auto Repair In-Class Survey: Supplemental Data Fall 2002

Crosstabs

what extent is this job related to automotive repair? * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE

National Certification Exam * Term Crosstabulation

% within Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam

% Within Reason for taking course. Prepare for AGE National Germonian Exam.								
	Reason for course: P ASE Note that the course is the course of the cours	repare for ational						
Term			No	Yes	Total			
Fall 2001	To what extent is this job	Highly Related	58.8%	66.7%	60.0%			
	related to automotive	Somewhat Related	17.6%		15.0%			
repair?	repair?	Not At All Related	23.5%	33.3%	25.0%			
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Winter 2002	To what extent is this job	Highly Related	47.6%	100.0%	56.0%			
	related to automotive	Somewhat Related	19.0%		16.0%			
	repair?	Not At All Related	33.3%		28.0%			
	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Fall 2002	To what extent is this job	Highly Related	20.0%	40.0%	28.0%			
1	related to automotive	Somewhat Related	33.3%	10.0%	24.0%			
	repair?	Not At All Related	46.7%	50.0%	48.0%			
1	Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Crosstabs

Job Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation - FALL 2001

% within Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam

Схап				
-		Reason fo course: Pr ASE Na Certificati	repare for ational	
		No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Carpenter		33.3%	5.3%
Categories	Sales	6.3%	33.3%	10.5%
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer	18.8%		15.8%
	Equipment Operator	6.3%		5.3%
	General Motors Team Tech	6.3%		5.3%
	Lab Tech	6.3%		5.3%
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic	18.8%		15.8%
	Manager/Assistant Manager	6.3%		5.3%
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person	6.3%	ļ	5.3%
	Machinist	6.3%		5.3%
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	6.3%		5.3%
	Technical Writer		33.3%	5.3%
	Metal Model Maker	6.3%		5.3%
	Other	6.3%		5.3%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstabs

Job Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation - WINTER 2002

% within Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam

Exam				
		Reason f course: P ASE N Certificati	repare for ational	
}		No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Body Tech Assistant		25.0%	4.0%
Categories	Auto Tech	4.8%		4.0%
	Cashier	4.8%		4.0%
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer	14.3%		12.0%
	General Motors Team Tech	4.8%		4.0%
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic	14.3%	п	12.0%
	Manager/Assistant Manager	4.8%		4.0%
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person		25.0%	4.0%
	Detailer	4.8%		4.0%
	Machinist	4.8%		4.0%
	Retired	9.5%		8.0%
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	14.3%		12.0%
1	Technical Writer		25.0%	4.0%
	Metal Model Maker	4.8%		4.0%
	Other Technical	9.5%		8.0%
	Other	4.8%	25.0%	8.0%
Total	·	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Crosstabs

ob Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation - FALL 2002

% within Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam

⊏Xaiii				
		Reason fo	- 1	
		course: Pr	•	
	-	ASE Na	1	
		Certification		
		No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Business Owner	14.3%		8.3%
Categories	Auto Tech		10.0%	4.2%
	Carpenter		20.0%	8.3%
	Cashier	7.1%		4.2%
l	Sales	7.1%	20.0%	12.5%
	Engineering Tech	7.1%		4.2%
	Equipment Operator	1	10.0%	4.2%
	Lab Tech	7.1%		4.2%
	Labor/Laborer	7.1%		4.2%
	Manager/Assistant Manager	7.1%		4.2%
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person		20.0%	8.3%
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	7.1%		4.2%
	Other Manufacturing-Related	7.1%		4.2%
	Other Technical	1	10.0%	4.2%
ľ	Other	28.6%	10.0%	20.8%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

SUPPLEMENTAL CROSSTABS - COUNTS ONLY

Crosstabs

Current Employment Status * Age Group Crosstabulation

Count

{				Age Group			
		18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Current	Full Time (30+ hours)	8	18	7	30		63
Employment	Part Time (<30 hours)	5	1			1	7
Status	Unemployed	2	Ì	2			4
	Out of Labor Force		[5	}	5
Total		15	19	9	35	1	79

To what extent is this job related to automotive repair? * Age Group Crosstabulation

Count

			Age Group				
		18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
To what extent is this job	Highly Related	4	10	3	16	1	34
related to automotive	Somewhat Related	1	3	1	8	}	13
repair?	Not At All Related	8	6	3	7	ļ	24
Total		13	19	7	31	1 :	71

Job Title - Categories * Age Group Crosstabulation

Count

		Age Group						
		18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total	
Job Title -	Body Tech Assistant				1		1	
Categories	Business Owner			1	1		2	
	Auto Tech	}	1		1	ĺ	2	
	Carpenter	1	2		-		3	
	Cashier		2				2	
	Sales	3	2				5	
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer		1		5		6	
	Engineering Tech	<u> </u>	1			1	1	
	Equipment Operator	1		1			2	
	General Motors Team Tech			-	2		2	
	Lab Tech				2		2	
	Labor/Laborer	1		1			2	
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic				6		6	
	Manager/Assistant Manager	1	2				3	
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person	1	2			1	4	
	Detailer	1	ľ	1			1	
	Machinist				2		2	
	Retired			}	2		2	
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer			1	4		5	
	Technical Writer	l	2				2	
	Metal Model Maker	ł	}		2		2	
	Other Manufacturing-Related				1		1	
	Other Technical		1		2		3	
	Other	3	2	3	_		8	
Total		12	18	7	31	1	69	

Crosstabs

Will use knowledge/skills for personal/hobby * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

	<u> </u>				Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills	No	_	1	1		1	3
	for personal/hobby	Yes	1	5		12		18
	Total		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	1	2		1		4
	for personal/hobby	Yes	2	4	1	17		24
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	4	3	3			10
	for personal/hobby	Yes	7	4	4	5		20
_	Total	_	11	7	7	5		30

Will use knowledge/skills for self-employment * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

			l		Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills	No		4		5		9
	for self-employment	Yes	1	2	1	7	1	12
	Total		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	2	4		13		19
	for self-employment	Yes	1	2	1	5		9
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	6	4	3	4		17
	for self-employment	Yes	5	3	4	1		13
	Total		11	7	7	5		30

Will use knowledge/skills in same job with current employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

					Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills in same job with current employer	No Yes	1	6	1	11	1	20 1
	Total		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills in same job with current employer	No Yes	3	3	1	17 1		24 4
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills in same job with current employer	No Yes	10	6	7	5		28
	Total		11	7	_ 7	5		30

Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with a different employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

		·= =			Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with a	No		4	1	11	1	17
	different employer	Yes	. 1	2		1		4
	Total		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with a	No	2	3	1	18		24
	different employer	Yes	1	3				4
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with a	No	7	3	5	5		20
	different employer	Yes	4	4	2			10
	Total		11	7	7	5		30

Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with current employer * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

					Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with	No	1	5	1	12	1	20
	current employer	Yes		1				1
	Total ·		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills	No	2	5	1	17		25
	to get a new job with current employer	Yes	1	1		1		3
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to get a new job with	No	11	6	7	4		28
 	current employer	Yes		1		1		2
	Total		11	7	7	5		30

Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another college/university * Age Group * Term Crosstabulation

Count

					Age Group			
Term			18 - 22 yrs.	23 - 29 yrs.	30 - 39 yrs.	40+ yrs.	Under 18 yrs.	Total
Fall 2001	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another college/university	No Yes	1	5 1	1	12	1	20 1
	Total		1	6	1	12	1	21
Winter 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another college/university	No	3	6	1	18		28
	Total		3	6	1	18		28
Fall 2002	Will use knowledge/skills to transfer to another	No	10	6	6	5		27
	college/university	Yes	1	1	1			3
	Total		11	7	7	5		30

Crosstabs

Job Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation - FALL 2001

Count

Count				
		Reason for course: Properties ASE Notes that the course of	repare for ational	
		No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Carpenter		1	1
Categories	Sales	1	1	2
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer	3		3
]	Equipment Operator	1	,	1
	General Motors Team Tech	1		1
	Lab Tech	1		1
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic	3		3
	Manager/Assistant Manager	1		1
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person	1		1
	Machinist	1		1
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	1		1
	Technical Writer		1	1
	Metal Model Maker	1		1
	Other	1		1
Total		16	3	19

Collision Auto Repair In-Class Survey: Supplemental Data Fall 2002

Crosstabs

Job Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation- WINTER 2002

Count

Count				
		Reason for course: Pi ASE Na Certificati	repare for ational	
1	İ	No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Body Tech Assistant		1	1
Categories	Auto Tech	1		1
	Cashier	1		1
	Engineer/Sr. Engineer	3		3
	General Motors Team Tech	1		1
	Mechanic/Driver - Mechanic	3		3
	Manager/Assistant Manager	1		1
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person		1	1
1	Detailer	1	ļ	1 '
1	Machinist	1	ļ	1
	Retired	2		2
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	3		3
1	Technical Writer		1	1
	Metal Model Maker	1		1
	Other Technical	2		2
1	Other	1	1	2
Total		21	4	25

Crosstabs

ob Title - Categories * Reason for taking course: Prepare for ASE National Certification Exam Crosstabulation- FALL 2002

Count

Count				
		Reason for course: Properties ASE Na Certificati	repare for ational	
		No	Yes	Total
Job Title -	Business Owner	2		2
Categories	Auto Tech		1	1
	Carpenter		2	2
	Cashier	1		1
	Sales	1	2	3
	Engineering Tech	1		1
İ	Equipment Operator		1	1
	Lab Tech	1		1
	Labor/Laborer	1		1
	Manager/Assistant Manager	1		1
	Painter's Helper/Prep & Paint/Prep Person		2	2
	Sr. Designer/Sr. Engine Designer/Sr. Product Designer	1		1
	Other Manufacturing-Related	1		1
	Other Technical		1	1
	Other	4	1	5
Total		14	10	24

Оакіала Соттипіту Сопеде Collision Auto Repair In-Class Survey: Supplemental Data Fall 2002

CAR CLASS ROSTERS - FALL 2002

- The data provided in the tables for the credit courses, CAR 1100, CAR 1200, and CAR 1600 are based upon Fall 2002 One-Tenth Day data. The data source for the non-credit course, MEST 1017 (Classic Auto Repair) is the Student Information System, Non-Traditional Term Annual Year 2002-2003 data, as of December 4, 2002. The list for each course is sorted by students' last names.
- Although there several students are enrolled in multiple credit CAR courses, there were no students enrolled in both the non-credit course (MEST 1017) and the credit courses.

3/6/2003

Students in CAR 1100 Course Fall 2002 One-Tenth Day Data

ID	LNAME	FNAME	MNAME	PREVSESS	CRPROG	NCPROG	Course1	Course2	Course3	Course4
511803	Alexander	Christopher	James	2002/WI	AUS.AAS		CIS1050	CAR1100	MAT1150	
583555	Carson	James	L		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
585520	Cheairs	Oscar	В		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
325032	Cohee	Michael	Todd	2002/SU	AUS.AAS		CAR1100			
559566	D'Onofrio	Dino	R		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1600		
386463	Early	Gavin	Cleveland	2002/WI	ACC.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1200	-	
282041	Fuller	Rory	Martin	2002/WI	UND.NON		MAT1100	ATW1120	CAR1100	
589939	Gartee	Matthew	Allen		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	ATA1500	-	
516941	Hvizdos	Alan	J	2002/WI	UND.NON	NCP.COL	CAR1100			
347068	Illingworth	Brian	Edward	2002/WI	MGT.BUS.AAS	NCS.NON	CAR1100			
158190	Klein	Joshua	James	2002/SU	AUS.AAS		CAR1100	ATA1500		
177835	Kruli	Ben	Alan	2001/WI	NDS.NON		CAR1100			
591400	Little	Johnny	A		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
372987	Louris	Robert	Terrance	2002/WI	CAR.NRT.CT	NCP.CAR	CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
580552	Mendelsohn	Matthew	Michael		UND.NON		CAR1100	BUS1210	PER1740	PER2540
588514	Snopek	Matt	R		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
468880	Stajniak	George	L	2002/WI	AUS.AAS	NCP.COL	CAR1100			
498653	Staley	James	В	2002/WI	AUS.AAS	NCS.NON	CAR1100			
575165	Szymanski	Mark	Р		CHT.AAS		MAT1100	CAR1100	ATA1100	
584551	Williams	Rene	Bradford		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	

NCS.NON=Non-Credit Student NDS.NON=Non-Degree Seeking NCP=Non-Credit Program

Collision Auto Repair In-Class Survey: Supplemental Data Fall 2002

Students in CAR 1200 Course Fall 2002 One-Tenth Day Data

ID	LNAME	FNAME	MNAME	PREVSESS	CRPROG	NCPROG	Course1	Course2	Course3	Course4
403803	Biggers	Richard	С	2002/WI	ETT.AAS		CAR1200			
583555	Carson	James	L		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
585520	Cheairs	Oscar	В		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	-
395215	Ciatti	Mark	Sai	2002/WI	UND.NON	NCP.COL	CAR1200			
459522	Cunningham	Sheila	Lynne	2001/SU	NDS.NON	NCS.NON	CAR1200			
386463	Early	Gavin	Cleveland	2002/WI	ACC.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1200		
337021	Gaynor	Gregory	С		AUS.AAS		CAR1200	ATA1400	ATA1500	
580731	Green	Matthew			CHT.AAS		CAR1200	SPA1510	SOC2510	PER1730
53030	Hairston	Steven	D	2002/WI	AUS.AAS		BUS1100	ATW1120	CAR1200	PER1740
464936	Innes	James	Clayton	2001/FA	BUS.ABA	-	ATW8210	CAR1200	QAT1020	CHE1510
588505	irby	Andrew	Willian		UND.NON		MAT1050	CAR1200	ATA1300	
591400	Little	Johnny	A		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
372987	Louris	Robert	Terrance	2002/WI	CAR.NRT.CT	NCP.CAR	CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
583029	Messer	Michael	Jay		UND.NON		SOC2510	CAR1200		
495138	Norstrand	Charles	Oscar	2002/WI	UND.NON		CAR1200	CAR1600	ATA1500	
588514	Snopek	Matt	R		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200		
193727	Tewksbury	Gregory	Robert	1998/WI	AUS.AAS		CAR1200			
492685	Vanrogov	Harry	0	2002/WI	NDS.NON	NCS.NON	CAR1200			
281816	Vargo	Christopher	Michael	1999/SP	GEN.AGS		CAR1200			
584551	Williams	Rene	Bradford		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	

NCS.NON=Non-Credit Student NDS.NON=Non-Degree Seeking NCP=Non-Credit Program

Students in CAR 1600 Course Fall 2002 One-Tenth Day Data

ID.	LNAME	FNAME	MNAME	PREVSESS	CRPROG	NCPROG	Course1	Course2	Course3	Course4
353793	Ayers	Matthew	Frank	2002/WI	BIS.AAS		CAR1600	MAT1100	CAD1200	
265435	Brewer	Keith	Leonard	2002/WI		NCS.NON	CAR1600			
138754	Byrd	Brian	Anthony	2002/WI	MGT.SBO.AAS		PSY2510	CAR1600	ART1510	
585520	Cheairs	Oscar	В		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
47281	Clark	Charles	Lee	2002/SU	AUS.AAS		CAR1600	CAD1200	ATA1200	
559566	D'Onofrio	Dino	R		AUS.AAS		CAR1100	CAR1600		
239485	Gugni	Jeffrey	D	2002/WI	UND.NON		CAR1600	BUS1100	BIO1530	CIS1050
453803	Hartkopf	Thomas	Alan	2002/SU	UND.NON		CAR1600			
583592	Hynes	Jeffrey	William		CAD.CAE.AAS		CIS1050	ATW1120	CAR1600	CAD1100
50335	Konopa	Gregory	S	2002/WI	UND.NON		CAR1600	MAT1100		
288706	Lagest	Adrian	Clay	2002/WI	CAD.CAE.AAS		CAR1600			
282025	Long	Jason	Patrick	2002/SU	AUS.AAS		ATW1120	CAR1600	ATA1300	
372987	Louris	Robert	Terrance	2002/WI	CAR.NRT.CT	NCP.CAR	CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
52092	Mitchell	Larry	D	2002/SU	EGR.PRE.ASC		CAR1600	MAT1100	ART1540	ENG1520
150756	Moreno	Apolonio		2002/SU	AUS.AAS		CAR1600	IND1404		
495138	Norstrand	Charles	Oscar	2002/WI	UND.NON		CAR1200	CAR1600	ATA1500	
187669	Tamm	Tim	M	2002/SU	NDS.NON		CAR1600	ATF1400	APT8500	
584551	Williams	Rene	Bradford		UND.NON		CAR1100	CAR1200	CAR1600	
300661	Woodcox	Lisa	Dawn	1997/SU	UND.NON	NCS.NON	CAR1600			
586457	Zitta	Duane	Τ		UND.NON		ATW1120	CAR1600	PER1862	ACC2510

NCS.NON=Non-Credit Student NDS.NON=Non-Degree Seeking NCP=Non-Credit Program

Students in Non-Credit MEST 1017 Course (Classic Auto Repair) Fall 2002

ID.	LNAME	ENAME	MNAME	PREVSESS	CRPROG	NEPROG	Course1
0241100	Buck	Richard	Allen	2002/WI	VBT.AAS	NCP.COL	MES1017
0126085	Chisholm	Thomas	D	2001/FA		NCP.COL	MES1017
0272935	Crabtree	Roger	W	2002/WI		NCP.COL	MES1017
0593497	Good	Steven	Α			NCP.COL	MES1017
0093725	Jaynes	Leonard	Allen	2002/WI	UND.NON	NCP.COL	MES1017
0574103	Kobylko	Larry		2002/WI	AUS.AAS	NCP.COL	MES1017
0174105	Mc Lean	Kyle	Frederick	2002/AY	UND.NON	NCP.COL	MES1017
0426213	Neubauer	Raymond	Α	2002/WI	VBT.AAS	NCP.COL	MES1017

NCP=Non-Credit Program